

**Customer Ref:**  **Response Ref:**

**Name**

**Response Type**

**On behalf of:**

**Supporting Info**

**Email**

**Choice** **1 A**

**We want to connect our places, parks and green spaces together as part of a city-wide, regional, and national green network. We want new development to connect to, and deliver this network. Do you agree with this? - Select support / don't support**

**Short Response**

**Explanation**

**Choice** **1 B**

**We want to change our policy to require all development (including change of use) to include green and blue infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Support / Object**

**Short Response**

**Explanation**

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **1 C**

**We want to identify areas that can be used for future water management to enable adaptation to climate change. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **1 D**

**We want to clearly set out under what circumstances the development of poor quality or underused open space will be considered acceptable. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **1 E**

**We want to introduce a new 'extra-large green space standard' which recognises that as we grow communities will need access to green spaces more than 5 hectares. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 1 F

**We want to identify specific sites for new allotments and food growing, both as part of new development sites and within open space in the urban area. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 1 F

**We want to identify specific sites for new allotments and food growing, both as part of new development sites and within open space in the urban area. Do you agree with this? - Upload (max size 3mb)**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 1 G

**We want to identify space for additional cemetery provision, including the potential for green and woodland burials. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **1 H**

**We want to revise our existing policies and green space designations to ensure that new green spaces have long term maintenance and management arrangements in place. Do you agree with this? - Yes/No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **2 A**

**We want all development (including change of use), through design and access statements, to demonstrate how their design will incorporate measures to tackle and adapt to climate change, their future adaptability and measures to address accessibility for people with varying needs, age and mobility issues as a key part of their layouts. - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **2 B**

**We want to revise our policies on density to ensure that we make best use of the limited space in our city and that sites are not under-developed. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **2 C**

**We want to revise our design and layout policies to achieve ensure their layouts deliver active travel and connectivity links. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **2 D**

**We want all development, including student housing, to deliver quality open space and public realm, useable for a range of activities, including drying space, without losing densities. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **3 A**

**We want all buildings and conversions to meet the zero carbon / platinum standards as set out in the current Scottish Building Regulations. Instead we could require new development to meet the bronze, silver or gold standard. Which standard should new development in Edinburgh meet? - Which standard?**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Supporting Info

Name

Email

Response Type

On behalf of:

Choice **4 A**

**We want to work with local communities to prepare Place Briefs for areas and sites within City Plan 2030 highlighting the key elements of design, layout, and transport, education and healthcare infrastructure development should deliver. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **4 B**

**We want to support Local Place Plans being prepared by our communities. City Plan 2030 will set out how Local Place Plans can help us achieve great places and support community ambitions. - How should the Council work with local communities to prepare Local Place Plans?**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **5 A**

**We want City Plan 2030 to direct development to where there is existing infrastructure capacity, including education, healthcare and sustainable transport, or where potential new infrastructure will be accommodated and deliverable within the plan period. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:   
Name   
Response Type   
On behalf of:

Supporting Info   
Email

Choice 5 B

**We want City Plan 2030 to set out where new community facilities are needed, and that these must be well connected to active travel routes and in locations with high accessibility to good sustainable public transport services. Do you agree with this? - Yes / NO**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 5 C

**We want to reflect the desire to co-locate our community services close to the communities they serve, supporting a high walk-in population and reducing the need to travel. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 5 D1

**We want to set out in the plan where development will be expected to contribute toward new or expanded community infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 5 D2

**We want to use cumulative contribution zones to determine infrastructure actions, costs and delivery mechanisms. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 5 E

**We want to stop using supplementary guidance and set out guidance for developer contributions within the plan, Action Programme and in non-statutory guidance. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 6 A

**We want to create a new policy that assesses development against its ability to meet our targets for public transport usage and walking and cycling. These targets will vary according to the current or planned public transport services and high-quality active travel routes. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation



Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **6 B**

**We want to use Place Briefs to set the targets for trips by walking, cycling and public transport based on current and planned transit interventions. This will determine appropriate parking levels to support high use of public transport. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **7 A**

**We want to determine parking levels in development based on targets for trips by walking, cycling and public transport. These targets could be set by area, development type, or both and will be supported by other measures to control on-street parking. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **7 B**

**We want to protect against the development of additional car parking in the city centre to support the delivery of the Council's city centre transformation programme. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **7 C**

**We want to update our parking policies to control demand and to support parking for bikes, those with disabilities and electric vehicles via charging infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **7 D**

**We want to support the city's park and ride infrastructure by safeguarding sites for new park and ride and extensions, including any other sites that are identified in the City Mobility Plan or its action plan. Do you agree with this? - We want to support the city's park and ride infrastructure by safeguarding sites for new park and ride and extensions, including any other sites that are identified in the City Mobility Plan or its action plan.**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **8 A**

**We want to update our policy on the Cycle and Footpath Network to provide criteria for identifying new routes. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **8 B**

**As part of the City Centre Transformation and other Council and partner projects to improve strategic walking and cycling links around the city, we want to add the following routes (along with our existing safeguards) to our network as active travel proposals to ensure that they are delivered. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **8 C**

**We want City Plan 2030 to safeguard and add any other strategic active travel links within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 to include any new strategic active travel links which may be identified in the forthcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified through this consultation. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **8 C**

**We want City Plan 2030 to safeguard and add any other strategic active travel links within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 to include any new strategic active travel links which may be identified in the forthcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified through this consultation. Do you agree with this? - Upload new cycle routes**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 9 A

**We want to consult on designating Edinburgh, or parts of Edinburgh, as a 'Short Term Let Control Area' where planning permission will always be required for the change of use of whole properties for short-term lets. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No**

Short Response

**Explanation**

The Association of Scotland's Self-Caterers (ASSC) note that the Scottish Government consultation on the regulations pertaining to short-term lets from the Planning (Scotland) Act 2019 has not yet taken place. Section 17 of the Act will enable a planning authority to designate all or part of its area as a short-term let control area but the Scottish Government's consultation will inform what the regulations will include. Before any real substantive discussion on whether Edinburgh as a whole, or parts within the city can be designated as a short-term let control area, the Scottish Government need to provide a proper legal definition of what constitutes a short-term let. The Private Housing Tenancies (Scotland) Act 2016 introduced a new type of tenancy called the Private Residential Tenancy (PRT), which took effect from December 2017. Any landlord accepting tenants for over 31 days needs to register as a landlord and sign a tenancy agreement with their tenant. Holiday lets are excluded from the terms of the Private Housing (Tenancies) (Scotland) Act 2016. A tenancy created for the purpose of conferring on the tenant the right to occupy the property for a holiday will not constitute a PRT. We believe therefore that any let which does not exceed 31 consecutive nights be considered a short-term let. Following this, we believe that it is the role of the Scottish Government to define what a short-term let control area is, and how one can be designated. In line with the ASSC's belief that short-term let control areas must be evidence-based, a nationally-recognised designation of a geographical area, such as a postcode, would be the most appropriate way of demarcating a short-term let control zone. Once that has been established, we believe that short-term let control areas must follow the following three principles: (a) Proportionate and non-discriminatory, in line with the EU Services Directive. Under the EU Services Directive, the European Commission reserves the right to take to court any jurisdiction found to be introducing laws which are not proportionate or in the public interest. In January 2019, the Commission sued the city of Brussels for introducing rules which were deemed to be disproportionate. According to the European Commission, an authorisation scheme can only constitute an appropriate policy response in instances of high urban pressure. This leads us to our next point: short-term let control areas must have a firm basis in evidence. The evidence base can be derived from the short-term lets licensing scheme which is also being taken forward by the Scottish Government. Local authorities like City of Edinburgh will be able to see how many short-term lets there are in certain areas. If this number exceeds a certain percentage of the total housing stock, a short-term let control area can be introduced. We do not believe it is proportionate to designate the whole of Edinburgh as a short-term let control area but the Council should be able to introduce control areas within parts of the city if there is a robust evidence-base to support this.

