

**Customer Ref:**  **Response Ref:**

**Name**

**Response Type**

**On behalf of:**

**Supporting Info**

**Email**

**Choice** **1 A**

**We want to connect our places, parks and green spaces together as part of a city-wide, regional, and national green network. We want new development to connect to, and deliver this network. Do you agree with this? - Select support / don't support**

**Short Response**

**Explanation**

**Choice** **1 B**

**We want to change our policy to require all development (including change of use) to include green and blue infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Support / Object**

**Short Response**

**Explanation**

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:   
Name   
Response Type   
On behalf of:

Supporting Info   
Email

Choice 1 C

**We want to identify areas that can be used for future water management to enable adaptation to climate change. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 1 D

**We want to clearly set out under what circumstances the development of poor quality or underused open space will be considered acceptable. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 1 E

**We want to introduce a new 'extra-large green space standard' which recognises that as we grow communities will need access to green spaces more than 5 hectares. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 1 F

We want to identify specific sites for new allotments and food growing, both as part of new development sites and within open space in the urban area. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 1 F

We want to identify specific sites for new allotments and food growing, both as part of new development sites and within open space in the urban area. Do you agree with this? - Upload (max size 3mb)

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 1 G

We want to identify space for additional cemetery provision, including the potential for green and woodland burials. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 1 H

**We want to revise our existing policies and green space designations to ensure that new green spaces have long term maintenance and management arrangements in place. Do you agree with this? - Yes/No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 2 A

**We want all development (including change of use), through design and access statements, to demonstrate how their design will incorporate measures to tackle and adapt to climate change, their future adaptability and measures to address accessibility for people with varying needs, age and mobility issues as a key part of their layouts. - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 2 B

**We want to revise our policies on density to ensure that we make best use of the limited space in our city and that sites are not under-developed. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 2 C

**We want to revise our design and layout policies to achieve ensure their layouts deliver active travel and connectivity links. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 2 D

**We want all development, including student housing, to deliver quality open space and public realm, useable for a range of activities, including drying space, without losing densities. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 3 A

**We want all buildings and conversions to meet the zero carbon / platinum standards as set out in the current Scottish Building Regulations. Instead we could require new development to meet the bronze, silver or gold standard. Which standard should new development in Edinburgh meet? - Which standard?**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **4 A**

**We want to work with local communities to prepare Place Briefs for areas and sites within City Plan 2030 highlighting the key elements of design, layout, and transport, education and healthcare infrastructure development should deliver. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **4 B**

**We want to support Local Place Plans being prepared by our communities. City Plan 2030 will set out how Local Place Plans can help us achieve great places and support community ambitions. - How should the Council work with local communities to prepare Local Place Plans?**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **5 A**

**We want City Plan 2030 to direct development to where there is existing infrastructure capacity, including education, healthcare and sustainable transport, or where potential new infrastructure will be accommodated and deliverable within the plan period. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:   
Name   
Response Type   
On behalf of:

Supporting Info   
Email

Choice 5 B

**We want City Plan 2030 to set out where new community facilities are needed, and that these must be well connected to active travel routes and in locations with high accessibility to good sustainable public transport services. Do you agree with this? - Yes / NO**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 5 C

**We want to reflect the desire to co-locate our community services close to the communities they serve, supporting a high walk-in population and reducing the need to travel. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 5 D1

**We want to set out in the plan where development will be expected to contribute toward new or expanded community infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 5 D2

**We want to use cumulative contribution zones to determine infrastructure actions, costs and delivery mechanisms. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 5 E

**We want to stop using supplementary guidance and set out guidance for developer contributions within the plan, Action Programme and in non-statutory guidance. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 6 A

**We want to create a new policy that assesses development against its ability to meet our targets for public transport usage and walking and cycling. These targets will vary according to the current or planned public transport services and high-quality active travel routes. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation



Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **6 B**

**We want to use Place Briefs to set the targets for trips by walking, cycling and public transport based on current and planned transit interventions. This will determine appropriate parking levels to support high use of public transport. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **7 A**

**We want to determine parking levels in development based on targets for trips by walking, cycling and public transport. These targets could be set by area, development type, or both and will be supported by other measures to control on-street parking. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **7 B**

**We want to protect against the development of additional car parking in the city centre to support the delivery of the Council's city centre transformation programme. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **7 C**

**We want to update our parking policies to control demand and to support parking for bikes, those with disabilities and electric vehicles via charging infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **7 D**

**We want to support the city's park and ride infrastructure by safeguarding sites for new park and ride and extensions, including any other sites that are identified in the City Mobility Plan or its action plan. Do you agree with this? - We want to support the city's park and ride infrastructure by safeguarding sites for new park and ride and extensions, including any other sites that are identified in the City Mobility Plan or its action plan.**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **8 A**

