

Customer Ref: 01599      Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWVW-J

Name: Tim Pogson

Response Type: Community Council

On behalf of: Southside Community Council

Supporting Info:

Email:

Choice 1 A

**We want to connect our places, parks and green spaces together as part of a city-wide, regional, and national green network. We want new development to connect to, and deliver this network. Do you agree with this? - Select support / don't support**

Short Response:

Explanation:

Choice 1 B

**We want to change our policy to require all development (including change of use) to include green and blue infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Support / Object**

Short Response:

Explanation:

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:   
Name   
Response Type   
On behalf of:

Supporting Info   
Email

Choice 1 C

**We want to identify areas that can be used for future water management to enable adaptation to climate change. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 1 D

**We want to clearly set out under what circumstances the development of poor quality or underused open space will be considered acceptable. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 1 E

**We want to introduce a new 'extra-large green space standard' which recognises that as we grow communities will need access to green spaces more than 5 hectares. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 1 F

**We want to identify specific sites for new allotments and food growing, both as part of new development sites and within open space in the urban area. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 1 F

**We want to identify specific sites for new allotments and food growing, both as part of new development sites and within open space in the urban area. Do you agree with this? - Upload (max size 3mb)**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 1 G

**We want to identify space for additional cemetery provision, including the potential for green and woodland burials. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **1 H**

**We want to revise our existing policies and green space designations to ensure that new green spaces have long term maintenance and management arrangements in place. Do you agree with this? - Yes/No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **2 A**

**We want all development (including change of use), through design and access statements, to demonstrate how their design will incorporate measures to tackle and adapt to climate change, their future adaptability and measures to address accessibility for people with varying needs, age and mobility issues as a key part of their layouts. - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **2 B**

**We want to revise our policies on density to ensure that we make best use of the limited space in our city and that sites are not under-developed. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:   
Name   
Response Type   
On behalf of:

Supporting Info   
Email

Choice **2 C**

**We want to revise our design and layout policies to achieve ensure their layouts deliver active travel and connectivity links. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **2 D**

**We want all development, including student housing, to deliver quality open space and public realm, useable for a range of activities, including drying space, without losing densities. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **3 A**

**We want all buildings and conversions to meet the zero carbon / platinum standards as set out in the current Scottish Building Regulations. Instead we could require new development to meet the bronze, silver or gold standard. Which standard should new development in Edinburgh meet? - Which standard?**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:   
Name   
Response Type   
On behalf of:

Supporting Info   
Email

Choice **4 A**

**We want to work with local communities to prepare Place Briefs for areas and sites within City Plan 2030 highlighting the key elements of design, layout, and transport, education and healthcare infrastructure development should deliver. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

**Explanation** Southside Community Council (SCC) welcomes the intention of CEC to work with local communities to prepare Place Briefs for key development sites identified by City Plan 2030. It will be imperative that preparation of Place Briefs are predicated on meeting the aims of City Plan 2030 in addressing climate change, sustainability, active travel and public participation. Often new sites - whether large or small - for new homes allocated by the Local Development Plan cause significant level of concern and objection from local communities, especially when they may only learn about the proposal once it has reached planning application stage. If Place Briefs are developed by using a collaborative approach, effective engagement techniques, involving relevant community groups & other key stakeholders (including young people) that the proposal will affect, and in the context of addressing the requirement for a certain level of new homes to be built in Edinburgh - SCC believes that they could have a positive effect in informing and involving local communities. Local people - when involved appropriately - can offer knowledge and insights which can bring forward better planned developments. SCC assumes that the Place Briefs will be led by CEC staff and would then have to be implemented by any developer who takes on the site. SCC assumes that members of the public who offer their time to help CEC prepare Place Briefs will be offered perspectives by relevant experts on matters such as design & layout, health, education and transport to help them inform their input. As developers will have their own perspective on site development and associated costs it may be worth considering whether this perspective should be brought into the Place Brief preparation process. However, SCC would be satisfied in Place Briefs progress without this aspect as long as there is an expectation that they are adhered to by the future developer of the site. It will be important that City Plan 2030 makes clear whether Place Briefs are binding on future developers - SCC's assumption is that they will be. This will need to be made clear in the plan to all parties ideally using clear language such as must rather than should/have regard to etc. Finally, SCC believes that being involved in Place Briefs will be a helpful process for communities who may in the future prepare a Local Place Plan. It would also be useful to review how successful the Place Brief idea is and review whether it is something to continue in future iterations of the Local Development Plan event once Local Place Plans are more firmly established.

Customer Ref: 01599      Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWVW-J  
Name: Tim Pogson  
Response Type: Community Council  
On behalf of: Southside Community Council

Supporting Info:   
Email:

Choice      4   B

**We want to support Local Place Plans being prepared by our communities. City Plan 2030 will set out how Local Place Plans can help us achieve great places and support community ambitions. - How should the Council work with local communities to prepare Local Place Plans?**

Short Response:

**Explanation**      Southside Community Council cautiously supports the principle of Local Place Plans, and thus CEC's preferred option as set out. SCC recognises that Local Place Plans are a significant change to the Scottish Planning system which could bring benefits to local communities in terms of feeling that they have more say over future development proposals as well as creating a better sense of connection to their local area. SCC recognises that preparing a LPP is a significant opportunity for any community body, but equally notes that as a un-resourced aspect of the planning system, it could be a significant undertaking for a group of volunteers. What level of support from the planning or other divisions does CEC envisage providing? In terms of impact of any LPP that is prepared and approved, SCC assumes that there will be a policy in the successor to City plan 2030 which covers how LPPs that have been approved by CEC should be taken into account in planning decisions. Otherwise, those preparing the LPPs may be doing so without a clear understanding of why in terms of relevance to planning-decision making. Having said this SCC also recognises that the process of preparing a plan can be highly beneficial to any community in making them feel connected to their local area and potentially identifying future projects for improvement. Given that LPPs will have to be in line with the LDP, this further emphasises the importance of having a LPD that reflects the views and aspirations of the Edinburgh's communities in its high-level aims.

Choice      5   A

**We want City Plan 2030 to direct development to where there is existing infrastructure capacity, including education, healthcare and sustainable transport, or where potential new infrastructure will be accommodated and deliverable within the plan period. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response:

**Explanation**

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **5 B**

**We want City Plan 2030 to set out where new community facilities are needed, and that these must be well connected to active travel routes and in locations with high accessibility to good sustainable public transport services. Do you agree with this? - Yes / NO**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **5 C**

**We want to reflect the desire to co-locate our community services close to the communities they serve, supporting a high walk-in population and reducing the need to travel. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **5 D1**

**We want to set out in the plan where development will be expected to contribute toward new or expanded community infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation



Customer Ref:  Response Ref:   
Name   
Response Type   
On behalf of:

Supporting Info   
Email

Choice 5 D2

**We want to use cumulative contribution zones to determine infrastructure actions, costs and delivery mechanisms. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 5 E

**We want to stop using supplementary guidance and set out guidance for developer contributions within the plan, Action Programme and in non-statutory guidance. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 6 A

**We want to create a new policy that assesses development against its ability to meet our targets for public transport usage and walking and cycling. These targets will vary according to the current or planned public transport services and high-quality active travel routes. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:   
Name   
Response Type   
On behalf of:

Supporting Info   
Email

Choice **6 B**

**We want to use Place Briefs to set the targets for trips by walking, cycling and public transport based on current and planned transit interventions. This will determine appropriate parking levels to support high use of public transport. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation Car ownership must be discouraged. The city is hugely congested with motor traffic. The possibility of car free streets should be examined. Plant trees and put bicycle places in the places previously reserved for cars. To encourage safe walking, particularly parents with children, and cycling, the Southside of Edinburgh must have streets that are pollution free.