(b) Evidence-based and reviewed on an annual basis. Given the dynamic and ever-changing nature of the housing market in Scotland, it is only right that the evidence basis for short-term let control areas should be reviewed on an annual basis. At the start of each year, local authorities like Edinburgh should review whether areas which are currently designated as short-term let control areas still meet the criteria for designation, as well as whether some areas have begun to meet those criteria, and hand out designations on that basis. Given that operators will have to submit the postcode of their property when applying for a short-term letting licence, the ASSC believe that the postal address system is the simplest way of designating areas to become short-term let

**Customer Ref:** 00544      **Response Ref:** ANON-KU2U-GW64-F  
**Name** Fiona Campbell  
**Response Type** Organisation / Public Agency  
**On behalf of:** Association of Scotland's Self-Caterers

**Supporting Info**   
**Email** fiona@assc.co.uk

control areas.(c) Only apply to whole homes let for more than 140 nights per year, in line with existing tax rules in Scotland Given that the core aim of short-term let control areas is to protect residential housing stock, its controls should not cover homes which are genuinely being lived in for some portion of the year. As such, those letting spare rooms in their homes, and those who are intending to let for fewer than 140 nights per year, should not have to apply for planning permission to continue letting in a short-term let control areas. Self-Catering accommodation is defined as: “Any lands and heritages which are not the sole or main residence of any person; and b) which either i) are made available by a relevant person for letting, on a commercial basis and with a view to the realisation of profit, as self-catering accommodation for short periods amounting in the aggregate to 140 days or more in the financial year; or ii) if they have not been made so available for letting in that year, are intended by a relevant person to be made so available for letting in that year and the interest of the relevant person in the lands and heritages is such as to enable him to let them for such periods.” (Scottish Assessors Association). This is the definition in terms of Non-Domestic Rates (NDR), at which point a property can no longer be considered to be part of residential housing stock. If a property is available for let for under 140 days, it means the property is in the Council Tax system and may well be used in part by the primary resident; available for let for over 140 days places the property in NDR. This point is in line with the existing distinction between domestic and commercial use in terms of domestic council tax or commercial use / NDR. The Private Housing Tenancies (Scotland) Act 2016 introduced a new type of tenancy called the Private Residential Tenancy (PRT), which took effect from December 2017. Holiday lets of under 31 days are excluded from the terms of the Private Housing (Tenancies) (Scotland) Act 2016. The tax issue is quite different. To qualify as a trading business under the Furnished Holiday Let (FHL) tax rules, a property must be available for let for 210 days and actually let for 105 days. Dwellings which fall into the two categories articulated above (NDR/FHL) would never be available on the long-term rental market, as they are excluded from PRT legislation and FHL taxation rules.

Customer Ref: 00544 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GW64-F  
Name: Fiona Campbell  
Response Type: Organisation / Public Agency  
On behalf of: Association of Scotland's Self-Caterers

Supporting Info:   
Email: fiona@assc.co.uk

Choice 9 B

**We want to create a new policy on the loss of homes to alternative uses. This new policy will be used when planning permission is required for a change of use of residential flats and houses to short-stay commercial visitor accommodation or other uses. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response: Not Answered

**Explanation**

Edinburgh City Council state that the policy will set out criteria to help determine when a) material change of use from residential to short-stay commercial accommodation has occurred, and b) when it will be acceptable. On point (a): the ASSC would like to highlight legal advice on the requirement for planning permission for self-catering properties which is pertinent to this question. This was supplied by the legal firm Brodies LLP in March 2018. Some of the main points from the legal advice obtained by the ASSC include the statement that: "...the commercial element (in self-catering use) is broadly similar to a residential property being occupied by a tenant paying rent...The question is therefore whether short stay occupation necessarily has different planning considerations/impacts. Short stay occupation involves people living in the property, just for shorter periods. However, that does not necessarily mean the nature/impacts of the occupation are different." The advice goes on to discuss how permanent residents can have different movements depending on a variety of issues, including employment, leisure interests, family circumstances, health. For instance, a family with teenage children might enter and leave the property many times during the day and night. Therefore, the advice maintains that: "Users of a self-catering property are therefore unlikely to exhibit markedly different characteristics to more permanent residents. Disruptive or anti-social behaviour is just as likely in residential use as self-catering use." The advice concludes with the following: "...reasonable arguments can be made that self-catering use does not involve a material change of use from residential use. That has been the outcome in individual cases decided by appeal reporters/inspectors and upheld by the courts. It is also impliedly supported by the statements in the Scottish Government Circular 4/1998." This was sent to the City of Edinburgh Planning Authority back in April 2018.

In relation to point (b), there is a statutory requirement for planning permission to be obtained for "development" (Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997, section 28. 2.2 There are 2 aspects to "development": physical changes (eg. building works) and material changes of use (TCPA section 26). 2.3 There is no statutory definition of "material change of use". Whether any change is material, and therefore requires planning permission, is a question of fact and degree for the planning authority to decide. ASSC's legal opinion focuses on the use aspect. As per the answer to choice 9A, we insist on a proper definition of what constitutes a short-stay, and in addition, what constitutes a material change of use. Overall, we feel that the questions on Choice 9 are premature as they come before the Scottish Government's own consultation on Section 17 of the Planning Act which will inform what the regulations will include. In this, it is obviously imperative that the Scottish Government provide a definition of what constitutes a short-term let. That is necessary before anyone starts to discuss whether to introduce a short-term let control zone within their locality.

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **10 A**

**We want to revise our policy on purpose-built student housing. We want to ensure that student housing is delivered at the right scale and in the right locations, helps create sustainable communities and looks after student's wellbeing. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **10 B**

**We want to create a new policy framework which sets out a requirement for housing on all sites over a certain size coming forward for development. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **10 C**

**We want to create a new policy promoting the better use of stand-alone out of centre retail units and commercial centres, where their redevelopment for mixed use including housing would be supported. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:   
Name   
Response Type   
On behalf of:

Supporting Info   
Email

Choice **11 A**

**We want to amend our policy to increase the provision of affordable housing requirement from 25% to 35%. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **11 B**

**We want City Plan 2030 to require a mix of housing types and tenures – we want the plan to be prescriptive on the required mix, including the percentage requirement for family housing and support for the Private Rented Sector. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 A**

**Which option do you support? - Option 1/2/3**

Short Response

Explanation



Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **12 B1**

**Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Calderwood**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 B2**

**Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Kirkliston**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 B3**

**Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - West Edinburgh**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **12 B4**

**Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - East of Riccarton**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 B5**

**Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - South East Edinburgh**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 B6**

**Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - Calderwood**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **12 B7**

**Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - Kirkliston**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 B8**

**Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - West Edinburgh**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 B9**

**Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - East of Riccarton**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **12 B10**

**Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - South East Edinburgh**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 BX**

**Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Explain why**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 C**

**Do you have a greenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfield file upload**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 12 C

Do you have a greenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfield file upload

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 12 C

Do you have a greenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfield file upload

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 12 D

Do you have a brownfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Brownfield sites upload

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **13 A**

**We want to create a new policy that provides support for social enterprises, start-ups, culture and tourism, innovation and learning, and the low carbon sector, where there is a contribution to good growth for Edinburgh. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **14 A**

**We want City Plan 2030 to support the best use of existing public transport infrastructure in West Edinburgh and accommodate the development of a mix of uses to support inclusive, sustainable growth. We will do this through 'an area of search' which allows a wide consideration of future uses within West Edinburgh without being tied to individual sites. Do you support this approach? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **14 B**

**We want to remove the safeguard in the existing plan for the Royal Highland Showground site to the south of the A8 at Norton Park and allocate the site for other uses. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **14 C**

**We want City Plan 2030 to allocate the Airport's contingency runway, the "crosswinds runway" for the development of alternative uses next to the Edinburgh Gateway interchange. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **15 A**