**We want to update our policy on the Cycle and Footpath Network to provide criteria for identifying new routes. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:

00024

Response Ref:

ANON-KU2U-GPGC-8

Supporting Info

Name

kevin gibbons

Email

kevin.gibbons@inchhouse.co.uk

Response Type

Organisation / Public Agency

On behalf of:

Inch Community Association (SC0049401)

Choice

8 B

**As part of the City Centre Transformation and other Council and partner projects to improve strategic walking and cycling links around the city, we want to add the following routes (along with our existing safeguards) to our network as active travel proposals to ensure that they are delivered. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Not Answered

Explanation

Choice

8 C

**We want City Plan 2030 to safeguard and add any other strategic active travel links within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 to include any new strategic active travel links which may be identified in the forthcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified through this consultation. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Not Answered

Explanation

Not Answered

Choice

8 C

**We want City Plan 2030 to safeguard and add any other strategic active travel links within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 to include any new strategic active travel links which may be identified in the forthcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified through this consultation. Do you agree with this? - Upload new cycle routes**

Short Response

No

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Supporting Info

Name

Email

Response Type

On behalf of:

Choice 9 A

**We want to consult on designating Edinburgh, or parts of Edinburgh, as a 'Short Term Let Control Area' where planning permission will always be required for the change of use of whole properties for short-term lets. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 9 B

**We want to create a new policy on the loss of homes to alternative uses. This new policy will be used when planning permission is required for a change of use of residential flats and houses to short-stay commercial visitor accommodation or other uses. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 10 A

**We want to revise our policy on purpose-built student housing. We want to ensure that student housing is delivered at the right scale and in the right locations, helps create sustainable communities and looks after student's wellbeing. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Supporting Info

Email

Response Type

On behalf of:

Choice **10 B**

**We want to create a new policy framework which sets out a requirement for housing on all sites over a certain size coming forward for development. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **10 C**

**We want to create a new policy promoting the better use of stand-alone out of centre retail units and commercial centres, where their redevelopment for mixed use including housing would be supported. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **11 A**

**We want to amend our policy to increase the provision of affordable housing requirement from 25% to 35%. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: 00024 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GPGC-8

Supporting Info

Name kevin gibbons

Email kevin.gibbons@inchhouse.co.uk

Response Type Organisation / Public Agency

On behalf of: Inch Community Association (SC0049401)

Choice 11 B

We want City Plan 2030 to require a mix of housing types and tenures – we want the plan to be prescriptive on the required mix, including the percentage requirement for family housing and support for the Private Rented Sector. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation Not Answered

Customer Ref: 00024 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GPGC-8

Name kevin gibbons

Supporting Info

Email kevin.gibbons@inchhouse.co.uk

Response Type Organisation / Public Agency

On behalf of: Inch Community Association (SC0049401)

Choice 12 A

Which option do you support? - Option 1/2/3

Short Response Not Answered

**Explanation**

This submission is being made by Inch Community Association (registered charity – SC0049401) in order to object to the proposal contained in the City plan 2030 consultation document (as evidenced from the maps appearing on pages 33 + 34 and elsewhere in the document) to consider using the nursery in INCH PARK as a potential site to build between 465 and 813 dwellings. There are many serious objections to be made, but the ones which seem to us to be the most compelling are the following:

- 1) The development will have a massive negative impact on Inch Park. Inch Park is one of the most extensive and beautiful green spaces in the whole of Edinburgh, beloved by generations of Edinburgh citizens over the years. It is visited daily as a place of recreation, for walking, meetings, playing sports, to encounter nature or in order to escape from the stresses of urban life. Building hundreds of new houses in the middle of Inch Park will totally transform its character. And once the houses are there, they will be there for ever.
- 2) The development will seriously undermine the unique historical heritage value of Inch Park. At the centre of Inch Park is the magnificent Inch House, the home of the local community centre and an A-listed historical building with a 16th/17th century castellated tower house and adjoining walled gardens at its core. The gardens, which currently house much of the Council's plant nursery, would be entirely obliterated by the proposed development and a mass of modern housing would be crammed practically against the walls of Inch House. This cannot be an appropriate or respectful use for such a culturally important site.
- 3) The development will put enormous stress on the local community infrastructure. The development is going to place enormous pressure on an already strained local infrastructure, particularly through generating increased traffic on the junctions around Cameron Toll and through increasing pressure on already overcrowded local schools. South-East Edinburgh has seen an explosion in population in recent years which is set to grow even more in immediate future. Squeezing another near 2000 new residents into the area is hardly going to lead to an improvement in the quality of life locally.
- 4) Building houses here will result in the loss of a priceless community resource for the future. If the Council no longer believes that it requires the nursery site for plant-growing purposes, there are surely more imaginative and appropriate ways the site can be utilised than to build a mass of new houses there, and ways which will also better preserve the character and integrity of the Park. To begin with Inch Community Association is currently preparing an application to take over the ownership of Inch House under a Community Asset Transfer request, to develop its full potential as a community centre and to explore how it might also serve as a major cultural and heritage centre for the entire city of Edinburgh. This plan would also envisage restoring the historic walled gardens in all their ancient glory. Other organisations have also expressed an interest in developing parts of the site in a sympathetic manner to provide educational and learning resources which would be available to support local schools. There is also a strong argument to be made for returning part of the site at least to the rest of the Inch Park, from which it was annexed and fenced off in the 1970s. A proper vision is needed for the whole of the Park, as was already pointed out many years ago (<https://www.edinburgh.gov.uk/downloads/file/23035/inch-park>). There also requires to take place a discussion within the local community about how best to use the site, for which more time will be needed. This site must be reserved solely for community purposes, as was originally intended when it was first purchased in 1946. On no account should consideration be given to selling it off to private developers or for it to be otherwise privatised or lost from the public domain.
- 5) It will open the gates to further building encroachments on the Park. Since its creation after the Second World War as a recreational

Customer Ref: 00024 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GPGC-8

Name kevin gibbons

Supporting Info

Email kevin.gibbons@inchhouse.co.uk

Response Type Organisation / Public Agency

On behalf of: Inch Community Association (SC0049401)

amenity for the public, Inch Park has suffered from a whole series of encroachments for new building developments (these are described in the attached "Inch Park Historical Perspective" by Bill Cook). All of these have caused significant damage to the Park and have served to degrade it. But none would constitute such a violent attack on the character of Park as a whole as the current proposal. The development wouldn't come alone, new roads would have to be laid to give access to the new houses and other intrusive facilities constructed. Users of the remainder of Inch Park would also have to contend with the demands of up to 2000 new residents. The impact on the Park generated by the attendant car traffic can easily be imagined. Moreover, if this development goes ahead, the green light will effectively have been given to future incremental building developments. If one part of this unique Park is zoned for housing, how would anyone be able to stop other parts from being zoned as well? 6) Should such a major planning decision really be going ahead in the time of Covid-19? Surely at a time when the Covid-19 emergency has rendered the future entirely uncertain it is impossible now to plan in such detail as Cityplan 2030 is attempting to do. There has already been much discussion about how the surge in people working at home will lead to a fall in demand for office-space, leading potentially to many existing offices being converted into residential accommodation. If this were to happen, would we then actually need so many new houses? Who today can possibly answer this question? With so many unknown variables, surely the whole Cityplan 2030 exercise needs to be put on hold. Only when the pandemic is safely behind us, and we understand better what the new social, political and economic landscape is likely to be, can we start to construct a plan to answer Edinburgh's developmental needs which will be fit for purpose. We therefore object to this proposal in the strongest possible terms. In our view the whole of Inch Park should be excluded from consideration as a potential site for residential building development and reserved for community purposes only. Any building on this location should be of strictly limited extent and specially designed to meet the particular needs of such a sensitive and historically important site. Moreover, no development within Inch Park should be approved without there first being carried out a proper community engagement process to elicit the views of local residents. Kevin Gibbons Chairperson Inch Community Association

Choice 12 B1

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Calderwood

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation



Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **12 B2**

**Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Kirkliston**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 B3**

**Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - West Edinburgh**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 B4**

**Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - East of Riccarton**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **12 B5**

**Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - South East Edinburgh**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 B6**

**Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - Calderwood**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 B7**

**Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - Kirkliston**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **12 B8**

**Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - West Edinburgh**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 B9**

**Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - East of Riccarton**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 B10**

**Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - South East Edinburgh**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Supporting Info

Name

Email

Response Type

On behalf of:

Choice **12 BX**

**Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Explain why**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 C**

**Do you have a greenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfield file upload**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 C**

**Do you have a greenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfield file upload**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:   
Name   
Response Type   
On behalf of:

Supporting Info   
Email

Choice 12 C

**Do you have a greenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfield file upload**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 12 D

**Do you have a brownfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Brownfield sites upload**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 13 A

**We want to create a new policy that provides support for social enterprises, start-ups, culture and tourism, innovation and learning, and the low carbon sector, where there is a contribution to good growth for Edinburgh. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Supporting Info

Email

Response Type

On behalf of:

Choice **14 A**

**We want City Plan 2030 to support the best use of existing public transport infrastructure in West Edinburgh and accommodate the development of a mix of uses to support inclusive, sustainable growth. We will do this through ‘an area of search’ which allows a wide consideration of future uses within West Edinburgh without being tied to individual sites. Do you support this approach? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **14 B**

**We want to remove the safeguard in the existing plan for the Royal Highland Showground site to the south of the A8 at Norton Park and allocate the site for other uses. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **14 C**

**We want City Plan 2030 to allocate the Airport’s contingency runway, the “crosswinds runway” for the development of alternative uses next to the Edinburgh Gateway interchange. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Supporting Info

Name

Email

Response Type

On behalf of:

Choice 15 A

**We want to continue to use the national 'town centre first' approach. City Plan 2030 will protect and enhance the city centre as the regional core of south east Scotland providing shopping, commercial leisure, and entertainment and tourism activities. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 15 B

**New shopping and leisure development will only be allowed within our town and local centres (including any new local centres) justified by the Commercial Needs study. Outwith local centres, small scale proposals will be permitted only in areas where there is evidence of a lack of food shopping within walking distance. Do you agree? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 15 C

**We want to review our existing town and local centres including the potential for new identified centres and boundary changes where they support walking and cycling access to local services in outer areas, consistent with the outcomes of the City Mobility Plan. Do you agree? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 15 D

**We want to continue to prepare and update supplementary guidance for our town centres to adapt to changing retail patterns and trends, and ensure an appropriate balance of uses within our centres to maintain their vitality, viability and deliver good placemaking. Instead we could stop using supplementary guidance for town centres and set out guidance within the plan. Which approach do you support? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 15 E

**We want to support new hotel provision in local, town, commercial centres and other locations with good public transport access throughout Edinburgh. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 15 G

**We could also seek to reduce the quantity of retail floorspace within centres in favour of alternative uses such as increased leisure provision and permit commercial centres to accommodate any growing demand. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation



Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Supporting Info

Email

Response Type

On behalf of:

Choice **16 A1**

**We want to continue to support office use at strategic office locations at Edinburgh Park/South Gyle, the International Business Gateway, Leith, the city centre, and in town and local centres. Do you agree? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **16 A2**

**We want to support office development at commercial centres as these also provide accessible locations. - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **16 A3**

**We want to strengthen the requirement within the city centre to provide significant office floorspace within major mixed-use developments. Do you agree? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:

00024

Response Ref:

ANON-KU2U-GPGC-8

Supporting Info

Name

kevin gibbons

Email

kevin.gibbons@inchhouse.co.uk

Response Type

Organisation / Public Agency

On behalf of:

Inch Community Association (SC0049401)

Choice 16 A4

We want to amend the boundary of the Leith strategic office location to remove areas with residential development consent. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Not Answered

Explanation

Not Answered

Choice 16 A5

We want to continue to support office development in other accessible locations elsewhere in the urban area. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Not Answered

Explanation

Not Answered

Choice 16 A5

We want to continue to support office development in other accessible locations elsewhere in the urban area. Do you agree? - Do you have an office site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan?

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Supporting Info

Name

Email

Response Type

On behalf of:

Choice 16 B

**We want to identify sites and locations within Edinburgh with potential for office development. Do you agree with this? - Yes/No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 C

**We want to introduce a loss of office policy to retain accessible office accommodation. This would not permit the redevelopment of office buildings other than for office use, unless existing office space is provided as part of denser development. This would apply across the city to recognise that office locations outwith the city centre and strategic office locations are important in meeting the needs of the mid-market. Or we could Introduce a 'loss of office' policy only in the city centre. - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E1

**We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - Leith Strategic Business Centre**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:   
Name   
Response Type   
On behalf of:

Supporting Info   
Email

Choice 16 E2

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - Newbridge

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E3

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - Newcraighall Industrial Estate.

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E4

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - The Crosswinds Runway

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:   
Name   
Response Type   
On behalf of:

Supporting Info   
Email

Choice 16 E5

**We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - Leith Strategic Business Centre**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E6

**We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - Newbridge**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E7

**We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - Newcraighall Industrial Estate.**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 16 E8

**We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - The Crosswinds Runway**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 EX

**We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Explain why**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 F

**We want to ensure new business space is provided as part of the redevelopment of urban sites and considered in Place Briefs for greenfield sites. We want to set out the amount expected to be re-provided, clearer criteria on what constitutes flexible business space, and how to deliver it, including the location on-site, and considering adjacent uses, servicing and visibility. Do you agree? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:  Response Ref:   
Name   
Response Type   
On behalf of:

Supporting Info   
Email

Choice 16 G

**We want to continue to protect industrial estates that are designated under our current policy on Employment Sites and Premises (Emp 8). Do you agree? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 H

**We want to introduce a policy that provides criteria for locations that we would support city-wide and neighbourhood goods distribution hubs. Do you agree? - Yes / No**

Short Response

Explanation