

Customer Ref: 00770 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWHN-U
Name: Jeff Stevenson
Response Type: Community Council
On behalf of: Danderhall and District Community Council

Supporting Info: Yes

Email: [REDACTED]

Choice 1 A

We want to connect our places, parks and green spaces together as part of a city-wide, regional, and national green network. We want new development to connect to, and deliver this network. Do you agree with this? - Select support / don't support

Short Response: Yes

Explanation
See the all-encompassing response as follows: Danderhall and District Community Council strongly supports the environmental ethos which underpins the City of Edinburgh Council's statements in the Council's consultation document "Choices for CITY PLAN 2030". "A sustainable city which supports everyone's physical and mental wellbeing. To do this we want to create a network of greenspaces that protects our beautiful green setting and helps people make sustainable travel choices. We want to create new places that are of the highest quality and ensure that development in our city enhances and contributes to our existing communities. THESE STATEMENTS ARE HEARTILY ENDORSED BUT POTENTIAL CONFLICT MAY ARISE WHEN THESE OBJECTIVES ARE CHALLENGED BY DEVELOPERS. IF THE CEC DOES NOT HOLD FAST TO THESE PRINCIPLES AND DEVELOP AN INTEGRATED STRATEGY FOR TRANSPORT AND LAND USE, DEVELOPERS MAY PREVAIL AND PUBLIC HEALTH AND WELL BEING WILL SUFFER. To do this we want to create a network of greenspaces that protects our beautiful green setting and helps people make sustainable travel choices. We want to create new places that are of the highest quality and ensure that development in our city enhances and contributes to our existing communities. Choices for CITY PLAN 2030 page 6 WE AGREE We want Edinburgh to be a sustainable and healthy city. Edinburgh needs to be resilient, adaptable and address the impacts of climate change, contributing to the delivery of a carbon neutral city by 2030. We want Edinburgh to be a city which supports everyone's physical and mental wellbeing... Choices for CITY PLAN 2030 page 6 WE AGREE The consultation report tells us that the FIRST choice facing consultees is to consider how Edinburgh can be made a sustainable, active and connected city. City Plan 2030 needs to ensure Edinburgh is as sustainable as possible to help meet our ambitious climate change objective to be a carbon neutral city by 2030. Our city must address the impacts of climate change whilst providing people with a great place to live. To do this we think the green and blue environment should be a fundamental element of all development in Edinburgh. We want to change our policies to reflect this. Choices for CITY PLAN 2030 page 7 WE AGREE We want to create a new policy [emphasis added] which will help connect our places, parks and greenspaces [emphasis added] together as part of a multi-functional, local, city-wide, regional and national green network... We want to develop and maintain a city-wide network of high quality and beautiful multi-use green spaces to increase our health and wellbeing, encourage more walking, cycling and sport, address climate change, have a positive impact on biodiversity and air quality, manage the water environment and create opportunities for food growing. [emphasis added] New development will need to ensure it connects to and delivers this network, including connections to the wider regional green network. Choices for CITY PLAN 2030 page 7 WE AGREE The City of Edinburgh Council identifies a number of existing environmental policies (City of Edinburgh Local Development Plan November 2016) which are under revision [emphasis added]: Design policies including Climate Adaptation and Development Design (Policy Des 6 and Des

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

3) Open Space Protection (Env 18)Protection of Outdoor Sports Facilities (Env 19) Open Space in New Development (Env 20) Environment Policies Env 12 to 16 and 21 and 22.DANDERHALL AND DISTRICT COMMUNITY COUNCIL WISHES TO EMPHASISE THAT, IN ORDER TO SECURE THE COUNCIL'S AIMS AND OBJECTIVES AS SET OUT ABOVE THESE EXISTING POLICIES NEED STRENGTHENING AND, IN PARTICULAR, MUST OFFER GREATER PROTECTION ESPECIALLY WITH REGARD REGARD TO OPEN SPACE PROVISION (PARKS, PARKLAND AND GREENSPACES) ENV 18; TREESCAPE, SITES OF NATURE CONSERVATION IMPORTANCE AND INTEREST ENV 12–16; PLANNING, DESIGN AND PROVISION OF OPEN SPACE IN NEW DEVELOPMENT ENV 20; AS WELL AS THE PROTECTION OF NATURAL RESOURCES ENV 21 AND 22.AS A COROLLARY, THE REVISION AND STRENGTHENING OF THE ABOVE POLICIES IN ORDER TO FULFILL THE CITY'S ENVIRONMENTAL ASPIRATIONS MUST BE PARALLELED BY THE COUNCIL VIGOROUSLY EMPHASISING THE IMPORTANCE OF AND PROTECTING GREEN BELT LAND.IF POSSIBLE, A STRENGTHENING OF GREEN BELT POLICY SHOULD BE UNDERTAKEN GIVEN THE INCREASING THREAT OF ENCROACHMENT BY DEVELOPMENT. FULL GREEN BELT PROTECTION MUST THEREFORE MARCH IN STEP WITH THE AFOREMENTIONED STRENGTHENING OF ENVIRONMENTAL POLICIES. WITHOUT THIS TWO PRONGED THRUST, THE SYNOPTIC AND HOLISTIC ENDEAVOUR OF THE COUNCIL TO BECOME A SUSTAINABLE, ACTIVE AND CONNECTED CITY...DEVELOPING AND MAINTAINING A CITY-WIDE NETWORK OF HIGH QUALITY AND BEAUTIFUL MULTI-USE GREENSPACES WILL NOT SUCCEED. AND WITHOUT THAT, THE SCOPE TO SUPPORT (AND INCREASE) EVERYONE'S PHYSICAL AND MENTAL WELLBEING WILL BE DIMINISHED.

Choice **1 B**

We want to change our policy to require all development (including change of use) to include green and blue infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Support / Object

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 1 C

We want to identify areas that can be used for future water management to enable adaptation to climate change. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 1 D

We want to clearly set out under what circumstances the development of poor quality or underused open space will be considered acceptable. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 1 E

We want to introduce a new 'extra-large green space standard' which recognises that as we grow communities will need access to green spaces more than 5 hectares. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 1 F

We want to identify specific sites for new allotments and food growing, both as part of new development sites and within open space in the urban area. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 1 F

We want to identify specific sites for new allotments and food growing, both as part of new development sites and within open space in the urban area. Do you agree with this? - Upload (max size 3mb)

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 1 G

We want to identify space for additional cemetery provision, including the potential for green and woodland burials. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation THERE IS AN INCREASING WISH FOR MORE "NATURAL" BURIALS E.G. WICKER COFFINS WITH TREE PLANTING. SUCH MOVES FOR MORE ENVIRONMENTALLY FRIENDLY BURIALS WILL REQUIRE NEW, DEDICATED SPACE.

Choice 1 H

We want to revise our existing policies and green space designations to ensure that new green spaces have long term maintenance and management arrangements in place. Do you agree with this? - Yes/No

Short Response

Explanation IT IS CLEAR THAT THERE ARE VARIOUS DEVELOPMENTS AROUND THE CITY WHERE LANDSCAPE IMPLEMENTATION AND MAINTENANCE HAS NOT BEEN ACHIEVED TO THE DETRIMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY. IT MAKES MORE SENSE FOR DEVELOPERS TO HAND OVER EXTERNAL LANDSCAPE TOGETHER WITH FUNDING VIA AGREEMENTS SO THAT A HIGH STANDARD CAN BE MAINTAINED ACROSS THE CITY.

Choice 2 A

We want all development (including change of use), through design and access statements, to demonstrate how their design will incorporate measures to tackle and adapt to climate change, their future adaptability and measures to address accessibility for people with varying needs, age and mobility issues as a key part of their layouts. - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **2 B**

We want to revise our policies on density to ensure that we make best use of the limited space in our city and that sites are not under-developed. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **2 C**

We want to revise our design and layout policies to achieve ensure their layouts deliver active travel and connectivity links. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **2 D**

We want all development, including student housing, to deliver quality open space and public realm, useable for a range of activities, including drying space, without losing densities. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **3 A**

We want all buildings and conversions to meet the zero carbon / platinum standards as set out in the current Scottish Building Regulations. Instead we could require new development to meet the bronze, silver or gold standard. Which standard should new development in Edinburgh meet? - Which standard?

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **4 A**

We want to work with local communities to prepare Place Briefs for areas and sites within City Plan 2030 highlighting the key elements of design, layout, and transport, education and healthcare infrastructure development should deliver. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **4 B**

We want to support Local Place Plans being prepared by our communities. City Plan 2030 will set out how Local Place Plans can help us achieve great places and support community ambitions. - How should the Council work with local communities to prepare Local Place Plans?

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name:
Response Type:
On behalf of:

Supporting Info:

Email:

Choice 5 A

We want City Plan 2030 to direct development to where there is existing infrastructure capacity, including education, healthcare and sustainable transport, or where potential new infrastructure will be accommodated and deliverable within the plan period. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response:

Explanation:

Choice 5 B

We want City Plan 2030 to set out where new community facilities are needed, and that these must be well connected to active travel routes and in locations with high accessibility to good sustainable public transport services. Do you agree with this? - Yes / NO

Short Response:

Explanation:

Choice 5 C

We want to reflect the desire to co-locate our community services close to the communities they serve, supporting a high walk-in population and reducing the need to travel. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response:

Explanation:

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 5 D1

We want to set out in the plan where development will be expected to contribute toward new or expanded community infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 5 D2

We want to use cumulative contribution zones to determine infrastructure actions, costs and delivery mechanisms. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 5 E

We want to stop using supplementary guidance and set out guidance for developer contributions within the plan, Action Programme and in non-statutory guidance. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **6 A**

We want to create a new policy that assesses development against its ability to meet our targets for public transport usage and walking and cycling. These targets will vary according to the current or planned public transport services and high-quality active travel routes. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **6 B**

We want to use Place Briefs to set the targets for trips by walking, cycling and public transport based on current and planned transit interventions. This will determine appropriate parking levels to support high use of public transport. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **7 A**

We want to determine parking levels in development based on targets for trips by walking, cycling and public transport. These targets could be set by area, development type, or both and will be supported by other measures to control on-street parking. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **7 B**

We want to protect against the development of additional car parking in the city centre to support the delivery of the Council's city centre transformation programme. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **7 C**

We want to update our parking policies to control demand and to support parking for bikes, those with disabilities and electric vehicles via charging infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **7 D**

We want to support the city's park and ride infrastructure by safeguarding sites for new park and ride and extensions, including any other sites that are identified in the City Mobility Plan or its action plan. Do you agree with this? - We want to support the city's park and ride infrastructure by safeguarding sites for new park and ride and extensions, including any other sites that are identified in the City Mobility Plan or its action plan.

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **8 A**

We want to update our policy on the Cycle and Footpath Network to provide criteria for identifying new routes. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **8 B**

As part of the City Centre Transformation and other Council and partner projects to improve strategic walking and cycling links around the city, we want to add the following routes (along with our existing safeguards) to our network as active travel proposals to ensure that they are delivered. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **8 C**

We want City Plan 2030 to safeguard and add any other strategic active travel links within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 to include any new strategic active travel links which may be identified in the forthcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified through this consultation. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 8 C

We want City Plan 2030 to safeguard and add any other strategic active travel links within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 to include any new strategic active travel links which may be identified in the forthcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified through this consultation. Do you agree with this? - Upload new cycle routes

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 9 A

We want to consult on designating Edinburgh, or parts of Edinburgh, as a 'Short Term Let Control Area' where planning permission will always be required for the change of use of whole properties for short-term lets. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 9 B

We want to create a new policy on the loss of homes to alternative uses. This new policy will be used when planning permission is required for a change of use of residential flats and houses to short-stay commercial visitor accommodation or other uses. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **10 A**

We want to revise our policy on purpose-built student housing. We want to ensure that student housing is delivered at the right scale and in the right locations, helps create sustainable communities and looks after student's wellbeing. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **10 B**

We want to create a new policy framework which sets out a requirement for housing on all sites over a certain size coming forward for development. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **10 C**

We want to create a new policy promoting the better use of stand-alone out of centre retail units and commercial centres, where their redevelopment for mixed use including housing would be supported. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **11 A**

We want to amend our policy to increase the provision of affordable housing requirement from 25% to 35%. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **11 B**

We want City Plan 2030 to require a mix of housing types and tenures – we want the plan to be prescriptive on the required mix, including the percentage requirement for family housing and support for the Private Rented Sector. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 A**

Which option do you support? - Option 1/2/3

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:

00770

Response Ref:

ANON-KU2U-GWHN-U

Supporting Info

Yes

Name

Jeff Stevenson

Email

Response Type

Community Council

On behalf of:

Danderhall and District Community Council

Choice

12 B1

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Calderwood

Short Response

Not Answered

Explanation

Choice

12 B2

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Kirkliston

Short Response

Not Answered

Explanation

Choice

12 B3

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - West Edinburgh

Short Response

Not Answered

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **12 B4**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - East of Riccarton

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 B5**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - South East Edinburgh

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 B6**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - Calderwood

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **12 B7**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - Kirkliston

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 B8**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - West Edinburgh

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 B9**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - East of Riccarton

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **12 B10**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - South East Edinburgh

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: 00770 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWHN-U
Name: Jeff Stevenson
Response Type: Community Council
On behalf of: Danderhall and District Community Council

Supporting Info: Yes

Email: [REDACTED]

Choice 12 BX

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Explain why

Short Response: Not Answered

Explanation

THERE HAS BEEN TOO MUCH DEVELOPMENT IN THE SOUTHEAST WEDGE IN PARTICULAR LEADING TO GREAT STRAIN ON THE HIGHWAY NETWORK ESPECIALLY IN THE LIGHT OF EXISTING CONSENTS (SOME UNFORTUNATELY WON ON APPEAL) AND CONSENTED SCHEMES AS YET UNDEVELOPED ON BOTH SIDES OF THE CITY/MIDLOTHIAN BOUNDARY. WHILST THE PRINCIPLES OF THE PLAN 2030 ARE RECOGNISED AND SUPPORTED, THE DANDERHALL AND DISTRICT COMMUNITY COUNCIL BELIEVES THAT THERE IS A NEED TO ESTABLISH A MORATORIUM COVERING ALL PRE-APPLICATION CONSULTATION SITES AS WELL AS FUTURE APPLICATIONS FOR DEVELOPMENT (HOUSING IN PARTICULAR). THE REASON FOR THIS IS THE REJECTION OF SOUTH EAST SCOTLAND PLAN 2 (SESPLAN2) BY SCOTTISH MINISTERS IN MAY 2019 ON THE GROUNDS THAT THERE WAS INSUFFICIENT ATTENTION PAID TO THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LAND USE AND TRANSPORT ALLIED TO A SECOND GROUND FOR REFUSAL THAT THERE WAS NO ADEQUATE AND TIMELY TRANSPORT APPRAISAL. THE THIRD REASON FOR THE REJECTION OF SESPLAN2 WAS THAT THE SCOTTISH MINISTERS DID NOT SUPPORT THE USE OF SUPPLEMENTARY GUIDANCE TO RESOLVE THE ABOVE ISSUES. "ADOPTION OF THE PLAN, AHEAD OF THE PREPARATION OF SUPPLEMENTARY GUIDANCE ON TRANSPORT, IS NOT CONSIDERED BY SCOTTISH MINISTER TO BE AN ACCEPTABLE SOLUTION.... SCOTTISH GOVERNMENT POLICY IS CLEAR THAT THE PLAN'S SPATIAL STRATEGY SHOULD BE INFORMED BY AN APPRAISAL, PRIOR TO BEING ADOPTED." (SCOTTISH MINISTERS' DECISION LETTER 20TH MAY 2019 TO PETER ARNSDORF) THE DANDERHALL AND DISTRICT COMMUNITY COUNCIL FEELS THAT THIS IS ESPECIALLY RELEVANT TO THE CROSS-BOUNDARY PRESSURES EXPERIENCED THROUGH THE NEAR MERGING OF EXISTING HOUSING, CURRENT HOUSE BUILDING AND AS YET CONSENTED BUT UNBUILT DEVELOPMENT IN EDINBURGH'S SOUTH EAST WEDGE (EDMONSTONE POLICIES, THE MILLIGAN DRIVE AREA, GREENDYKES AND ALONG THE WISP) AND ACROSS NORTH MIDLOTHIAN IN THE DANDERHALL/MILLERHILL AREA SHAWFAIR AREA HENCE THE CLEAR NEED FOR A MORATORIUM UNTIL A REVISED SESPLAN2 BASED ON AN ACCEPTED TRANSPORT APPRAISAL IS SUBMITTED, CONSULTED UPON AND APPROVED. THE DANDERHALL AND DISTRICT COMMUNITY COUNCIL FEELS THAT SUCH A MORATORIUM IS JUSTIFIED IN THE ABOVE MENTIONED AREA WHILST THE COUNCIL'S OPTION 1 IS FOLLOWED.

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 12 C

Do you have a greenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfield file upload

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 12 C

Do you have a greenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfield file upload

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 12 C

Do you have a greenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfield file upload

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:

00770

Response Ref:

ANON-KU2U-GWHN-U

Supporting Info

Yes

Name

Jeff Stevenson

Email

Response Type

Community Council

On behalf of:

Danderhall and District Community Council

Choice 12 D

Do you have a brownfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Brownfield sites upload

Short Response

No

Explanation

Choice 13 A

We want to create a new policy that provides support for social enterprises, start-ups, culture and tourism, innovation and learning, and the low carbon sector, where there is a contribution to good growth for Edinburgh. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Not Answered

Explanation

Not Answered

Choice 14 A

We want City Plan 2030 to support the best use of existing public transport infrastructure in West Edinburgh and accommodate the development of a mix of uses to support inclusive, sustainable growth. We will do this through 'an area of search' which allows a wide consideration of future uses within West Edinburgh without being tied to individual sites. Do you support this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Not Answered

Explanation

Not Answered

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 14 B

We want to remove the safeguard in the existing plan for the Royal Highland Showground site to the south of the A8 at Norton Park and allocate the site for other uses. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 14 C

We want City Plan 2030 to allocate the Airport's contingency runway, the "crosswinds runway" for the development of alternative uses next to the Edinburgh Gateway interchange. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 15 A

We want to continue to use the national 'town centre first' approach. City Plan 2030 will protect and enhance the city centre as the regional core of south east Scotland providing shopping, commercial leisure, and entertainment and tourism activities. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 15 B

New shopping and leisure development will only be allowed within our town and local centres (including any new local centres) justified by the Commercial Needs study. Outwith local centres, small scale proposals will be permitted only in areas where there is evidence of a lack of food shopping within walking distance. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 15 C

We want to review our existing town and local centres including the potential for new identified centres and boundary changes where they support walking and cycling access to local services in outer areas, consistent with the outcomes of the City Mobility Plan. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 15 D

We want to continue to prepare and update supplementary guidance for our town centres to adapt to changing retail patterns and trends, and ensure an appropriate balance of uses within our centres to maintain their vitality, viability and deliver good placemaking. Instead we could stop using supplementary guidance for town centres and set out guidance within the plan. Which approach do you support? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Supporting Info

Name

Email

Response Type

On behalf of:

Choice 15 E

We want to support new hotel provision in local, town, commercial centres and other locations with good public transport access throughout Edinburgh. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 15 G

We could also seek to reduce the quantity of retail floorspace within centres in favour of alternative uses such as increased leisure provision and permit commercial centres to accommodate any growing demand. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 A1

We want to continue to support office use at strategic office locations at Edinburgh Park/South Gyle, the International Business Gateway, Leith, the city centre, and in town and local centres. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:

00770

Response Ref:

ANON-KU2U-GWHN-U

Supporting Info

Yes

Name

Jeff Stevenson

Email

Response Type

Community Council

On behalf of:

Danderhall and District Community Council

Choice

16 A2

We want to support office development at commercial centres as these also provide accessible locations. - Yes / No

Short Response

Not Answered

Explanation

Not Answered

Choice

16 A3

We want to strengthen the requirement within the city centre to provide significant office floorspace within major mixed-use developments. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Not Answered

Explanation

Not Answered

Choice

16 A4

We want to amend the boundary of the Leith strategic office location to remove areas with residential development consent. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Not Answered

Explanation

Not Answered

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 16 A5

We want to continue to support office development in other accessible locations elsewhere in the urban area. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 A5

We want to continue to support office development in other accessible locations elsewhere in the urban area. Do you agree? - Do you have an office site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan?

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 B

We want to identify sites and locations within Edinburgh with potential for office development. Do you agree with this? - Yes/No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 16 C

We want to introduce a loss of office policy to retain accessible office accommodation. This would not permit the redevelopment of office buildings other than for office use, unless existing office space is provided as part of denser development. This would apply across the city to recognise that office locations outwith the city centre and strategic office locations are important in meeting the needs of the mid-market. Or we could Introduce a 'loss of office' policy only in the city centre. - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E1

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - Leith Strategic Business Centre

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E2

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - Newbridge

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 16 E3

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - Newcraighall Industrial Estate.

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E4

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - The Crosswinds Runway

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E5

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - Leith Strategic Business Centre

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 16 E6

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - Newbridge

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E7

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - Newcraighall Industrial Estate.

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E8

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - The Crosswinds Runway

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name:
Response Type:
On behalf of:

Supporting Info:

Email:

Choice 16 EX

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Explain why

Short Response:

Explanation:

Choice 16 F

We want to ensure new business space is provided as part of the redevelopment of urban sites and considered in Place Briefs for greenfield sites. We want to set out the amount expected to be re-provided, clearer criteria on what constitutes flexible business space, and how to deliver it, including the location on-site, and considering adjacent uses, servicing and visibility. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response:

Explanation:

Choice 16 G

We want to continue to protect industrial estates that are designated under our current policy on Employment Sites and Premises (Emp 8). Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response:

Explanation:

Customer Ref: 00770 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWHN-U

Name: Jeff Stevenson

Response Type: Community Council

On behalf of: Danderhall and District Community Council

Supporting Info: Yes

Email: [REDACTED]

Choice 16 H

We want to introduce a policy that provides criteria for locations that we would support city-wide and neighbourhood goods distribution hubs. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response: Not Answered

Explanation: Not Answered