# **Section 4 Integrated Impact Assessment**

# **Summary Report Template**

Each of the numbered sections below must be completed

Interim report	✓	Final report	(Tick as appropriate)

# 1. Title of plan, policy or strategy being assessed

George Street and First New Town Public Realm Design

# 2. What will change as a result of this proposal?

The design aims to create a vibrant street environment around George Street, Castle Street, Frederick Street and Hanover Street – one that is safe for all users and which significantly enhances its use for pedestrians while prioritising active travel and accessibility for people of all ages and abilities. The reallocation of road space will help to improve the operation and visual quality of the street leading to a calmer, more people friendly environment for people to shop, relax and do business.

### 3. Briefly describe public involvement in this proposal to date and planned

The initial concept design builds on input from residents, local community groups, businesses and the wider public. A public consultation on the draft design concept was held from November to January 2019. A wide range of stakeholder engagement events have been undertaken including an online survey, an exhibition of the proposals, drop in events, social media, outreach sessions with school geography classes and equalities workshops. The engagement programme will continue throughout the duration of the project.

#### 4. Date of IIA

Initial meeting on 13 May 2019.

5. Who was present at the IIA? Identify facilitator, Lead Officer, report writer and any partnership representative present and main stakeholder (e.g. NHS, Council)

Name	Job Title	Date of IIA training	Email
Anna	City Centre		Anna.herriman@edinburgh.gov.uk
Herriman	Programme		
(Lead Officer)	Manager		
Jamie	Senior Transport		Jamie.robertson@edinburgh.gov.uk
Robertson	Officer (Major		
	Projects)		
Andrew	Economic		Andrew.caldwell@edinburgh.gov.uk
Caldwell	Development		
	Officer		
Eileen	Transport Officer	9/05/2019	Eileen.hewitt@edinburgh.gov.uk
Hewitt	(Active Travel)		
(Facilitator			
and IIA Report			
Author)			
ĺ			

# 6. Evidence available at the time of the IIA

Evidence	Available?	Comments: what does the evidence tell you?	
Data on populations in	Census 2011	City of Edinburgh has one of the fastest growing	
need		populations of any city in the UK. Although the	
	National Records for Scotland	city has a lower share of its population over 65	
	2017 Mid year estimates	years of age (12%), the wider city region has a	
		significantly higher share (22%) than Edinburgh	
	Scottish Index of Multiple	and Scotland (19%).	
	Deprivation (SIMD)		
		Based on 2011 Census Data the wards with the	
		highest number of health conditions (including	
	Joint Strategic Needs	Deafness, Blindness, Physical, mental health,	
	Assessment (CEC, 2015)	learning disabilities etc.) are	
		Portobello/Craigmillar and Liberton/Gilmerton.	
		Both had 31% of their total reporting health	

Evidence	Available?	Comments: what does the		
		evidence tell you?		
		conditions. The City Centre had the lowest proportion (22%).		
Data on service uptake/access	Census 2011	Car use in Edinburgh is the joint lowest of all Scottish cities. In 2010 of the 191,000 people living and working in Edinburgh, 63,500 commuted to work by car and a further 63,300 commuted by car from other local authority areas.		
		Transport accessibility is lowest around the periphery areas of Edinburgh, for example Niddrie, Baberton, Clermiston and Granton. Many of these are areas of high deprivation as ranked by the SIMD.		
Data on equality outcomes	Bike Life (Sustrans 2017)	In a 2017 survey, 24.5% of school pupils, stated they normally travelled to school using only private motorised mode of travel compared with 48.8% who normally use active modes.		
		2017 data from Transport Scotland indicates that women were more likely than men to walk or catch the bus to work and men were more likely to cycle to work or travel by rail. In Scotland twice as many men as women cycle once or twice a week for transport.		
		In addition, people in lower income households were more likely to walk or take the bus whereas people in higher income households were more likely to drive.		
		7.5% of commuters living in Edinburgh cycle to work with over 15.3 million trips made by bike in 2017. In the city black and minority ethnic (BAME) communities, women and over 65s are underrepresented when it comes to cycling.  • Female – 37%  • Over 65 – 6%  • BAME – 3% (8% of City population)		
Research/literature evidence	<ul> <li>The Edinburgh Street         Design Guidance</li> <li>The Local Transport         Strategy</li> <li>The Local         Development Plan</li> <li>Edinburgh City Centre         Transformation</li> </ul>	The Council has approved policies, strategies and guidance which support the protection and enhancement of the public realm. The George Street and First New Town Project supports these policies and seeks to deliver an exceptional street environment that is welcoming and accessible for all users.		

Evidence	Available?	Comments: what does the evidence tell you?
Public/patient/client experience information	Ongoing including public consultation (Nov – Jan 2019)	<ul> <li>Stakeholder feedback reveals broad support for the concept design.</li> <li>Strong support for wider pavements, outdoor seating and removal of street clutter</li> <li>Some concerns regarding the potential for the displacement of traffic, pedestrian safety adjacent to a cycle way</li> <li>A general concern is noted that the needs of elderly and disabled people must be taken into account</li> </ul>
Evidence of inclusive engagement of service users and involvement findings	Equalities workshop held in April 2019 with representatives from mobility and access groups to explore aspects of the design Outreach sessions with geography pupils from 2 local high schools Dec 2019	<ul> <li>Concerns about impacts of shared space on people with sensory disabilities</li> <li>Road space re-allocation to pavements benefits disabled.</li> <li>Advantages of clear waymarking (including of accessible routes)</li> <li>Support for more places to sit and socialise, event space where they feel safe and near public transport connections.</li> </ul>
Evidence of unmet need	Yes	As above
Good practice guidelines	Yes	The project seeks to follow best practice such as Designing Streets and Edinburgh Street Design Guidance, National Standards of Community Engagement and Council's consultation framework.
Environmental data	George Street Public Life Assessment	GNT is a project within City Centre Transformation (CCT) Details will be provided as part of a separate Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) which will be published alongside the CCT Strategy in September 2019  1 Need to improve pedestrian priority and safety. Currently vehicles are dominant in the study area 2 General lack of public seating 3 The current street layout does not fully align with desired pedestrian movement 4 Hanover St South experiences the highest footfall in the study area 5 Need to rationalise street clutter

Evidence	Available?	Comments: what does the evidence tell you?
Risk from cumulative impacts  Other (please specify)	Yes  Edinburgh City Centre	Cumulative impacts may come about as a result of Low Emission Zone, City Mobility Plan and City Plan 2030 policies which are being developed in parallel with City Centre Transformation. Cumulative impacts from this work will be included in due course once impact assessments of these are undertaken as part of the SEA and IIA for City Centre Transformation which will be reported in September 2019.  The GNT project is one of a number of projects
	Transformation (ECCT)	in and around the city centre which feeds into the new City Centre Transformation Strategy and proposals. A key part of the GNT project team's work so far has been to ensure the design and project objectives are fully aligned to this important wider context. The report on the proposals within the City Centre  Transformation Strategy to be considered at the Transport and Environment Committee on 16 May 2019
Additional evidence required		

# 7. In summary, what impacts were identified and which groups will they affect?

Equality, Health and Wellbeing and Human Rights	Affected populations
Positive	
The concept design aims to create an equitable public realm on par with other great global cities which facilitates access for all citizens by improving accessibility and the pedestrian experience.	
Positive impacts are expected to disabled and older people through interventions such as increasing the width of pavements, eliminating street clutter, retention of blue badge parking and creating spaces where people can sit, rest and relax on the street with significantly more seating available. Upgrading footway	Cyclists, pedestrians, disabled people, older people

surfacing and crossing quality will also accommodate the needs of wheelchair users, people with pushchairs and those with visual impairment.

It is expected that improvements to the walking and cycling infrastructure will encourage more people to walk and cycle leading to better physical and mental health.

Maintaining access of public transport lines/stops is of benefit to people from lower socioeconomic backgrounds and people travelling from rural areas. Investment in improving conditions for cycling and walking provides a low cost transport option to people on low incomes.

Creating a more welcoming and cohesive street environment has the potential to improve the quality of life of people with learning disabilities or mental health issues.

## **Negative**

Safety concerns about shared space have been expressed through the consultation process. Care will be required in the design of the segregated two way cycle path to minimise potential conflict between pedestrians and cyclists and ensure that access for people with mobility issues or sensory impairments are maintained and not adversely affected. Similarly, care will be required to ensure the plaza areas and junctions are safe and accessible for all.

There is potential that some older people who do not have a blue badge and struggle to use public transport may find it more difficult to access George Street.

Members of the St Andrew's and St George Church West may have to walk further to get to church if travelling by car or bus. Deprived communities, disabled, elderly, young people

Disabled, cyclists and pedestrians

Older people

Older people

## **Environment and Sustainability**

#### **Positive**

The design aims to promote a healthy and sustainable environment. Through interventions such as the removal of central parking and the introduction of greenery, it is expected that this will help to improve air quality and reduce carbon emissions.

There is potential to restrict servicing and loading to key times of day and encourage more sustainable forms of servicing to reduce

# Affected populations

All users of George Streets and the First New Town.

vehicle dominance and pedestrian/vehicle conflicts whilst still allowing the function of businesses.

The concept design aims to provide a safe and attractive cycle space that enhances the area's connecting role for cycling in the city in a way that also supports the environmental quality of the streets. Improved crossing facilities will create a safer environment for pedestrians.

**GNT** businesses

Pedestrians and cyclists

# **Negative**

Potential negative impacts associated with the displacement of traffic and congestion to neighbouring streets have been identified through the consultation process.

All users of George Streets and the First New Town.

#### **Economic**

#### **Positive**

Good quality public realm helps to attract new investment, increase footfall and regenerate the area. It is expected that improving the public realm on George Street and the surrounding streets will have economic benefits for the whole area.

# Affected populations

All users of George Streets and the First New Town.

# **Negative**

A reduction of parking was identified as having a potential negative impact on the vitality of business by respondents to the online survey.

**GNT** businesses

8. Is any part of this policy/ service to be carried out wholly or partly by contractors and how will equality, human rights including children's rights, environmental and sustainability issues be addressed?

As part of the Council's procurement process due regard is required to be given to all equalities and rights, environmental and sustainability impacts when undertaking work on behalf of the Council.

9. Consider how you will communicate information about this policy/ service change to children and young people and those affected by sensory impairment, speech impairment, low level literacy or numeracy, learning difficulties or English as a second language? Please provide a summary of the communications plan.

A range of communication methods will be used to reach out to different types of people. Community involvement (listening to and acting on stakeholder views) will remain a key element of the development of a preliminary design. Formats will be designed to be understood by a range of population groups. Residents are encouraged to use our translation service if they have language/visual requirements.

10. Does the policy concern agriculture, forestry, fisheries, energy, industry, transport, waste management, water management, telecommunications, tourism, town and country planning or land use? If yes, an SEA should be completed, and the impacts identified in the IIA should be included in this.

An SEA has been undertaken for the Edinburgh City Centre Transformation Project which the GNT project feeds into.

## 11. Additional Information and Evidence Required

If further evidence is required, please note how it will be gathered. If appropriate, mark this report as interim and submit updated final report once further evidence has been gathered.

Further engagement will be undertaken with specific user groups on those aspects of the design where further consideration is needed before finalising the preliminary design.

#### 12. Recommendations (these should be drawn from 6 – 11 above)

- Blue badge spaces to be retained for disabled ease of access to the area with additional spaces being introduced and prioritised
- Current controlled and pedestrian crossings to be retained to facilitate pedestrian movements
- Cycleways and footpaths to be segregated for the safety of both users
- Removal of existing street clutter
- Creation of spaces where people can rest and relax with public seating also provided
- Extended footways to enhance pedestrian movement flow
- Bus stops to be retained in their current approximate locations preserving public transport within the street.

# 13. Specific to this IIA only, what actions have been, or will be, undertaken and by when? Please complete:

Specific actions (as a result of the IIA which may include financial implications, mitigating actions and risks of cumulative impacts)	Who will take them forward (name and contact details)	Deadline for progressing	Review date
Further engagement will be undertaken with specific user groups on those aspects of the design where further consideration is needed before finalising a preliminary design.	Mark Rinkus, Consultants WYG, GNT Project Team	31/08/2019	31/08/2019
Issues identified for mitigation from the consultation process will be taken forward for further review.	Mark Rinkus, Consultants WYG, GNT Project Team	Ongoing	Annual

# 14. How will you monitor how this policy, plan or strategy affects different groups, including people with protected characteristics?

Post implementation research will identify whether the scheme has improved the travel experience of equality groups. CEC will periodically review data to determine any substantial demographic changes in the area over time. Pedestrian and cycle counts will be undertaken at strategic counts on the network to collect data and interpret the effect of the design on footfall and cycle lane usage.

# 15. Sign off by Head of Service/ Project LeadName Anna Herriman, City Centre Programme ManagerDate 15/05/2019