

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **1 A**

We want to connect our places, parks and green spaces together as part of a city-wide, regional, and national green network. We want new development to connect to, and deliver this network. Do you agree with this? - Select support / don't support

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **1 B**

We want to change our policy to require all development (including change of use) to include green and blue infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Support / Object

Short Response

Explanation Whilst BDW don't have a problem with this in principle, we have experienced some issues where Local Authority requests and Scottish Water's vesting requirements do not align— particularly with regard to the level of surface water storage. Requirements for drainage infrastructure must be based on sound technical solutions and agreed in line with the requirements of SEPA and Scottish Water to allow vesting. Given the requirement at question 1H for green spaces to have management arrangements in place, we must be able to design SUDs that they can be fully vested too. Green blue infrastructure can be difficult to deliver on smaller and brownfield sites, particularly City Centre. This presents a further deliverability issue for the Council's brownfield development approach. Green blue infrastructure can take up lot of space, this is one challenge in delivering density aspirations if these are to be calculated on a gross basis. It would help if these areas can be accounted for within open space requirements.

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info
Email

Choice 1 C

We want to identify areas that can be used for future water management to enable adaptation to climate change. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 1 D

We want to clearly set out under what circumstances the development of poor quality or underused open space will be considered acceptable. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 1 E

We want to introduce a new 'extra-large green space standard' which recognises that as we grow communities will need access to green spaces more than 5 hectares. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 1 F

We want to identify specific sites for new allotments and food growing, both as part of new development sites and within open space in the urban area. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 1 F

We want to identify specific sites for new allotments and food growing, both as part of new development sites and within open space in the urban area. Do you agree with this? - Upload (max size 3mb)

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 1 G

We want to identify space for additional cemetery provision, including the potential for green and woodland burials. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:

01227

Response Ref:

ANON-KU2U-GPHZ-Z

Supporting Info

Name

Nick Wright

Email

nick.wright@barratthomes.co.uk

Response Type

Developer / Landowner

On behalf of:

BDW Trading Limited (Barratt East Scotland)

Choice

1 H

We want to revise our existing policies and green space designations to ensure that new green spaces have long term maintenance and management arrangements in place. Do you agree with this? - Yes/No

Short Response

Not Answered

Explanation

Choice

2 A

We want all development (including change of use), through design and access statements, to demonstrate how their design will incorporate measures to tackle and adapt to climate change, their future adaptability and measures to address accessibility for people with varying needs, age and mobility issues as a key part of their layouts. - Yes / No

Short Response

Not Answered

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 2 B

We want to revise our policies on density to ensure that we make best use of the limited space in our city and that sites are not under-developed. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation We cross reference Homes For Scotland's submission which we support and in addition BDW welcome the opportunity to maximise the efficient use of land, particularly in City Centre locations, and have developed bespoke products to achieve this in locations across the City. Indeed some of our city centre developments achieve densities over 100 dwellings per hectare. However, the prescriptive approach and blanket minimum density proposed will not be appropriate or possible in all locations across the authority area. The housetypes, layouts and storey heights required to achieve these densities will cause difficulties in achieving appropriate schemes in some locations. Considerations such as local heritage, landscape, topography, airport restrictions and of course market demand will make it difficult or unviable to deliver such densities. High density schemes can only be achieved by employing certain housetypes, thereby narrowing the range and type of new homes delivered. This has the potential to cause more acute affordability issues in certain demographics and types of households, who in their search for appropriate accommodation would be forced to look outside of the authority area. This would cause out migration and encourage more commuting, directly adverse to the aspirations of the plan. In addition, the application of some proposed policies elsewhere in 'Choices' would also add a challenge to achieving higher densities, if it is to be calculated on a gross area basis; - Provision for larger open spaces - if these need to be provided on site. - Provision of green blue infrastructure on site, this is particularly difficult on small brownfield sites. We therefore suggest that minimum densities are replaced with requirements to demonstrate that development proposals offer the most efficient use of land taking into account site-specific technical considerations and local context.

Choice 2 C

We want to revise our design and layout policies to achieve ensure their layouts deliver active travel and connectivity links. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: 01227 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GPHZ-Z

Name: Nick Wright

Response Type: Developer / Landowner

On behalf of: BDW Trading Limited (Barratt East Scotland)

Supporting Info:

Email: nick.wright@barratthomes.co.uk

Choice 2 D

We want all development, including student housing, to deliver quality open space and public realm, useable for a range of activities, including drying space, without losing densities. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response: Not Answered

Explanation

Customer Ref: 01227 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GPHZ-Z
Name: Nick Wright
Response Type: Developer / Landowner
On behalf of: BDW Trading Limited (Barratt East Scotland)

Supporting Info:
Email: nick.wright@barratthomes.co.uk

Choice 3 A

We want all buildings and conversions to meet the zero carbon / platinum standards as set out in the current Scottish Building Regulations. Instead we could require new development to meet the bronze, silver or gold standard. Which standard should new development in Edinburgh meet? - Which standard?

Short Response: Current Building S

Explanation BDW is committed being the country's leading national sustainable housebuilder and have signed up to science-based targets for reducing carbon emissions. We have committed to reduce direct carbon emissions from our business operations (such as offices, sites, and show homes) by 29% by 2025 and will cut our indirect carbon emissions (such as those coming from our homes over their lifetime and from across our supply chain) by 11% by 2030. We have already worked hard to reduce our carbon emissions – since 2015 we have achieved a 22% fall in emissions with initiatives such as improving plant efficiency and rolling out energy efficient lighting. Using modern methods of construction to build more energy efficient homes is a major part of reducing our this, but it is part of a wider and more holistic approach to an overall lower carbon operation incorporating site design, engineering solutions, our office operations and through our supply chain. It is important that measures to reduce Carbon are brought in safely, efficiently and in the knowledge that they will make a real and lasting reduction to carbon emissions. The Platinum standard of the build regulations is currently incomplete (i.e. the text under the sub headings in the current document is 'not currently defined' for all sections except CO2 emissions), therefore it is now known what the rest of the standard will require. This is critical when looking at the overall design, functionality and efficiency of buildings. It is therefore very difficult to quantify the impact that the Platinum standard will have on the design, build program and cost of buildings. Indeed it is not clear whether the required the supply chain and expertise to implement any additional measures is available. Barratt will continue to work towards improving the efficiently and adapting our products to meet higher standards, but this must be through a rigorous design process to ensuring the efficiency, longevity and deliverability of any new designs. Building regulations are not the remit of the Local Authority and any changes should only be brought in by the government on a comprehensive national basis. They cannot be imposed piecemeal, incomplete or without an understanding of how they should best be achieved and monitored.

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **4 A**

We want to work with local communities to prepare Place Briefs for areas and sites within City Plan 2030 highlighting the key elements of design, layout, and transport, education and healthcare infrastructure development should deliver. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **4 B**

We want to support Local Place Plans being prepared by our communities. City Plan 2030 will set out how Local Place Plans can help us achieve great places and support community ambitions. - How should the Council work with local communities to prepare Local Place Plans?

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **5 A**

We want City Plan 2030 to direct development to where there is existing infrastructure capacity, including education, healthcare and sustainable transport, or where potential new infrastructure will be accommodated and deliverable within the plan period. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 5 B

We want City Plan 2030 to set out where new community facilities are needed, and that these must be well connected to active travel routes and in locations with high accessibility to good sustainable public transport services. Do you agree with this? - Yes / NO

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 5 C

We want to reflect the desire to co-locate our community services close to the communities they serve, supporting a high walk-in population and reducing the need to travel. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 5 D1

We want to set out in the plan where development will be expected to contribute toward new or expanded community infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info
Email

Choice 5 D2

We want to use cumulative contribution zones to determine infrastructure actions, costs and delivery mechanisms. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 5 E

We want to stop using supplementary guidance and set out guidance for developer contributions within the plan, Action Programme and in non-statutory guidance. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 6 A

We want to create a new policy that assesses development against its ability to meet our targets for public transport usage and walking and cycling. These targets will vary according to the current or planned public transport services and high-quality active travel routes. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **6 B**

We want to use Place Briefs to set the targets for trips by walking, cycling and public transport based on current and planned transit interventions. This will determine appropriate parking levels to support high use of public transport. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation BDW have found that where low levels of parking has been provided on some of our developments, residents have parked inappropriately instead and this has reduced the amenity of the area, sometimes obstructing pedestrians and cyclists. Zero parking is a real challenge in terms of design, infrastructure, servicing and providing for varying needs. Parking requirements should be considered according to site specific circumstances

Choice **7 A**

We want to determine parking levels in development based on targets for trips by walking, cycling and public transport. These targets could be set by area, development type, or both and will be supported by other measures to control on-street parking. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation BDW have found that where low levels of parking has been provided on some of our developments, residents have parked inappropriately instead and this has reduced the amenity of the area, sometimes obstructing pedestrians and cyclists. Zero parking is a real challenge in terms of design, infrastructure, servicing and providing for varying needs. Parking requirements should be considered according to site specific circumstances

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info
Email

Choice **7 B**

We want to protect against the development of additional car parking in the city centre to support the delivery of the Council's city centre transformation programme. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **7 C**

We want to update our parking policies to control demand and to support parking for bikes, those with disabilities and electric vehicles via charging infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **7 D**

We want to support the city's park and ride infrastructure by safeguarding sites for new park and ride and extensions, including any other sites that are identified in the City Mobility Plan or its action plan. Do you agree with this? - We want to support the city's park and ride infrastructure by safeguarding sites for new park and ride and extensions, including any other sites that are identified in the City Mobility Plan or its action plan.

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **8 A**

We want to update our policy on the Cycle and Footpath Network to provide criteria for identifying new routes. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **8 B**

As part of the City Centre Transformation and other Council and partner projects to improve strategic walking and cycling links around the city, we want to add the following routes (along with our existing safeguards) to our network as active travel proposals to ensure that they are delivered. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **8 C**

We want City Plan 2030 to safeguard and add any other strategic active travel links within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 to include any new strategic active travel links which may be identified in the forthcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified through this consultation. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **8 C**

We want City Plan 2030 to safeguard and add any other strategic active travel links within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 to include any new strategic active travel links which may be identified in the forthcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified through this consultation. Do you agree with this? - Upload new cycle routes

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **9 A**

We want to consult on designating Edinburgh, or parts of Edinburgh, as a 'Short Term Let Control Area' where planning permission will always be required for the change of use of whole properties for short-term lets. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **9 B**

We want to create a new policy on the loss of homes to alternative uses. This new policy will be used when planning permission is required for a change of use of residential flats and houses to short-stay commercial visitor accommodation or other uses. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 10 A

We want to revise our policy on purpose-built student housing. We want to ensure that student housing is delivered at the right scale and in the right locations, helps create sustainable communities and looks after student's wellbeing. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 10 B

We want to create a new policy framework which sets out a requirement for housing on all sites over a certain size coming forward for development. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 10 C

We want to create a new policy promoting the better use of stand-alone out of centre retail units and commercial centres, where their redevelopment for mixed use including housing would be supported. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: 01227 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GPHZ-Z
Name: Nick Wright
Response Type: Developer / Landowner
On behalf of: BDW Trading Limited (Barratt East Scotland)

Supporting Info:
Email: nick.wright@barratthomes.co.uk

Choice 11 A

We want to amend our policy to increase the provision of affordable housing requirement from 25% to 35%. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response: No

Explanation

BDW has built approximately 2,000 homes in the City of Edinburgh Local Authority area in the last 5 years. Of those approximately 570 were affordable. BDW have a further 1,780 homes with planning permission on both green and brownfield sites that have commenced work and 469 of these will be affordable.

BDW are committed to delivering a range of both market and affordable housing in Edinburgh, however does not support an increase in the affordable requirement from 25% to 35% for the following reasons: -The LDP is being prepared under current legislation, with SPP is still in place as the extant National Policy, this states that the affordable housing requirement should be no more than 25%. -Affordable housing demand is a result of historical undersupply across all tenures. Unless the whole housing requirement is planned for and met, affordability issues will perpetuate, regardless of the affordable target. In order to deal with a shortfall in affordability. A plan that allocates a range and choice of housing sites is more likely to ensure delivery of the homes required to meet the needs of the population. A range of brownfield and greenfield sites, of varying sizes and in different locations, will allow the market to deliver the homes required meet housing need across all tenures within the plan period.