**We want to continue to use the national 'town centre first' approach. City Plan 2030 will protect and enhance the city centre as the regional core of south east Scotland providing shopping, commercial leisure, and entertainment and tourism activities. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **15 B**

**New shopping and leisure development will only be allowed within our town and local centres (including any new local centres) justified by the Commercial Needs study. Outwith local centres, small scale proposals will be permitted only in areas where there is evidence of a lack of food shopping within walking distance. Do you agree? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 15 C

**We want to review our existing town and local centres including the potential for new identified centres and boundary changes where they support walking and cycling access to local services in outer areas, consistent with the outcomes of the City Mobility Plan. Do you agree? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 15 D

**We want to continue to prepare and update supplementary guidance for our town centres to adapt to changing retail patterns and trends, and ensure an appropriate balance of uses within our centres to maintain their vitality, viability and deliver good placemaking. Instead we could stop using supplementary guidance for town centres and set out guidance within the plan. Which approach do you support? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 15 E

**We want to support new hotel provision in local, town, commercial centres and other locations with good public transport access throughout Edinburgh. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation



Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 15 G

**We could also seek to reduce the quantity of retail floorspace within centres in favour of alternative uses such as increased leisure provision and permit commercial centres to accommodate any growing demand. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 A1

**We want to continue to support office use at strategic office locations at Edinburgh Park/South Gyle, the International Business Gateway, Leith, the city centre, and in town and local centres. Do you agree? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 A2

**We want to support office development at commercial centres as these also provide accessible locations. - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:   
Name   
Response Type   
On behalf of:

Supporting Info   
Email

Choice 16 A3

**We want to strengthen the requirement within the city centre to provide significant office floorspace within major mixed-use developments. Do you agree? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 A4

**We want to amend the boundary of the Leith strategic office location to remove areas with residential development consent. Do you agree? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 A5

**We want to continue to support office development in other accessible locations elsewhere in the urban area. Do you agree? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 16 A5

**We want to continue to support office development in other accessible locations elsewhere in the urban area. Do you agree? - Do you have an office site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan?**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 B

**We want to identify sites and locations within Edinburgh with potential for office development. Do you agree with this? - Yes/No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 C

**We want to introduce a loss of office policy to retain accessible office accommodation. This would not permit the redevelopment of office buildings other than for office use, unless existing office space is provided as part of denser development. This would apply across the city to recognise that office locations outwith the city centre and strategic office locations are important in meeting the needs of the mid-market. Or we could Introduce a 'loss of office' policy only in the city centre. - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:   
Name   
Response Type   
On behalf of:

Supporting Info   
Email

Choice 16 E1

**We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - Leith Strategic Business Centre**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E2

**We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - Newbridge**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E3

**We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - Newcraighall Industrial Estate.**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:   
Name   
Response Type   
On behalf of:

Supporting Info   
Email

Choice 16 E4

**We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - The Crosswinds Runway**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E5

**We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - Leith Strategic Business Centre**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E6

**We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - Newbridge**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 16 E7

**We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - Newcraighall Industrial Estate.**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E8

**We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - The Crosswinds Runway**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 EX

**We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Explain why**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:   
Name   
Response Type   
On behalf of:

Supporting Info   
Email

Choice 16 F

**We want to ensure new business space is provided as part of the redevelopment of urban sites and considered in Place Briefs for greenfield sites. We want to set out the amount expected to be re-provided, clearer criteria on what constitutes flexible business space, and how to deliver it, including the location on-site, and considering adjacent uses, servicing and visibility. Do you agree? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 G

**We want to continue to protect industrial estates that are designated under our current policy on Employment Sites and Premises (Emp 8). Do you agree? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 H

**We want to introduce a policy that provides criteria for locations that we would support city-wide and neighbourhood goods distribution hubs. Do you agree? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

**Customer Ref:**

00544

**Response Ref:**

ANON-KU2U-GW64-F

**Supporting Info**

**Name**

Fiona Campbell

**Email**

fiona@assc.co.uk

**Response Type**

Organisation / Public Agency

**On behalf of:**

Association of Scotland's Self-Caterers

---