Choice **7 A**

**We want to determine parking levels in development based on targets for trips by walking, cycling and public transport. These targets could be set by area, development type, or both and will be supported by other measures to control on-street parking. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation The Southside of Edinburgh could be transformed with less space dedicated to cars and parking: Trees could be planted in streets instead of parking bays. The possibility of having streets identified as 'car free'. No parking other than mobility cars on the main thoroughfares. Less pollution will reduce will encourage pedestrians and cyclists. Limit the number of taxi licences issued to reduce volume of cars in the city. The use of bicycles, with dedicated bike lanes, would be safer and open up the possibility of children learning to ride in a safe environment. To discourage car ownership there have to be incentives to support this. Actively supporting Park and Ride will support the ownership and use. The Southside would benefit from a reduction in the car use and ownership the policy must reflect this aspiration.

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:   
Name   
Response Type   
On behalf of:

Supporting Info   
Email

Choice **7 B**

**We want to protect against the development of additional car parking in the city centre to support the delivery of the Council's city centre transformation programme. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **7 C**

**We want to update our parking policies to control demand and to support parking for bikes, those with disabilities and electric vehicles via charging infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **7 D**

**We want to support the city's park and ride infrastructure by safeguarding sites for new park and ride and extensions, including any other sites that are identified in the City Mobility Plan or its action plan. Do you agree with this? - We want to support the city's park and ride infrastructure by safeguarding sites for new park and ride and extensions, including any other sites that are identified in the City Mobility Plan or its action plan.**

Short Response

Explanation Whilst park and ride may be an incentive to stop commuters using their cars to come into the city it doesn't necessarily support people to give up their ownership of cars. Extending the park and ride may ultimately encourage car ownership in the landward areas.

Choice **8 A**

**We want to update our policy on the Cycle and Footpath Network to provide criteria for identifying new routes. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation Residents of the Southside need active encouragement to use low impact means of travel and to walk to school, work and recreation; and also to access essential services, health, shops, library. Park and ride outside the city will lessen the volume of cars using the Southside as a route to the centre.

Choice **8 B**

**As part of the City Centre Transformation and other Council and partner projects to improve strategic walking and cycling links around the city, we want to add the following routes (along with our existing safeguards) to our network as active travel proposals to ensure that they are delivered. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:   
Name   
Response Type   
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 8 C

**We want City Plan 2030 to safeguard and add any other strategic active travel links within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 to include any new strategic active travel links which may be identified in the forthcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified through this consultation. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 8 C

**We want City Plan 2030 to safeguard and add any other strategic active travel links within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 to include any new strategic active travel links which may be identified in the forthcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified through this consultation. Do you agree with this? - Upload new cycle routes**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:   
Name   
Response Type   
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 9 A

**We want to consult on designating Edinburgh, or parts of Edinburgh, as a 'Short Term Let Control Area' where planning permission will always be required for the change of use of whole properties for short-term lets. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No**

Short Response

**Explanation** Yes, but SCC would like the Council to go further and take a clear, firm position on the banning of short-term let accommodation, probably across the entire city. There are many reasons for this but the primary reason is the impact on the housing market, i.e., housing in Edinburgh is wholly unaffordable to any but the wealthiest, creating enormous pressure on rented accommodation. The short-term let market exacerbates this pressure massively by placing a substantial proportion of Edinburgh's housing market beyond the reach of people who simply need a home. Secondly, short term let accommodation hollows out communities. We know this well in the Southside where substantial numbers of properties are now dedicated to the visitor market, which contributes nothing to the community and in fact detracts from it through its antisocial behaviour and other nuisances.

Choice 9 B

**We want to create a new policy on the loss of homes to alternative uses. This new policy will be used when planning permission is required for a change of use of residential flats and houses to short-stay commercial visitor accommodation or other uses. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

**Explanation** Yes, and I think this policy should clearly and firmly ban short-term let accommodation in high-pressure areas which I think would arguably cover the entire city area given the pressured state of the Edinburgh housing market and the impossibility for anybody on anything like an average income to purchase their own home however modest, see previous answer.

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:   
Name   
Response Type   
On behalf of:

Supporting Info   
Email

Choice 10 A

**We want to revise our policy on purpose-built student housing. We want to ensure that student housing is delivered at the right scale and in the right locations, helps create sustainable communities and looks after student's wellbeing. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 10 B

**We want to create a new policy framework which sets out a requirement for housing on all sites over a certain size coming forward for development. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 10 C

**We want to create a new policy promoting the better use of stand-alone out of centre retail units and commercial centres, where their redevelopment for mixed use including housing would be supported. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **11 A**

**We want to amend our policy to increase the provision of affordable housing requirement from 25% to 35%. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **11 B**

**We want City Plan 2030 to require a mix of housing types and tenures – we want the plan to be prescriptive on the required mix, including the percentage requirement for family housing and support for the Private Rented Sector. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 A**

**Which option do you support? - Option 1/2/3**

Short Response

Explanation



Customer Ref:

01599

Response Ref:

ANON-KU2U-GVWV-J

Supporting Info

Name

Tim Pogson

Email

Response Type

Community Council

On behalf of:

Southside Community Council

Choice

12 B1

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Calderwood

Short Response

Not Answered

Explanation

Choice

12 B2

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Kirkliston

Short Response

Not Answered

Explanation

Choice

12 B3

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - West Edinburgh

Short Response

Not Answered

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **12 B4**

**Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - East of Riccarton**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 B5**

**Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - South East Edinburgh**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 B6**

**Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - Calderwood**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **12 B7**

**Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - Kirkliston**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 B8**

**Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - West Edinburgh**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 B9**

**Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - East of Riccarton**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:

01599

Response Ref:

ANON-KU2U-GVWV-J

Supporting Info

Name

Tim Pogson

Email

Response Type

Community Council

On behalf of:

Southside Community Council

Choice

12 B10

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - South East Edinburgh

Short Response

Not Answered

Explanation

Choice

12 BX

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Explain why

Short Response

Not Answered

Explanation

Not Answered

Choice

12 C

Do you have a greenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfield file upload

Short Response

No

Explanation

Customer Ref:

01599

Response Ref:

ANON-KU2U-GWVW-J

Supporting Info

Name

Tim Pogson

Email

Response Type

Community Council

On behalf of:

Southside Community Council

Choice 12 C

Do you have a greenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfield file upload

Short Response

No

Explanation

Choice 12 C

Do you have a greenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfield file upload

Short Response

No

Explanation

Choice 12 D

Do you have a brownfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Brownfield sites upload

Short Response

No

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **13 A**

**We want to create a new policy that provides support for social enterprises, start-ups, culture and tourism, innovation and learning, and the low carbon sector, where there is a contribution to good growth for Edinburgh. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **14 A**

**We want City Plan 2030 to support the best use of existing public transport infrastructure in West Edinburgh and accommodate the development of a mix of uses to support inclusive, sustainable growth. We will do this through 'an area of search' which allows a wide consideration of future uses within West Edinburgh without being tied to individual sites. Do you support this approach? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **14 B**

**We want to remove the safeguard in the existing plan for the Royal Highland Showground site to the south of the A8 at Norton Park and allocate the site for other uses. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:   
Name   
Response Type   
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 14 C

**We want City Plan 2030 to allocate the Airport's contingency runway, the "crosswinds runway" for the development of alternative uses next to the Edinburgh Gateway interchange. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 15 A

**We want to continue to use the national 'town centre first' approach. City Plan 2030 will protect and enhance the city centre as the regional core of south east Scotland providing shopping, commercial leisure, and entertainment and tourism activities. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation We would want a continuation of the priority to be given to supporting existing centres. The town and local centres serve as the hearts of local communities, and local provision ensures accessibility to those unable to travel to larger, more remote shopping locations. The city centre is also an important part of the life and economy of the city, so we would want its viability as a healthy city centre to be protected. However, we are concerned at an emphasis of planning for the city centre to be on its function as a tourist centre and regional shopping centre, rather than a centre for the city of which it is a part. The effects of overtourism particularly are a matter that has troubled many within the Community Council. This includes the impact on City infrastructure and services, and the environmental impact (including damage to public green spaces). Prioritising further expanding this while the city has at times struggled to cope with the current level of demand seems unwise.

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:   
Name   
Response Type   
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 15 B

**New shopping and leisure development will only be allowed within our town and local centres (including any new local centres) justified by the Commercial Needs study. Outwith local centres, small scale proposals will be permitted only in areas where there is evidence of a lack of food shopping within walking distance. Do you agree? - Yes / No**

Short Response

**Explanation** Similarly to the first question we welcome support to ensure existing town centres remain active and relevant, as we believe they are an important part of local communities. We would also support making accessibility to essential food shopping within walking distance a consideration for planning decisions, both from an environmental perspective, hopefully reducing the demand for car travel, and from the perspective of improving accessibility to those without access to other forms of transport.

Choice 15 C

**We want to review our existing town and local centres including the potential for new identified centres and boundary changes where they support walking and cycling access to local services in outer areas, consistent with the outcomes of the City Mobility Plan. Do you agree? - Yes / No**

Short Response

**Explanation** Reviewing boundaries and considering new centres would be acceptable to ensure accessibility, particularly by foot and cycling as suggested. This Community Council supports moves to make it easier to live in the city without the use of a car. A review of existing centres may also highlight more recent developments in the needs of the existing areas. However we would be wary that such changes have the potential to undermine existing centres if redrawn boundaries lead to important parts of existing centres being excluded, existing protections being removed or diluted, or new local centres being created simply to justify new developments. Any review and changes should be carried out while avoiding these pitfalls.



Customer Ref: 01599      Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GVWV-J  
Name: Tim Pogson  
Response Type: Community Council  
On behalf of: Southside Community Council

Supporting Info:

Email:

Choice      15   D

**We want to continue to prepare and update supplementary guidance for our town centres to adapt to changing retail patterns and trends, and ensure an appropriate balance of uses within our centres to maintain their vitality, viability and deliver good placemaking. Instead we could stop using supplementary guidance for town centres and set out guidance within the plan. Which approach do you support? - Yes / No**

Short Response:

**Explanation** We have welcomed the supplementary guidance for the Nicolson Street/Clerk Street centre in our Community Council area. For example, the specific principle of retaining certain proportion of units for shop purposes is one that recently came up in Community Council discussions, and is one we support. We would therefore be in favour of retaining the principle of Supplementary Guidance. It would appear be more easily tailored to the local environment than centralised guidance being included in the full City plan. However, we do feel that the existing supplementary guidance could be enhanced, or more carefully enforced than it appears to be at times.

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 15 E

**We want to support new hotel provision in local, town, commercial centres and other locations with good public transport access throughout Edinburgh. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No**

Short Response

**Explanation** While it is certainly preferable that any new visitor accommodation is developed with access to public transport than without, it is a widespread view within this Community Council that the City of Edinburgh is already struggling to deal with the burden of overtourism. During peak tourist season the city infrastructure struggles to cope as it is, and access to local services and public spaces by city residents is already disrupted. There are also the impacts of tourism and associated events on the local environment to consider, illustrated recently and dramatically by the damage done to East Princes Street Gardens. While tourism is a major contributor to the city economy we feel there needs to be a greater recognition of its impacts on the city, and a more sustainable approach developed (both environmentally and logistically) before simply ploughing on with an intention to attract more business, which expanding hotel provision seems to be a part of. Also, given the demand for housing, it would seem unwise to prioritise hotel provision over residential accommodation in areas with good public transport links. The area covered by Southside Community Council is one which already faces a high turnover of residents, so we are also aware of the impacts of high proportions of short term residents can have on local businesses and communities, which could undermine the character and sustainability of town and local centres.

Choice 15 G

**We could also seek to reduce the quantity of retail floorspace within centres in favour of alternative uses such as increased leisure provision and permit commercial centres to accommodate any growing demand. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No**

Short Response

**Explanation** We believe that healthy retail provision within the existing town centres is an essential part of the life of local communities, particularly for residents with less access to transport. Changing the emphasis to commercial centres has the potential to undermine this, and leave centres without essential shops. Also encouraging what would appear to be a centralisation of retail provision in the commercial centres seems counter to the City Mobility Plan's aim to encourage the use of active travel and the easing of congestion.

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:   
Name   
Response Type   
On behalf of:

Supporting Info   
Email

Choice **16 A1**

**We want to continue to support office use at strategic office locations at Edinburgh Park/South Gyle, the International Business Gateway, Leith, the city centre, and in town and local centres. Do you agree? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **16 A2**

**We want to support office development at commercial centres as these also provide accessible locations. - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **16 A3**

**We want to strengthen the requirement within the city centre to provide significant office floorspace within major mixed-use developments. Do you agree? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **16 A4**

**We want to amend the boundary of the Leith strategic office location to remove areas with residential development consent. Do you agree? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **16 A5**

**We want to continue to support office development in other accessible locations elsewhere in the urban area. Do you agree? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **16 A5**

**We want to continue to support office development in other accessible locations elsewhere in the urban area. Do you agree? - Do you have an office site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan?**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:   
Name   
Response Type   
On behalf of:

Supporting Info   
Email

Choice 16 B

**We want to identify sites and locations within Edinburgh with potential for office development. Do you agree with this? - Yes/No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 C

**We want to introduce a loss of office policy to retain accessible office accommodation. This would not permit the redevelopment of office buildings other than for office use, unless existing office space is provided as part of denser development. This would apply across the city to recognise that office locations outwith the city centre and strategic office locations are important in meeting the needs of the mid-market. Or we could Introduce a 'loss of office' policy only in the city centre. - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E1

**We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - Leith Strategic Business Centre**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:   
Name   
Response Type   
On behalf of:

Supporting Info   
Email

Choice 16 E2

**We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - Newbridge**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E3

**We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - Newcraighall Industrial Estate.**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E4

**We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - The Crosswinds Runway**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:

01599

Response Ref:

ANON-KU2U-GWVW-J

Supporting Info

Name

Tim Pogson

Email

Response Type

Community Council

On behalf of:

Southside Community Council

Choice 16 E5

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - Leith Strategic Business Centre

Short Response

Not Answered

Explanation

Choice 16 E6

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - Newbridge

Short Response

Not Answered

Explanation

Choice 16 E7

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - Newcraighall Industrial Estate.

Short Response

Not Answered

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:   
Name   
Response Type   
On behalf of:

Supporting Info   
Email

Choice 16 E8

**We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - The Crosswinds Runway**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 EX

**We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Explain why**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 F

**We want to ensure new business space is provided as part of the redevelopment of urban sites and considered in Place Briefs for greenfield sites. We want to set out the amount expected to be re-provided, clearer criteria on what constitutes flexible business space, and how to deliver it, including the location on-site, and considering adjacent uses, servicing and visibility. Do you agree? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation



Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **16 G**

**We want to continue to protect industrial estates that are designated under our current policy on Employment Sites and Premises (Emp 8). Do you agree? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **16 H**

**We want to introduce a policy that provides criteria for locations that we would support city-wide and neighbourhood goods distribution hubs. Do you agree? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation