

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **1 A**

We want to connect our places, parks and green spaces together as part of a city-wide, regional, and national green network. We want new development to connect to, and deliver this network. Do you agree with this? - Select support / don't support

Short Response

Explanation CBCC supports the proposed active travel route linking Kirkliston with Cammo and Cramond, but notes that in Choice 8 the route curtails at the northern Airport boundary. Such a foreshortened route would be of value to a very restricted user base. This route should be shown as extending from Cramond Village to Kirkliston and include provision for replacement of Salvesen Steps to enable through cycling along length of route.

Choice **1 B**

We want to change our policy to require all development (including change of use) to include green and blue infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Support / Object

Short Response

Explanation Cityplan should contain policies to protect and enhance green spaces and ensure that all major developments include provision of sports pitches, kick-about areas and play areas to promote active lifestyles.

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 1 C

We want to identify areas that can be used for future water management to enable adaptation to climate change. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 1 D

We want to clearly set out under what circumstances the development of poor quality or underused open space will be considered acceptable. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 1 E

We want to introduce a new 'extra-large green space standard' which recognises that as we grow communities will need access to green spaces more than 5 hectares. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation In supporting policies for extra-large spaces, CBCC advocates the extension of the extra-large space which incorporates Cammo Estate LNR and Turnhouse Golf Course in the west of the City to include land between Craigs Road and Cammo Estate LNR on the west side of Cammo Walk and including Mauseley Hill and the protected former Portuguese Garden. This land would help to complete a green corridor linking with greenspace in the forthcoming Cammo and Maybury developments and the East Craigs residential area. It has been identified in the EIA landscape assessments as being inappropriate for development due to its landscape qualities.

Choice 1 F

We want to identify specific sites for new allotments and food growing, both as part of new development sites and within open space in the urban area. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation As a local example, and within the proposed extended extra large greenspace CBCC suggests the allocation of land bounded by the Cammo Estate LNR, Cammo Walk and Mauseley Hill for allotments serving the existing Clermiston, East Craigs and Drumbrae communities and the existing and to be much expanded Cammo, West Craigs and Maybury communities.

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Supporting Info

Name

Email

Response Type

On behalf of:

Choice 1 F

We want to identify specific sites for new allotments and food growing, both as part of new development sites and within open space in the urban area. Do you agree with this? - Upload (max size 3mb)

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 1 G

We want to identify space for additional cemetery provision, including the potential for green and woodland burials. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 1 H

We want to revise our existing policies and green space designations to ensure that new green spaces have long term maintenance and management arrangements in place. Do you agree with this? - Yes/No

Short Response

Explanation Policies for new green spaces should also contain positive policies advocating the inclusion of facilities for the active enjoyment of open spaces, for example, play areas, kick-about areas, sports pitches, etc, to promote more active lifestyles and tackle obesity.

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **2 A**

We want all development (including change of use), through design and access statements, to demonstrate how their design will incorporate measures to tackle and adapt to climate change, their future adaptability and measures to address accessibility for people with varying needs, age and mobility issues as a key part of their layouts. - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **2 B**

We want to revise our policies on density to ensure that we make best use of the limited space in our city and that sites are not under-developed. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **2 C**

We want to revise our design and layout policies to achieve ensure their layouts deliver active travel and connectivity links. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation CBCC agree partially with this, but are concerned that the pursuit of active travel may be at the expense of motorised public and private transport accommodation and risk a reduction in resilience. This would be a particular concern when space is taken out from conventional transport and new active transport provision creates a net loss in practically useable transport capacity. Where new active travel routes are provided and insofar as practical cycling routes should be segregated (e.g. by kerbs, verges) from trafficked roads and differentiated from walkways.

Choice **2 D**

We want all development, including student housing, to deliver quality open space and public realm, useable for a range of activities, including drying space, without losing densities. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **3 A**

We want all buildings and conversions to meet the zero carbon / platinum standards as set out in the current Scottish Building Regulations. Instead we could require new development to meet the bronze, silver or gold standard. Which standard should new development in Edinburgh meet? - Which standard?

Short Response

Explanation Unrealistic to require all existing buildings to meet 'zero carbon/platinum standards', without unacceptable changes to historic character of building, unacceptable costs, etc.. Some flexibility is needed Policies should promote incorporation of green walls, green roofs, etc. to help mitigate urban pollution and green the City

Choice **4 A**

We want to work with local communities to prepare Place Briefs for areas and sites within City Plan 2030 highlighting the key elements of design, layout, and transport, education and healthcare infrastructure development should deliver. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation CBCC wishes to work with Council to prepare Place Briefs and Local Place Plans, but emphasises the need for the Council to provide adequate resources to support communities in such exercises and to extend support to all communities. We were refused help to develop a local vision and improvement plan.CEC not currently staffed adequately to provide technical support for such commitments.

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 4 B

We want to support Local Place Plans being prepared by our communities. City Plan 2030 will set out how Local Place Plans can help us achieve great places and support community ambitions. - How should the Council work with local communities to prepare Local Place Plans?

Short Response

Explanation Council should provide technical assistance to consult on and prepare illustrative materials showing options for local place plans and environmental improvement schemes. This will require increase in staff resources and change in engagement and support culture within Planning Service.

Choice 5 A

We want City Plan 2030 to direct development to where there is existing infrastructure capacity, including education, healthcare and sustainable transport, or where potential new infrastructure will be accommodated and deliverable within the plan period. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation There needs to be an explicit 'Infrastructure First' policy with required infrastructure being provided in advance of development, or alongside the phased roll-out of residential and other developments. Recent developments in West Edinburgh have proceeded/are proceeding in areas where there is inadequate roads and other infrastructure.

Choice 5 B

We want City Plan 2030 to set out where new community facilities are needed, and that these must be well connected to active travel routes and in locations with high accessibility to good sustainable public transport services. Do you agree with this? - Yes / NO

Short Response

Explanation Yes, but there will remain a need for adequate roads infrastructure to serve all roads transport needs – including orbital and cross-city journeys.

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 5 C

We want to reflect the desire to co-locate our community services close to the communities they serve, supporting a high walk-in population and reducing the need to travel. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 5 D1

We want to set out in the plan where development will be expected to contribute toward new or expanded community infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 5 D2

We want to use cumulative contribution zones to determine infrastructure actions, costs and delivery mechanisms. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 5 E

We want to stop using supplementary guidance and set out guidance for developer contributions within the plan, Action Programme and in non-statutory guidance. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation While agreeing with the principles, CBCC has little confidence in the Council's current approach to using Action Programmes to schedule infrastructure provision. Too often infrastructure provision is just rescheduled within the latest Action Programme resulting in the credibility of the Action Programme being reduced.

Choice 6 A

We want to create a new policy that assesses development against its ability to meet our targets for public transport usage and walking and cycling. These targets will vary according to the current or planned public transport services and high-quality active travel routes. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **6 B**

We want to use Place Briefs to set the targets for trips by walking, cycling and public transport based on current and planned transit interventions. This will determine appropriate parking levels to support high use of public transport. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation Partial support. CBCC does not accept that parking constraints in new developments – especially in suburban areas - are effective in transferring demand from private cars to public transport. They often only result in on-street parking and congestion, where inadequate off-street parking is provided or inadequate provision is dictated by planning policies.

Choice **7 A**

We want to determine parking levels in development based on targets for trips by walking, cycling and public transport. These targets could be set by area, development type, or both and will be supported by other measures to control on-street parking. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation CBCC does not accept that parking constraints in new developments – especially in suburban areas - are effective in transferring demand from private cars to public transport. They only result in on-street parking and congestion, where inadequate off-street parking is provided or dictated by planning policies. Many communities are dependent on major retail centres (e.g. Craighleith, Kinnaird Park). In the foreseeable future access to such facilities especially by the elderly, disabled and families with young children is likely to be by car and proposed polices for reducing car use will disadvantage such members of the community.

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **7 B**

We want to protect against the development of additional car parking in the city centre to support the delivery of the Council's city centre transformation programme. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **7 C**

We want to update our parking policies to control demand and to support parking for bikes, those with disabilities and electric vehicles via charging infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation While agreeing with the intentions, current parking policies are just creating on-street congestion. While constraints may be effective in urban areas, they are less effective in suburban areas. Policies should ensure adequate off-street parking and the provision of garages which are adequate for current needs including adequate space for cars, bicycles, etc.. A recent handling report stated that a 5m x 3m garage could accommodate a car + 3 bikes. This is ludicrous when the average family car measures 4m-5m x 2m and a driver has to get in and out of the car once in the garage!

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **7 D**

We want to support the city's park and ride infrastructure by safeguarding sites for new park and ride and extensions, including any other sites that are identified in the City Mobility Plan or its action plan. Do you agree with this? - We want to support the city's park and ride infrastructure by safeguarding sites for new park and ride and extensions, including any other sites that are identified in the City Mobility Plan or its action plan.

Short Response

Explanation The scale of the policy and its implementation is inadequate in relation to the daily volume of traffic entering the city and shows a lack of ambition and imagination. The capacity provided by 10 sites each with a capacity for up to 10,000 vehicles would be a good target to cope with today's volumes plus growth. Mass commuting into (and out from) the city underpins a flexible workforce where job mobility is not highly constrained by the need to move housing. Moreover, the challenge for transport will be space and not air quality as technological advances in private vehicle design continue.

Choice **8 A**

We want to update our policy on the Cycle and Footpath Network to provide criteria for identifying new routes. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **8 B**

As part of the City Centre Transformation and other Council and partner projects to improve strategic walking and cycling links around the city, we want to add the following routes (along with our existing safeguards) to our network as active travel proposals to ensure that they are delivered. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **8 C**

We want City Plan 2030 to safeguard and add any other strategic active travel links within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 to include any new strategic active travel links which may be identified in the forthcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified through this consultation. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **8 C**

We want City Plan 2030 to safeguard and add any other strategic active travel links within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 to include any new strategic active travel links which may be identified in the forthcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified through this consultation. Do you agree with this? - Upload new cycle routes

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 9 A

We want to consult on designating Edinburgh, or parts of Edinburgh, as a 'Short Term Let Control Area' where planning permission will always be required for the change of use of whole properties for short-term lets. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation CBBC support controls on all short-term commercial lets, including in area outside the City Centre – e.g. Cramond Village, which are under pressures from such uses.

Choice 9 B

We want to create a new policy on the loss of homes to alternative uses. This new policy will be used when planning permission is required for a change of use of residential flats and houses to short-stay commercial visitor accommodation or other uses. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation This policy is required. Areas such as Cramond Village have suffered from the conversion of long-term residential properties to short-term letting accommodation.

Choice 10 A

We want to revise our policy on purpose-built student housing. We want to ensure that student housing is delivered at the right scale and in the right locations, helps create sustainable communities and looks after student's wellbeing. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **10 B**

We want to create a new policy framework which sets out a requirement for housing on all sites over a certain size coming forward for development. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation Any such new policy should promote the provision in new housing developments of at least a proportion of accommodation with associated workspaces to accommodate the increasing percentage of residents working from home. Recent trends in home working (full- or part-time) are likely to increase dramatically following businesses' and individuals' experiences during the coronavirus lock-down and the installation of, and people's experiences of using, remote working technology. Major developments should include also provision of small-scale office and other workspace units (e.g. tradespersons' workspaces) to cater for people wishing to work near to home and to discourage commuting.

Choice **10 C**

We want to create a new policy promoting the better use of stand-alone out of centre retail units and commercial centres, where their redevelopment for mixed use including housing would be supported. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **11 A**

We want to amend our policy to increase the provision of affordable housing requirement from 25% to 35%. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation A blanket policy for all new developments and conversions of more than 12 units to be mixed tenure is not appropriate (e.g. conversion of large houses to apartments in suburbs, retirement complexes). Policies should enable developers to make s 75 contributions to affordable housing developments elsewhere.

Choice **11 B**

We want City Plan 2030 to require a mix of housing types and tenures – we want the plan to be prescriptive on the required mix, including the percentage requirement for family housing and support for the Private Rented Sector. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation A blanket policy for all new developments and conversions to be mixed tenure is not appropriate (e.g. conversion of large houses to apartments in suburbs, retirement complexes). Policies should enable developers to make s 75 contributions to mixed tenure housing developments elsewhere.

Customer Ref: 00701 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWH7-4

Name Andrew Mather

Response Type Community Council

On behalf of: Cramond & Barnton Community Council

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 12 A

Which option do you support? - Option 1/2/3

Short Response Option 1 (Council/

Explanation 'Option 1.' has most potential for delivering the more sustainable forms of development advocated in City Plan 2030. A more interventionist approach can require sites being held for development to be brought forward for mixed use housing and the provision of necessary infrastructure and community services to support such developments. CBCC is concerned that sites such as the West Craigs Industrial Site are identified for housing development. Such small-scale, lower cost, sites are important to start-up businesses and other businesses essential to the City's economy. There is limited provision of small business units in North Edinburgh and such provision is essential to the City's economy and provide employment within sustainable travel distances from homes.

Choice 12 B1

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Calderwood

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation

Choice 12 B2

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Kirkliston

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **12 B3**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - West Edinburgh

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 B4**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - East of Riccarton

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 B5**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - South East Edinburgh

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:

00701

Response Ref:

ANON-KU2U-GWH7-4

Supporting Info

Name

Andrew Mather

Email

Response Type

Community Council

On behalf of:

Cramond & Barnton Community Council

Choice

12 B6

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - Calderwood

Short Response

Not Answered

Explanation

Choice

12 B7

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - Kirkliston

Short Response

Yes

Explanation

Choice

12 B8

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - West Edinburgh

Short Response

Not Answered

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **12 B9**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - East of Riccarton

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 B10**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - South East Edinburgh

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 BX**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Explain why

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:

00701

Response Ref:

ANON-KU2U-GWH7-4

Supporting Info

Name

Andrew Mather

Email

Response Type

Community Council

On behalf of:

Cramond & Barnton Community Council

Choice 12 C

Do you have a greenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfield file upload

Short Response

No

Explanation

Choice 12 C

Do you have a greenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfield file upload

Short Response

No

Explanation

Choice 12 C

Do you have a greenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfield file upload

Short Response

No

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 12 D

Do you have a brownfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Brownfield sites upload

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 13 A

We want to create a new policy that provides support for social enterprises, start-ups, culture and tourism, innovation and learning, and the low carbon sector, where there is a contribution to good growth for Edinburgh. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 14 A

We want City Plan 2030 to support the best use of existing public transport infrastructure in West Edinburgh and accommodate the development of a mix of uses to support inclusive, sustainable growth. We will do this through 'an area of search' which allows a wide consideration of future uses within West Edinburgh without being tied to individual sites. Do you support this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **14 B**

We want to remove the safeguard in the existing plan for the Royal Highland Showground site to the south of the A8 at Norton Park and allocate the site for other uses. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **14 C**

We want City Plan 2030 to allocate the Airport's contingency runway, the "crosswinds runway" for the development of alternative uses next to the Edinburgh Gateway interchange. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation CBCC can only support the Crosswinds and associated development proposals if associated with the provision of adequate roads capacity at the Gogar and Maybury Roundabouts and the link road between these. Assessments of the development proposals should take full account of the traffic and air quality impacts of such a development on the Newbridge to City Centre traffic corridor – especially Corstorphine, Roseburn and Haymarket.

Choice **15 A**

We want to continue to use the national 'town centre first' approach. City Plan 2030 will protect and enhance the city centre as the regional core of south east Scotland providing shopping, commercial leisure, and entertainment and tourism activities. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 15 B

New shopping and leisure development will only be allowed within our town and local centres (including any new local centres) justified by the Commercial Needs study. Outwith local centres, small scale proposals will be permitted only in areas where there is evidence of a lack of food shopping within walking distance. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation A more positive policy should be adopted which promotes small-scale shopping facilities where there is evidence of a lack of food shopping within walking distance. Cityplan should promote the reinvigoration town and local centres through partnership-based place-making involving CEC, local businesses and communities.

Choice 15 C

We want to review our existing town and local centres including the potential for new identified centres and boundary changes where they support walking and cycling access to local services in outer areas, consistent with the outcomes of the City Mobility Plan. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 15 D

We want to continue to prepare and update supplementary guidance for our town centres to adapt to changing retail patterns and trends, and ensure an appropriate balance of uses within our centres to maintain their vitality, viability and deliver good placemaking. Instead we could stop using supplementary guidance for town centres and set out guidance within the plan. Which approach do you support? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 15 E

We want to support new hotel provision in local, town, commercial centres and other locations with good public transport access throughout Edinburgh. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 15 G

We could also seek to reduce the quantity of retail floorspace within centres in favour of alternative uses such as increased leisure provision and permit commercial centres to accommodate any growing demand. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 16 A1

We want to continue to support office use at strategic office locations at Edinburgh Park/South Gyle, the International Business Gateway, Leith, the city centre, and in town and local centres. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 A2

We want to support office development at commercial centres as these also provide accessible locations. - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation
In addition, City Plan 2030 should recognise the growth of home-working (full-time and occasional) encouraged by the digital economy and advances in digital communications, and to provide workspaces within walking/cycling distance from homes. Current stay-at-home requirements and individuals' and businesses' adaption to working patterns and use of remote working technologies can only encourage increased home working patterns Major developments should include a proportion of homes with integral workspaces and provision of small business workspaces (offices and/or workshop spaces).

Choice 16 A3

We want to strengthen the requirement within the city centre to provide significant office floorspace within major mixed-use developments. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 16 A4

We want to amend the boundary of the Leith strategic office location to remove areas with residential development consent. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 A5

We want to continue to support office development in other accessible locations elsewhere in the urban area. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 A5

We want to continue to support office development in other accessible locations elsewhere in the urban area. Do you agree? - Do you have an office site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan?

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: 00701 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWH7-4
Name: Andrew Mather
Response Type: Community Council
On behalf of: Cramond & Barnton Community Council

Supporting Info:
Email:

Choice 16 B

We want to identify sites and locations within Edinburgh with potential for office development. Do you agree with this? - Yes/No

Short Response: Not Answered

Explanation: City Plan 2030 should recognise the growth of home-working (full-time and occasional) encouraged by the digital economy and advances in digital communications, and to provide workspaces within walking/cycling distance from homes. Major developments should include a proportion of homes with integral workspaces and provision of small business workspaces (offices and/or workshop spaces).

Choice 16 C

We want to introduce a loss of office policy to retain accessible office accommodation. This would not permit the redevelopment of office buildings other than for office use, unless existing office space is provided as part of denser development. This would apply across the city to recognise that office locations outwith the city centre and strategic office locations are important in meeting the needs of the mid-market. Or we could introduce a 'loss of office' policy only in the city centre. - Yes / No

Short Response: I support no change

Explanation: Loss of office spaces may be a result of changes in economic conditions and working patterns (e.g. hot desking and home working patterns).

Choice 16 E1

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - Leith Strategic Business Centre

Short Response: Not Answered

Explanation:

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 16 E2

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - Newbridge

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E3

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - Newcraighall Industrial Estate.

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E4

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - The Crosswinds Runway

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 16 E5

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - Leith Strategic Business Centre

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E6

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - Newbridge

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E7

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - Newcraighall Industrial Estate.

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 16 E8

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - The Crosswinds Runway

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 EX

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Explain why

Short Response

Explanation CBCC can only support the 'Crosswinds' site if there are adequate improvements in roads capacity at the Gogar Roundabout, Maybury Junction and road links between these roads to cater for the increase in traffic which would be generated by such development. Assessments should take account also the potential traffic and air quality impacts on the A8 Maybury to City Centre corridor.

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 16 F

We want to ensure new business space is provided as part of the redevelopment of urban sites and considered in Place Briefs for greenfield sites. We want to set out the amount expected to be re-provided, clearer criteria on what constitutes flexible business space, and how to deliver it, including the location on-site, and considering adjacent uses, servicing and visibility. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 G

We want to continue to protect industrial estates that are designated under our current policy on Employment Sites and Premises (Emp 8). Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 H

We want to introduce a policy that provides criteria for locations that we would support city-wide and neighbourhood goods distribution hubs. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:

00701

Response Ref:

ANON-KU2U-GWH7-4

Supporting Info

Name

Andrew Mather

Email

Response Type

Community Council

On behalf of:

Cramond & Barnton Community Council

[REDACTED]