



# City of Edinburgh Council Record of Equality and Rights Impact Assessment

## Part 1: Background and Information

### (a) Background Details

Please list ERIA background details:

**ERIA Title and Summary Description:** 20mph Speed Limit Order - TRO Consultation

Service Area	Division	Head of Service	Service Area Reference No.
Place	Place Development	Michael Thain	2017P123

### (b) What is being impact assessed?

Describe the different policies or services (i.e. decisions, projects, programmes, policies, services, reviews, plans, functions or practices that relate to the Corporate ERIA Title):

Policies and Services	Date ERIA commenced
TRO Consultation for 20mph speed limits	February 2015

**(c) When is it due to be reviewed?** (insert furthest away date if question relates to a number of review dates) 31 January 2017

### (d) ERIA Team

Please list all ERIA Team Members:

Name	Organisation / Service Area
Eileen Hewitt	CEC, Projects Development
Lorna Henderson	CEC, Road Safety
Simon Lievesley	CEC, 20mph Programme Manager

## Part 2: Evidence and Impact Assessment

### (a) Evidence Base

Please record the evidence used to support the ERIA. Any identified evidence gaps can be recorded at [part 3a](#). Please allocate an abbreviation for each piece of evidence.

Evidence	Abbreviation
Officer knowledge	
Community consultation	
Research	
Partner Agency Feedback	

### (b) Rights Impact Assessment – Summary

Please describe all the identified enhancements and infringements of rights against the following ten areas of rights. Please also consider issues of poverty and health inequality within each area of rights:

- Life
- Health
- Physical security
- Legal security
- Education and learning
- Standard of living
- Productive and valued activities
- Individual, family and social life
- Identity, expression and respect
- Participation, influence and voice

Please indicate alongside each identified enhancement or infringement the relevant policy or service (see [part 1b](#)) and relevant evidence (see [part 2a](#)).

Summary of Enhancements of Rights
Life: Reducing the speed of traffic increases the chance of a victim of a road traffic collision surviving the event. Therefore progressing a 20mph network will enhance the right to life.
Health: Reducing the speed of traffic reduces noise levels and can encourage active travel by walking and cycling. The right to Health is therefore enhanced.
Physical Security: Reducing the speed of traffic permits people to go out and to use public spaces safely and securely without fear of traffic conditions.
Standard of Living: Reducing speeds on the wider road network will create a more accommodating road environment for other users which will allow more people to use active forms of transport to access work and services than previously.
Participation, Influence and Voice: consultation exercises (both statutory and non-statutory) allow residents, businesses and other stakeholders to have a say in decisions.
Summary of Infringement of Rights
Can these infringements be justified? Are they proportional?
None

**(c) Equality Impact Assessment – Summary**

Please consider all the protected characteristics when answering questions 1, 2 and 3 below. Please also consider the issues of poverty and health inequality within each protected characteristic:

- Age
- Disability
- Gender identity
- Marriage / civil partnership
- Pregnancy / maternity
- Race
- Religion / belief
- Sex
- Sexual orientation

1. Please describe all the positive and negative impacts on the duty to eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment or victimisation. Please indicate alongside each identified impact the relevant policy or service (see [part 1b](#)) and relevant evidence (see [part 2a](#)).

<b>Positive Impacts</b>
Age: younger and older people are more likely to be involved in road traffic collisions. Therefore a measure that reduces the severity of crashes will have a disproportionately positive impact on people in these age groups. Safer environment for walking and cycling Broad consultation to encourage participation in public life
<b>Negative Impacts</b>
None

2. Please describe all the positive and negative impacts on the duty to advance equality of opportunity (i.e. by removing or minimising disadvantage, meeting the needs of particular groups that are different from the needs of others and encouraging participation in public life)? Please indicate alongside each identified impact the relevant policy or service (see [part 1b](#)) and relevant evidence (see [part 2a](#)).

<b>Positive Impacts</b>
Wide communications used, information provided in press, libraries, on the Council's website and on lamp posts on every affected street.
<b>Negative Impacts</b>
None

3. Please describe all the positive and negative impacts on the duty to foster good relations (i.e. by tackling prejudice and promoting understanding)? Please indicate alongside each identified impact the relevant policy or service (see [part 1b](#)) and relevant evidence (see [part 2a](#)).

<b>Positive Impacts</b>
Guidance note published on Council website and sent to Partnership & Information Officers and libraries to help explain the statutory consultation process. Information about the SLO included in 20mph publicity leaflet SLO notice produced in accessible font

<b>Negative Impacts</b>
None

### Part 3: Evidence Gaps, Recommendations, Justifications and Sign Off

#### (a) Evidence Gaps

Please list all relevant evidence gaps and action to address identified gaps.

Evidence Gaps	Action to address gaps
As part of the 20mph public and statutory consultation, a number of people questioned the effectiveness of 20mph speed limits	Monitoring 'before' and 'after' surveys are underway to investigate traffic speed and volume and public perception.

#### (b) Recommendations

Please record SMART recommendations which may include actions to

- (i) eliminate unlawful practice or infringements of absolute rights;
- (ii) justify identified infringements of rights; or
- (iii) mitigate identified negative equality impacts
- (iv) further advance equality and rights, and promote good relations.

Recommendation	Responsibility of (name)	Timescale
Obtain further evidence from the 20mph monitoring programme	Eileen Hewitt	January 2017

#### (c) Sign Off

I, the undersigned, am content that:

- (i) the ERIA record represents a thorough and proportionate ERIA analysis based on a sound evidence base;
- (ii) the ERIA analysis gives no indication of unlawful practice or violation of absolute rights;
- (iii) the ERIA recommendations are proportionate and will be delivered;
- (iv) the results of the ERIA process have informed officer or member decision making;
- (v) that the record of ERIA has been published on the Council's website / intranet, or
- (vi) that the ERIA record has been reviewed and re-published.

Date	Sign Off (print name and position)	Reason for Sign Off (please indicate which reason/s from list (i) to (vi) above)
02/11/16	Simon Lievesley, 20mph Programme Manager	i-v
14/9/17	Michael THain, Head of Place Development	(i, ii, iii)