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **11 B**

We want City Plan 2030 to require a mix of housing types and tenures – we want the plan to be prescriptive on the required mix, including the percentage requirement for family housing and support for the Private Rented Sector. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation BDW support an approach that allows a broad range of type and tenure of homes to be included in the affordable proportion of homes in developments. Where a strict prescriptive approach to the proportion of different types is taken, this can have an effect on viability, delivery timescales and design. Housing officers must take heed of this when responding to applications. The design guide should be produced in conjunction with the developer and not lead by the RSL. We do not support the representative mix as we work with the RSL to meet their needs which is 1, 2 and 3 bed properties regardless of what is being built on the private site. The further down side to a representative mix is that densities would reduce as land take would increase for the affordable element and as a result both private and affordable numbers would reduce overall. Housing for Varying Needs standards is a wide term and we would only support the broad principles of this as all criteria cannot be met.

Customer Ref: 01227 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GPHZ-Z
Name: Nick Wright
Response Type: Developer / Landowner
On behalf of: BDW Trading Limited (Barratt East Scotland)

Supporting Info:
Email: nick.wright@barratthomes.co.uk

Choice 12 A

Which option do you support? - Option 1/2/3

Short Response: Option 3 (Blended)

Explanation
A range and choice of sites must be allocated to ensure that the housing requirement is met within the plan period. New Land requirementFollowing on the from the above considerations, it is reasonably straight forward to calculate the number of new homes for which new land needs to be allocated in CityPlan and we would advocate that an approach adopting Option 3 with a blended approach will be best suited given the overwhelming need for more housing of all tenures. Our calculations have concluded that the City requires a new land requirement of 52,837 units are per the summary below.Housing Need & Demand 2019 – 2032: 7,174 unitsHousing Supply Target: 7,174 unitsHousing Land Requirement (HST + 10%) 3,892 unitsEffective Housing Supply: 1,055 unitsNew Land Requirement: 2,837 unitsThe proposed brownfield only approach will not deliver the required homes due to: -Majority of sites identified are not vacant. -Site owners have not been identified o approached, some not willing to move as it is not financially viable for them to relocate. -Proposed restrictions and requirements for new developments will act as a disincentive to relocating.-Publicly owned sites do not have disposal strategies, or these are programmed towards the end of the plan period (Redford Barracks & Astley Ainsley) -Public owned sites have been historically slow to deliver, allocating them will not change this, previous policy has not restricted brownfield and regeneration sites from development.

Choice 12 B1

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Calderwood

Short Response: Not Answered

Explanation

Customer Ref:

01227

Response Ref:

ANON-KU2U-GPHZ-Z

Supporting Info

Name

Nick Wright

Email

nick.wright@barratthomes.co.uk

Response Type

Developer / Landowner

On behalf of:

BDW Trading Limited (Barratt East Scotland)

Choice 12 B2

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Kirkliston

Short Response Yes

Explanation

Choice 12 B3

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - West Edinburgh

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation

Choice 12 B4

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - East of Riccarton

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info
Email

Choice **12 B5**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - South East Edinburgh

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 B6**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - Calderwood

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 B7**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - Kirkliston

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info
Email

Choice **12 B8**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - West Edinburgh

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 B9**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - East of Riccarton

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 B10**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - South East Edinburgh

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Supporting Info

Name

Email

Response Type

On behalf of:

Choice **12 BX**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Explain why

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 C**

Do you have a greenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfield file upload

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 C**

Do you have a greenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfield file upload

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:

01227

Response Ref:

ANON-KU2U-GPHZ-Z

Supporting Info

Name

Nick Wright

Email

nick.wright@barratthomes.co.uk

Response Type

Developer / Landowner

On behalf of:

BDW Trading Limited (Barratt East Scotland)

Choice 12 C

Do you have a greenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfield file upload

Short Response

No

Explanation

Choice 12 D

Do you have a brownfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Brownfield sites upload

Short Response

No

Explanation

Choice 13 A

We want to create a new policy that provides support for social enterprises, start-ups, culture and tourism, innovation and learning, and the low carbon sector, where there is a contribution to good growth for Edinburgh. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Not Answered

Explanation

Not Answered

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info
Email

Choice 14 A

We want City Plan 2030 to support the best use of existing public transport infrastructure in West Edinburgh and accommodate the development of a mix of uses to support inclusive, sustainable growth. We will do this through ‘an area of search’ which allows a wide consideration of future uses within West Edinburgh without being tied to individual sites. Do you support this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 14 B

We want to remove the safeguard in the existing plan for the Royal Highland Showground site to the south of the A8 at Norton Park and allocate the site for other uses. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 14 C

We want City Plan 2030 to allocate the Airport’s contingency runway, the “crosswinds runway” for the development of alternative uses next to the Edinburgh Gateway interchange. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 15 A

We want to continue to use the national 'town centre first' approach. City Plan 2030 will protect and enhance the city centre as the regional core of south east Scotland providing shopping, commercial leisure, and entertainment and tourism activities. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 15 B

New shopping and leisure development will only be allowed within our town and local centres (including any new local centres) justified by the Commercial Needs study. Outwith local centres, small scale proposals will be permitted only in areas where there is evidence of a lack of food shopping within walking distance. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 15 C

We want to review our existing town and local centres including the potential for new identified centres and boundary changes where they support walking and cycling access to local services in outer areas, consistent with the outcomes of the City Mobility Plan. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 15 D

We want to continue to prepare and update supplementary guidance for our town centres to adapt to changing retail patterns and trends, and ensure an appropriate balance of uses within our centres to maintain their vitality, viability and deliver good placemaking. Instead we could stop using supplementary guidance for town centres and set out guidance within the plan. Which approach do you support? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 15 E

We want to support new hotel provision in local, town, commercial centres and other locations with good public transport access throughout Edinburgh. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 15 G

We could also seek to reduce the quantity of retail floorspace within centres in favour of alternative uses such as increased leisure provision and permit commercial centres to accommodate any growing demand. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info
Email

Choice **16 A1**

We want to continue to support office use at strategic office locations at Edinburgh Park/South Gyle, the International Business Gateway, Leith, the city centre, and in town and local centres. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **16 A2**

We want to support office development at commercial centres as these also provide accessible locations. - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **16 A3**

We want to strengthen the requirement within the city centre to provide significant office floorspace within major mixed-use developments. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 16 A4

We want to amend the boundary of the Leith strategic office location to remove areas with residential development consent. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 A5

We want to continue to support office development in other accessible locations elsewhere in the urban area. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 A5

We want to continue to support office development in other accessible locations elsewhere in the urban area. Do you agree? - Do you have an office site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan?

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info
Email

Choice 16 B

We want to identify sites and locations within Edinburgh with potential for office development. Do you agree with this? - Yes/No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 C

We want to introduce a loss of office policy to retain accessible office accommodation. This would not permit the redevelopment of office buildings other than for office use, unless existing office space is provided as part of denser development. This would apply across the city to recognise that office locations outwith the city centre and strategic office locations are important in meeting the needs of the mid-market. Or we could introduce a 'loss of office' policy only in the city centre. - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E1

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - Leith Strategic Business Centre

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info
Email

Choice 16 E2

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - Newbridge

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E3

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - Newcraighall Industrial Estate.

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E4

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - The Crosswinds Runway

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info
Email

Choice 16 E5

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - Leith Strategic Business Centre

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E6

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - Newbridge

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E7

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - Newcraighall Industrial Estate.

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info
Email

Choice 16 E8

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - The Crosswinds Runway

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 EX

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Explain why

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 F

We want to ensure new business space is provided as part of the redevelopment of urban sites and considered in Place Briefs for greenfield sites. We want to set out the amount expected to be re-provided, clearer criteria on what constitutes flexible business space, and how to deliver it, including the location on-site, and considering adjacent uses, servicing and visibility. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **16 G**

We want to continue to protect industrial estates that are designated under our current policy on Employment Sites and Premises (Emp 8). Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **16 H**

We want to introduce a policy that provides criteria for locations that we would support city-wide and neighbourhood goods distribution hubs. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation