

Customer Ref: 00627 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWGX-4

Name: Graeme Forbes

Response Type: Community Council

On behalf of: Liberton & District Community Council

Supporting Info:

Email:

Choice 1 A

We want to connect our places, parks and green spaces together as part of a city-wide, regional, and national green network. We want new development to connect to, and deliver this network. Do you agree with this? - Select support / don't support

Short Response:

Explanation New developments should not only "connect to, and deliver this network", but developers should be deterred from undermining existing green spaces.

Planning should aspire to provision of green space within walking distance from every home, particularly in areas of dense residential accommodation. The creation of communal green space and allotments should be a feature of new developments particularly in areas of dense residential accommodation. We feel strongly that the remaining green belt areas west of Liberton should be protected as part of the local and city-wide green network. See also our comments at 1G.

Choice 1 B

We want to change our policy to require all development (including change of use) to include green and blue infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Support / Object

Short Response:

Explanation New developments should not only "make best use of natural features", but also respect the existing environment, even though this may mean reducing the scale, or even abandoning proposals, e.g. for building on flood plains such as that south of Frogston Road, or in areas with established ecosystems.

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 1 C

We want to identify areas that can be used for future water management to enable adaptation to climate change. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 1 D

We want to clearly set out under what circumstances the development of poor quality or underused open space will be considered acceptable. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 1 E

We want to introduce a new 'extra-large green space standard' which recognises that as we grow communities will need access to green spaces more than 5 hectares. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation "Access to green spaces" and "within walking distance" need to be defined. Distances of 400m, 800m, 1km, 2km and 10 minutes appear in various documents. Creation of "new extra-large green spaces" should be based on existing natural features and provision and/or protection of eco-systems should be a feature of such spaces.

Choice 1 F

We want to identify specific sites for new allotments and food growing, both as part of new development sites and within open space in the urban area. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation Demand for allotments across the city is high. The Bridgend initiative provides an excellent model that could be replicated in other parts of the city. Allotments provide not only an opportunity to provide locally grown produce, but offer potential for community involvement, recreation with purpose, and education for the young (and old) about the importance of our environment. There is a vacant plot on the corner of Alnwickhill Road and Liberton Drive that has potential as an allotment site. The Gracemount Community Garden at Gracemount Mansion also requires support and development.

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 1 F

We want to identify specific sites for new allotments and food growing, both as part of new development sites and within open space in the urban area. Do you agree with this? - Upload (max size 3mb)

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 1 G

We want to identify space for additional cemetery provision, including the potential for green and woodland burials. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation Whilst we do not object to eco-friendly woodland burial sites we also have some concerns about increased demand and the consequent "sterilisation" of such sites for other green uses. Perhaps other methods for disposal of the dead could also be considered as alternatives.

Choice 1 H

We want to revise our existing policies and green space designations to ensure that new green spaces have long term maintenance and management arrangements in place. Do you agree with this? - Yes/No

Short Response

Explanation There is some support for local green space management & maintenance might be devolved to local communities through Community Councils and/or Public Park Friends Groups with base funding and support with staffing and resources from the CEC. However, we do have concerns about the sustainability of this approach.

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **2 A**

We want all development (including change of use), through design and access statements, to demonstrate how their design will incorporate measures to tackle and adapt to climate change, their future adaptability and measures to address accessibility for people with varying needs, age and mobility issues as a key part of their layouts. - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation Large developments should be approached as the creation of a communities, not merely the provision of housing units. This should include provision of, and access to, green space, availability of public transport, provision of services, and integration into the surrounding environment. Off-campus student accommodation developments must be adaptable to meet normal housing demand in the event of demand for student housing decreasing. Currently many of the purpose-built student developments would be difficult to adapt.

Choice **2 B**

We want to revise our policies on density to ensure that we make best use of the limited space in our city and that sites are not under-developed. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation We welcome the potential for such developments to include shops and local businesses and to be close to active travel networks, public transport and employment. However, we are concerned about the problems of social isolation and mental health issues associated with high density housing. "Efficient use of land" should not be defined only in terms of the density of housing units. "Vertical mix" requires more detailed explanation, particularly with regard to building heights.

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 2 C

We want to revise our design and layout policies to achieve ensure their layouts deliver active travel and connectivity links. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation The priority should be on safe travel for all means of transport. Whilst revised layouts for new and existing may improve safety, repair and maintenance of existing pavements and cycle lanes should be given priority, eg repairs of broken drains in cycle lanes, hazards arising from patched pavements.

Choice 2 D

We want all development, including student housing, to deliver quality open space and public realm, useable for a range of activities, including drying space, without losing densities. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation "Without losing densities" implies building up and/or reducing accommodation size. Quality of open space should not be at the expense of interior space. Please see our response at 2B.

Choice 3 A

We want all buildings and conversions to meet the zero carbon / platinum standards as set out in the current Scottish Building Regulations. Instead we could require new development to meet the bronze, silver or gold standard. Which standard should new development in Edinburgh meet? - Which standard?

Short Response

Explanation New buildings should be constructed to the highest quality carbon emission standards, so that future focus can be on improvements to emissions from existing buildings. Conversions should be dealt with on a case-by-case basis. This Choice is wrongly numbered.

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Supporting Info

Name

Email

Response Type

On behalf of:

Choice **4 A**

We want to work with local communities to prepare Place Briefs for areas and sites within City Plan 2030 highlighting the key elements of design, layout, and transport, education and healthcare infrastructure development should deliver. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **4 B**

We want to support Local Place Plans being prepared by our communities. City Plan 2030 will set out how Local Place Plans can help us achieve great places and support community ambitions. - How should the Council work with local communities to prepare Local Place Plans?

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 5 A

We want City Plan 2030 to direct development to where there is existing infrastructure capacity, including education, healthcare and sustainable transport, or where potential new infrastructure will be accommodated and deliverable within the plan period. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation We feel that it should not only be capacity, but also impact of potential new developments that should be assessed, eg there may be capacity for x vehicles on the new roads created within a development, but what effect will this have on adjoining roads. We note that the City Mobility Plan identifies Kaimes and Fairmilehead as congestion hotspots, but there is no specific mention of improvements to these areas with regard to transport infrastructure improvements.

Choice 5 B

We want City Plan 2030 to set out where new community facilities are needed, and that these must be well connected to active travel routes and in locations with high accessibility to good sustainable public transport services. Do you agree with this? - Yes / NO

Short Response

Explanation We reiterate our response to 4B in respect of Local Place Plans:It may be useful to consider the local communities as 'villages' within the city, with the community councils identifying the problems and requirements within their areas.It would also be helpful to establish what each local community might expect to lie within or be accessible from its boundary, eg green space, post office, library, retail, public houses etc.

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 5 C

We want to reflect the desire to co-locate our community services close to the communities they serve, supporting a high walk-in population and reducing the need to travel. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation We would like to see a services hub at the heart of each community, but once again we refer to our earlier response at 4A regarding the definition of each community.

Choice 5 D1

We want to set out in the plan where development will be expected to contribute toward new or expanded community infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation Since all developments make demands on some aspect of existing infrastructure it is reasonable to expect, nay, demand that Developers indicate how they will contribute towards meeting the likely demand.

Choice 5 D2

We want to use cumulative contribution zones to determine infrastructure actions, costs and delivery mechanisms. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation We would also urge that the principle of cumulation be applied at the proposal and application stage with regard to developments over 0.25 hectares, as stated in our response at 10B.

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 5 E

We want to stop using supplementary guidance and set out guidance for developer contributions within the plan, Action Programme and in non-statutory guidance. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 6 A

We want to create a new policy that assesses development against its ability to meet our targets for public transport usage and walking and cycling. These targets will vary according to the current or planned public transport services and high-quality active travel routes. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 6 B

We want to use Place Briefs to set the targets for trips by walking, cycling and public transport based on current and planned transit interventions. This will determine appropriate parking levels to support high use of public transport. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **7 A**

We want to determine parking levels in development based on targets for trips by walking, cycling and public transport. These targets could be set by area, development type, or both and will be supported by other measures to control on-street parking. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation We see this as a stepping stone to the creation of car-free developments, but are sceptical about how this might be achieved. We have received some agreement with this in principle but acknowledge the concerns that over-restriction of car use when there are not ready alternatives in place will create difficulties for some residents.

Choice **7 B**

We want to protect against the development of additional car parking in the city centre to support the delivery of the Council's city centre transformation programme. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation We think that the development of additional parking in and around the city centre might reduce the amount of land for housing, and would potentially encourage more traffic on the arterial roads. However there are some views in favour of the creation of car parking sites closer to the centre, as an alternative to on-street parking, though this would also appear to be contrary to both the City Mobility Plan and the City Centre Transformation Strategy.

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **7 C**

We want to update our parking policies to control demand and to support parking for bikes, those with disabilities and electric vehicles via charging infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **7 D**

We want to support the city's park and ride infrastructure by safeguarding sites for new park and ride and extensions, including any other sites that are identified in the City Mobility Plan or its action plan. Do you agree with this? - We want to support the city's park and ride infrastructure by safeguarding sites for new park and ride and extensions, including any other sites that are identified in the City Mobility Plan or its action plan.

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **8 A**

We want to update our policy on the Cycle and Footpath Network to provide criteria for identifying new routes. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **8 B**

As part of the City Centre Transformation and other Council and partner projects to improve strategic walking and cycling links around the city, we want to add the following routes (along with our existing safeguards) to our network as active travel proposals to ensure that they are delivered. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name:
Response Type:
On behalf of:

Supporting Info:

Email:

Choice 8 C

We want City Plan 2030 to safeguard and add any other strategic active travel links within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 to include any new strategic active travel links which may be identified in the forthcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified through this consultation. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response:

Explanation We approve this in principle, though with the caveat expressed in our comments at 8A. Furthermore, though we have no objections to the Active Travel Routes listed at 8B, we feel that there should be opportunity for respondents to comment on the individual routes proposed. However, there is no comments box offered for Choice 8B. With respect to the comment above, we welcome the inclusion of the following routes relevant to the LDCC area: the Pentlands to Portobello link and the link to Moredunvale Road. For the Pentlands to Portobello route attention should be paid to crossing the A702 and A720 roads. In addition, links between this route, the Braid Hills area and Burdiehouse Valley Park should be considered.

Choice 8 C

We want City Plan 2030 to safeguard and add any other strategic active travel links within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 to include any new strategic active travel links which may be identified in the forthcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified through this consultation. Do you agree with this? - Upload new cycle routes

Short Response:

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **9 A**

We want to consult on designating Edinburgh, or parts of Edinburgh, as a 'Short Term Let Control Area' where planning permission will always be required for the change of use of whole properties for short-term lets. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation We fully support moves to restrict the growth, and reduce the amount of short-term letting in the city. Whilst we have no objections to residents renting rooms in their homes, or their homes whilst on holiday, we feel that the rise in entire properties being made available for short-term lets (including for student accommodation) is not only affecting residential accommodation, but is also having a negative impact on the city's registered hotels and B&Bs.

Choice **9 B**

We want to create a new policy on the loss of homes to alternative uses. This new policy will be used when planning permission is required for a change of use of residential flats and houses to short-stay commercial visitor accommodation or other uses. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation We support this change, and would expect the criteria for such change to be robust and rigorously applied.

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **10 A**

We want to revise our policy on purpose-built student housing. We want to ensure that student housing is delivered at the right scale and in the right locations, helps create sustainable communities and looks after student's wellbeing. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation Proposals for speculative student housing developments, largely provided as investment opportunities, eg those proposed for Mayfield Road, should not be permitted. New student housing should be built in response to needs identified by the city's further and higher education institutions. New student housing should be located preferably within student campuses, or on land owned by the institution. Student housing should not be provided at the expense of residential properties.

Choice **10 B**

We want to create a new policy framework which sets out a requirement for housing on all sites over a certain size coming forward for development. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation Provisions should be put in place to ensure that planning proposals/applications are not divided in order to avoid the requirement to provide residential housing, eg the application(s) for development of the Mayfield Road site, initially split into two applications, then submitted as a single application, but with a second application likely to follow. Exceptions should be made for student housing developments based on educational institution campuses, though not for conversions from residential housing to student accommodation.

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **10 C**

We want to create a new policy promoting the better use of stand-alone out of centre retail units and commercial centres, where their redevelopment for mixed use including housing would be supported. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **11 A**

We want to amend our policy to increase the provision of affordable housing requirement from 25% to 35%. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **11 B**

We want City Plan 2030 to require a mix of housing types and tenures – we want the plan to be prescriptive on the required mix, including the percentage requirement for family housing and support for the Private Rented Sector. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: 00627 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWGX-4
Name: Graeme Forbes
Response Type: Community Council
On behalf of: Liberton & District Community Council

Supporting Info:

Email:

Choice 12 A

Which option do you support? - Option 1/2/3

Short Response: Option 1 (Council/

Explanation
We support Option 1 in principle, since as a Community Council we have consistently resisted attempts by developers to erode the city's green spaces, including the Green Belt and prime agricultural land. We believe that low urban sprawl, low percentage of high-rise buildings and accessibility to green spaces are key elements of the appeal of Edinburgh as a place to live and work. Accordingly, we feel that these benefits would be best met by concentrating expansion of housing capacity on brownfield sites within the City. However, we also acknowledge that the inevitable increase in population and the drop in average household size will create a demand that cannot be met through Option 1 alone. We therefore accept that Option 3 may be the more realistic approach. We would however, strongly support development within the City being driven by the Council's plans and budget, rather than by housing targets set by the Scottish Government.

Choice 12 B1

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Calderwood

Short Response: Not Answered

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 12 B2

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Kirkliston

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 12 B3

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - West Edinburgh

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 12 B4

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - East of Riccarton

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **12 B5**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - South East Edinburgh

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 B6**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - Calderwood

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 B7**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - Kirkliston

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **12 B8**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - West Edinburgh

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 B9**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - East of Riccarton

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 B10**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - South East Edinburgh

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name:
Response Type:
On behalf of:

Supporting Info:

Email:

Choice **12 BX**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Explain why

Short Response:

Explanation
The Housing-led development potential of areas in south-east Edinburgh are largely on prime agricultural land according to the Environmental Report. The developments border the A720 Edinburgh by-pass, and lie directly under high voltage power lines, with attendant noise and health risks. We are also pleased to see that other sites, namely those south of Frogston Road and to the west of Alnwickhill Road have not been included in potential greenfield development. These have been subject to recent interest by developers and we would hope that CityPlan 2030 will ensure that such sites continue to be protected, and that current and future interest in development will be rebuffed. LDCC would object strongly to any development on green field land in these areas. With regard to 12C, LDCC proposes no greenfield sites. With regard to 12D, no comments have been received by LDCC on the three brownfield sites within our area (Group 10 sites), namely Alnwickhill Road (190), Rae's Crescent (188), and Liberton Hospital (289). In Housing Survey, January 2020, all are designated as unsuitable for development due to lack of proximity to active travel networks. However, they do meet all other criteria so LDCC might not object to these being considered.

Choice **12 C**

Do you have a greenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfield file upload

Short Response:

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 12 C

Do you have a greenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfield file upload

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 12 C

Do you have a greenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfield file upload

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 12 D

Do you have a brownfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Brownfield sites upload

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **13 A**

We want to create a new policy that provides support for social enterprises, start-ups, culture and tourism, innovation and learning, and the low carbon sector, where there is a contribution to good growth for Edinburgh. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation We have no objection to the revision of the Council policies supporting the activities above, with the proviso that they do not conflict with the the other choices when they are finally agreed. Whilst we acknowledge "the contributions that our partners are making to the wellbeing of our city and our economy," we also recognise the detrimental effects of tourism, the re-use of good housing stock by the University of Edinburgh, and the damage caused by speculative developments.

Choice **14 A**

We want City Plan 2030 to support the best use of existing public transport infrastructure in West Edinburgh and accommodate the development of a mix of uses to support inclusive, sustainable growth. We will do this through 'an area of search' which allows a wide consideration of future uses within West Edinburgh without being tied to individual sites. Do you support this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **14 B**

We want to remove the safeguard in the existing plan for the Royal Highland Showground site to the south of the A8 at Norton Park and allocate the site for other uses. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **14 C**

We want City Plan 2030 to allocate the Airport's contingency runway, the "crosswinds runway" for the development of alternative uses next to the Edinburgh Gateway interchange. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **15 A**

We want to continue to use the national 'town centre first' approach. City Plan 2030 will protect and enhance the city centre as the regional core of south east Scotland providing shopping, commercial leisure, and entertainment and tourism activities. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 15 B

New shopping and leisure development will only be allowed within our town and local centres (including any new local centres) justified by the Commercial Needs study. Outwith local centres, small scale proposals will be permitted only in areas where there is evidence of a lack of food shopping within walking distance. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 15 C

We want to review our existing town and local centres including the potential for new identified centres and boundary changes where they support walking and cycling access to local services in outer areas, consistent with the outcomes of the City Mobility Plan. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 15 D

We want to continue to prepare and update supplementary guidance for our town centres to adapt to changing retail patterns and trends, and ensure an appropriate balance of uses within our centres to maintain their vitality, viability and deliver good placemaking. Instead we could stop using supplementary guidance for town centres and set out guidance within the plan. Which approach do you support? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 15 E

We want to support new hotel provision in local, town, commercial centres and other locations with good public transport access throughout Edinburgh. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 15 G

We could also seek to reduce the quantity of retail floorspace within centres in favour of alternative uses such as increased leisure provision and permit commercial centres to accommodate any growing demand. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **16 A1**

We want to continue to support office use at strategic office locations at Edinburgh Park/South Gyle, the International Business Gateway, Leith, the city centre, and in town and local centres. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **16 A2**

We want to support office development at commercial centres as these also provide accessible locations. - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **16 A3**

We want to strengthen the requirement within the city centre to provide significant office floorspace within major mixed-use developments. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 16 A4

We want to amend the boundary of the Leith strategic office location to remove areas with residential development consent. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 A5

We want to continue to support office development in other accessible locations elsewhere in the urban area. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 A5

We want to continue to support office development in other accessible locations elsewhere in the urban area. Do you agree? - Do you have an office site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan?

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 16 B

We want to identify sites and locations within Edinburgh with potential for office development. Do you agree with this? - Yes/No

Short Response

Explanation We support the distribution of businesses locations and the consequent employment opportunities across the city, though with the same caveats expressed in our responses at 16A1-3 and 5.

Choice 16 C

We want to introduce a loss of office policy to retain accessible office accommodation. This would not permit the redevelopment of office buildings other than for office use, unless existing office space is provided as part of denser development. This would apply across the city to recognise that office locations outwith the city centre and strategic office locations are important in meeting the needs of the mid-market. Or we could Introduce a 'loss of office' policy only in the city centre. - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation We do not see the requirement for this policy, given the foregoing comments in 16A-B, our caveat about possible changes to working practice post-pandemic, and the proposals at 10A relating to student housing. Note: there is no 16D in this section or in Part 2 following.

Choice 16 E1

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - Leith Strategic Business Centre

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 16 E2

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - Newbridge

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E3

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - Newcraighall Industrial Estate.

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E4

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - The Crosswinds Runway

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 16 E5

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - Leith Strategic Business Centre

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E6

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - Newbridge

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E7

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - Newcraighall Industrial Estate.

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 16 E8

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - The Crosswinds Runway

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 EX

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Explain why

Short Response

Explanation

No specific comments on these locations.

Choice 16 F

We want to ensure new business space is provided as part of the redevelopment of urban sites and considered in Place Briefs for greenfield sites. We want to set out the amount expected to be re-provided, clearer criteria on what constitutes flexible business space, and how to deliver it, including the location on-site, and considering adjacent uses, servicing and visibility. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

We feel that that the brownfield sites identified in the Plan at present solely for housing, should include business potential space. Mixed use of the sites would contribute to reducing travel, ease congestion in the town centre, and offer employment opportunities throughout the city. This could also help to mitigate the loss of businesses from some of the brownfield sites identified for housing.

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 16 G

We want to continue to protect industrial estates that are designated under our current policy on Employment Sites and Premises (Emp 8). Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 H

We want to introduce a policy that provides criteria for locations that we would support city-wide and neighbourhood goods distribution hubs. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation