

Customer Ref: **Response Ref:**

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 1 A

We want to connect our places, parks and green spaces together as part of a city-wide, regional, and national green network. We want new development to connect to, and deliver this network. Do you agree with this? - Select support / don't support

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 1 B

We want to change our policy to require all development (including change of use) to include green and blue infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Support / Object

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info
Email

Choice **1 C**

We want to identify areas that can be used for future water management to enable adaptation to climate change. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **1 D**

We want to clearly set out under what circumstances the development of poor quality or underused open space will be considered acceptable. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **1 E**

We want to introduce a new 'extra-large green space standard' which recognises that as we grow communities will need access to green spaces more than 5 hectares. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info
Email

Choice 1 F

We want to identify specific sites for new allotments and food growing, both as part of new development sites and within open space in the urban area. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 1 F

We want to identify specific sites for new allotments and food growing, both as part of new development sites and within open space in the urban area. Do you agree with this? - Upload (max size 3mb)

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 1 G

We want to identify space for additional cemetery provision, including the potential for green and woodland burials. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **1 H**

We want to revise our existing policies and green space designations to ensure that new green spaces have long term maintenance and management arrangements in place. Do you agree with this? - Yes/No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **2 A**

We want all development (including change of use), through design and access statements, to demonstrate how their design will incorporate measures to tackle and adapt to climate change, their future adaptability and measures to address accessibility for people with varying needs, age and mobility issues as a key part of their layouts. - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **2 B**

We want to revise our policies on density to ensure that we make best use of the limited space in our city and that sites are not under-developed. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: 01148 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWM9-B

Name Mèlika Carroll

Response Type Organisation / Public Agency

On behalf of: Sonder Europe Limited

Supporting Info

Email melika.carroll@sonder.com

Choice 2 C

We want to revise our design and layout policies to achieve ensure their layouts deliver active travel and connectivity links. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation Not Answered

Choice 2 D

We want all development, including student housing, to deliver quality open space and public realm, useable for a range of activities, including drying space, without losing densities. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation Not Answered

Choice 3 A

We want all buildings and conversions to meet the zero carbon / platinum standards as set out in the current Scottish Building Regulations. Instead we could require new development to meet the bronze, silver or gold standard. Which standard should new development in Edinburgh meet? - Which standard?

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation Not Answered

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info
Email

Choice **4 A**

We want to work with local communities to prepare Place Briefs for areas and sites within City Plan 2030 highlighting the key elements of design, layout, and transport, education and healthcare infrastructure development should deliver. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **4 B**

We want to support Local Place Plans being prepared by our communities. City Plan 2030 will set out how Local Place Plans can help us achieve great places and support community ambitions. - How should the Council work with local communities to prepare Local Place Plans?

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **5 A**

We want City Plan 2030 to direct development to where there is existing infrastructure capacity, including education, healthcare and sustainable transport, or where potential new infrastructure will be accommodated and deliverable within the plan period. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **5 B**

We want City Plan 2030 to set out where new community facilities are needed, and that these must be well connected to active travel routes and in locations with high accessibility to good sustainable public transport services. Do you agree with this? - Yes / NO

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **5 C**

We want to reflect the desire to co-locate our community services close to the communities they serve, supporting a high walk-in population and reducing the need to travel. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **5 D1**

We want to set out in the plan where development will be expected to contribute toward new or expanded community infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info
Email

Choice 5 D2

We want to use cumulative contribution zones to determine infrastructure actions, costs and delivery mechanisms. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 5 E

We want to stop using supplementary guidance and set out guidance for developer contributions within the plan, Action Programme and in non-statutory guidance. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 6 A

We want to create a new policy that assesses development against its ability to meet our targets for public transport usage and walking and cycling. These targets will vary according to the current or planned public transport services and high-quality active travel routes. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **6 B**

We want to use Place Briefs to set the targets for trips by walking, cycling and public transport based on current and planned transit interventions. This will determine appropriate parking levels to support high use of public transport. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **7 A**

We want to determine parking levels in development based on targets for trips by walking, cycling and public transport. These targets could be set by area, development type, or both and will be supported by other measures to control on-street parking. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **7 B**

We want to protect against the development of additional car parking in the city centre to support the delivery of the Council's city centre transformation programme. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **7 C**

We want to update our parking policies to control demand and to support parking for bikes, those with disabilities and electric vehicles via charging infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **7 D**

We want to support the city's park and ride infrastructure by safeguarding sites for new park and ride and extensions, including any other sites that are identified in the City Mobility Plan or its action plan. Do you agree with this? - We want to support the city's park and ride infrastructure by safeguarding sites for new park and ride and extensions, including any other sites that are identified in the City Mobility Plan or its action plan.

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **8 A**

We want to update our policy on the Cycle and Footpath Network to provide criteria for identifying new routes. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **8 B**

As part of the City Centre Transformation and other Council and partner projects to improve strategic walking and cycling links around the city, we want to add the following routes (along with our existing safeguards) to our network as active travel proposals to ensure that they are delivered. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **8 C**

We want City Plan 2030 to safeguard and add any other strategic active travel links within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 to include any new strategic active travel links which may be identified in the forthcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified through this consultation. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **8 C**

We want City Plan 2030 to safeguard and add any other strategic active travel links within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 to include any new strategic active travel links which may be identified in the forthcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified through this consultation. Do you agree with this? - Upload new cycle routes

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: 01148 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWM9-B

Name Mèlika Carroll

Response Type Organisation / Public Agency

On behalf of: Sonder Europe Limited

Supporting Info

Email melika.carroll@sonder.com

Choice 9 A

We want to consult on designating Edinburgh, or parts of Edinburgh, as a 'Short Term Let Control Area' where planning permission will always be required for the change of use of whole properties for short-term lets. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 9 B

We want to create a new policy on the loss of homes to alternative uses. This new policy will be used when planning permission is required for a change of use of residential flats and houses to short-stay commercial visitor accommodation or other uses. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Background Sonder is a global hospitality operator managing over \$7 billion of hospitality property, headquartered in the US, which has 14,000 spaces in cities such as New York, San Diego, Dublin, London and Edinburgh. The Sonder business model operates as managed serviced accommodation and has 30 apartments at a site on Queen Street in Edinburgh employing over 100 staff UK-wide. The company has current aspirations to deliver developments elsewhere in the city and has a further project under development in Finnieston in Glasgow. Sonder master-leases and manages entire buildings. The company fully designs and outfits these spaces and hosts guests for stays ranging from a few nights to several months, supported by a local, hands-on team.

Exemption The announcement by the Scottish Government in January to regulate short-term lets was broken down into two distinct elements.

Licensing - The licensing scheme will include a new mandatory safety requirement that will cover every type of short-term let to ensure a safe, quality experience for visitors. It will also give councils the discretion to apply further conditions to address the concerns of local residents. Planning - Councils will be able to designate control areas to ensure that planning permission will always be required for the change of use of whole properties for short-term lets. Short-term let control areas will be introduced. It is noted that the licensing scheme will include a new mandatory safety requirement that will cover every type of short-term let to ensure a safe, quality experience for visitors. It will also give councils the discretion to apply further conditions to address the concerns of local residents. Sonder has concerns that any changes introduced will unintentionally capture aparthotels as they are a distinct operation compared to independently owned private flats/housing rentals targeted by the Scottish Government. Aparthotels often fall under various Use Classes depending on the Local Authority, given there is no specific Use Class under the Order. Additionally, Sonder is already fully compliant with existing health and safety guidelines and is concerned it may be included in new measures designed to target unregulated residential-style short-term lets, which could produce substantial unnecessary compliance costs. In the Scottish Government's short-term lets consultation, reference was made to Airbnb in the paper and escalation in numbers from 6,300 in 2016 to 14,000 in 2019. This is further reinforced by the City of Edinburgh Council's response to the Scottish Government consultation on short-term lets which notes (Question 3) that the "majority of short-term lets are in tenement properties, concentrated in areas of the city which already have high demands for housing." ...often leading "to anti-social behaviour and undue nuisance to other neighbours." In conjunction with this, the City of Edinburgh Council's submission to the Scottish Government's short-term lets consultation says "there are no regulations which govern safety relating to short-term lets. This means there is no requirement for fire safety prevention, no fire alarms, no electrical checks and no requirement for fire escapes even though sometimes properties used as short-term lets can be at the top of tenement buildings and accommodate up to twenty persons."

Sonder already ensures that any safety requirements are adhered to, including hotel calibre fire risk assessments, and undertakes these anyway in its

Customer Ref: 01148 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWM9-B

Supporting Info

Name: Mèlika Carroll

Email: melika.carroll@sonder.com

Response Type: Organisation / Public Agency

On behalf of: Sonder Europe Limited

properties. Sonder's business is 100 percent compliant with regulations; however, it is concerned that the blanket approach proposed will not consider self-managed accommodation given that the implementation or enforcement targets individual units vs entire buildings. Sonder properties are distinct from what may be called traditional perceptions of short-term lets. Sonder properties are aparthotels, and offer a front desk, a shared entryway for its accommodation and do not share its building with individual homes. There is a 24/7 concierge availability, a shared front desk, and a communal door to allow guests to visit. Anti-social behaviour or any issues within the building are addressed by an on the ground team. Conversations with the Scottish Government planning team also suggest that it is likely that the new licencing regime would require HMO comparable inspections, standards and therefore costs to individual apartments within an aparthotel building. Again, this refers back to the clarification issue as to who specifically is being targeted and why a new licencing regime would include those already in compliance in the same category as those independently owned private flats/housing currently operating with minimal regulation. It is paramount to be aware of the potential unintended consequences of a blanket approach when it comes to this issue of looking to regulate short-term lets. Although it may not be affected by any change, there is a concern that the existing ambiguity over definitions of who specifically is being targeted by this new legislation and that Sonder's model will be unduly penalised with new licencing that is more in line with an HMO model. We note the concerns raised in the City Choices consultation paper relating to the uncontrolled approach to short-stay commercial visitor accommodation and the impact this is having both on the housing stock and quality of life for local residents. There is, therefore, a clear desire to tackle the unprecedented and unregulated rise in short-term lets, but this requires an adequate definition for a short-term let, of which none currently exists. The response further notes that "there may be some merit in a regulatory system differentiating between types of property, which should be left to the discretion of local authorities." In this context, we would therefore clearly differentiate professionally managed serviced accommodation aparthotels, which Sonder operate, from those independently owned private flats/housing operations which do require regulation. Sonder would argue that there should be a clear exemption for professional/commercially managed serviced accommodation (and/or aparthotels/exec suites), defined as that which: Under the Planning (Scotland) Act 2019 (the regulations from which will implement the short-term let legislation), Sonder would propose an exemption for managed-serviced accommodation. Sub-section 5(d) of section 17 of Part 3 (DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT - Meaning of "development") states that Scottish Ministers may by regulations make further provision for the purpose of this section including, in particular exemptions : 5 (d) any circumstances in which, or descriptions of dwellinghouse to which, this section does not apply. Sonder would propose that exemptions are applied to managed-serviced accommodation. As such, Sonder would define managed-serviced accommodation as: A whole-block residential building, entirely owned, managed or operated by a single company, and managed and operated by a single company, for short-term, managed accommodation with: · A communal block door for self-serviced apartments with no shared door to non-short term let residential properties · A management regime to control the directly managed apartments to prevent anti-social behaviour · A minimum number of five serviced apartments · A maximum occupancy number relative to the number of rooms and beds Conclusion While Sonder notes concerns over the long-standing issue of short-term lets, there is a desire to differentiate the product it supplies from that of a generic short-term let style operation and the danger of unintended consequences when seeking to regulate these. This response puts forward the case for an exemption from professionally managed aparthotels being defined as a short-term let, given Sonder and aparthotel providers are broadly willing to adhere, and indeed currently do, to many of the elements proposed in the City of Edinburgh Council response to the Scottish Government consultation. The Council notes that it is aware of the benefits that residents can enjoy when renting out their homes or rooms over a short period of time and is keen not to impose too heavily on this option being available. On this basis, aparthotels would be an exemption as they are not a home and its rooms do not operate individually within a tenement block but as a whole apartment block.

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **10 A**

We want to revise our policy on purpose-built student housing. We want to ensure that student housing is delivered at the right scale and in the right locations, helps create sustainable communities and looks after student's wellbeing. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **10 B**

We want to create a new policy framework which sets out a requirement for housing on all sites over a certain size coming forward for development. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **10 C**

We want to create a new policy promoting the better use of stand-alone out of centre retail units and commercial centres, where their redevelopment for mixed use including housing would be supported. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: 01148 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWM9-B

Name Mèlika Carroll

Response Type Organisation / Public Agency

On behalf of: Sonder Europe Limited

Supporting Info

Email melika.carroll@sonder.com

Choice 11 A

We want to amend our policy to increase the provision of affordable housing requirement from 25% to 35%. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation Not Answered

Choice 11 B

We want City Plan 2030 to require a mix of housing types and tenures – we want the plan to be prescriptive on the required mix, including the percentage requirement for family housing and support for the Private Rented Sector. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation Not Answered

Choice 12 A

Which option do you support? - Option 1/2/3

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation Not Answered

Customer Ref: 01148 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWM9-B
Name: Mèlika Carroll
Response Type: Organisation / Public Agency
On behalf of: Sonder Europe Limited

Supporting Info:
Email: melika.carroll@sonder.com

Choice 12 B1

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Calderwood

Short Response:

Explanation

Choice 12 B2

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Kirkliston

Short Response:

Explanation

Choice 12 B3

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - West Edinburgh

Short Response:

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **12 B4**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - East of Riccarton

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 B5**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - South East Edinburgh

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 B6**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - Calderwood

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: 01148 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWM9-B
Name: Mèlika Carroll
Response Type: Organisation / Public Agency
On behalf of: Sonder Europe Limited

Supporting Info:
Email: melika.carroll@sonder.com

Choice 12 B7

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - Kirkliston

Short Response:

Explanation

Choice 12 B8

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - West Edinburgh

Short Response:

Explanation

Choice 12 B9

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - East of Riccarton

Short Response:

Explanation

Customer Ref: 01148 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWM9-B

Name Mèlika Carroll

Response Type Organisation / Public Agency

On behalf of: Sonder Europe Limited

Supporting Info

Email melika.carroll@sonder.com

Choice 12 B10

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - South East Edinburgh

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation

Choice 12 BX

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Explain why

Short Response Not answered

Explanation Not Answered

Choice 12 C

Do you have a greenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfield file upload

Short Response No

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Supporting Info

Name

Email

Response Type

On behalf of:

Choice **12 C**

Do you have a greenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfield file upload

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 C**

Do you have a greenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfield file upload

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 D**

Do you have a brownfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Brownfield sites upload

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: 01148 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWM9-B

Name Mèlika Carroll

Response Type Organisation / Public Agency

On behalf of: Sonder Europe Limited

Supporting Info

Email melika.carroll@sonder.com

Choice 13 A

We want to create a new policy that provides support for social enterprises, start-ups, culture and tourism, innovation and learning, and the low carbon sector, where there is a contribution to good growth for Edinburgh. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation Not Answered

Choice 14 A

We want City Plan 2030 to support the best use of existing public transport infrastructure in West Edinburgh and accommodate the development of a mix of uses to support inclusive, sustainable growth. We will do this through 'an area of search' which allows a wide consideration of future uses within West Edinburgh without being tied to individual sites. Do you support this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation Not Answered

Choice 14 B

We want to remove the safeguard in the existing plan for the Royal Highland Showground site to the south of the A8 at Norton Park and allocate the site for other uses. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation Not Answered

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 14 C

We want City Plan 2030 to allocate the Airport's contingency runway, the "crosswinds runway" for the development of alternative uses next to the Edinburgh Gateway interchange. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 15 A

We want to continue to use the national 'town centre first' approach. City Plan 2030 will protect and enhance the city centre as the regional core of south east Scotland providing shopping, commercial leisure, and entertainment and tourism activities. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 15 B

New shopping and leisure development will only be allowed within our town and local centres (including any new local centres) justified by the Commercial Needs study. Outwith local centres, small scale proposals will be permitted only in areas where there is evidence of a lack of food shopping within walking distance. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 15 C

We want to review our existing town and local centres including the potential for new identified centres and boundary changes where they support walking and cycling access to local services in outer areas, consistent with the outcomes of the City Mobility Plan. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 15 D

We want to continue to prepare and update supplementary guidance for our town centres to adapt to changing retail patterns and trends, and ensure an appropriate balance of uses within our centres to maintain their vitality, viability and deliver good placemaking. Instead we could stop using supplementary guidance for town centres and set out guidance within the plan. Which approach do you support? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 15 E

We want to support new hotel provision in local, town, commercial centres and other locations with good public transport access throughout Edinburgh. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: 01148 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWM9-B

Name Mèlika Carroll

Response Type Organisation / Public Agency

On behalf of: Sonder Europe Limited

Supporting Info

Email melika.carroll@sonder.com

Choice 15 G

We could also seek to reduce the quantity of retail floorspace within centres in favour of alternative uses such as increased leisure provision and permit commercial centres to accommodate any growing demand. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation Not Answered

Choice 16 A1

We want to continue to support office use at strategic office locations at Edinburgh Park/South Gyle, the International Business Gateway, Leith, the city centre, and in town and local centres. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation Not Answered

Choice 16 A2

We want to support office development at commercial centres as these also provide accessible locations. - Yes / No

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation Not Answered

Customer Ref: 01148 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWM9-B

Name Mèlika Carroll

Response Type Organisation / Public Agency

On behalf of: Sonder Europe Limited

Supporting Info

Email melika.carroll@sonder.com

Choice 16 A3

We want to strengthen the requirement within the city centre to provide significant office floorspace within major mixed-use developments. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation Not Answered

Choice 16 A4

We want to amend the boundary of the Leith strategic office location to remove areas with residential development consent. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation Not Answered

Choice 16 A5

We want to continue to support office development in other accessible locations elsewhere in the urban area. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation Not Answered

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info
Email

Choice 16 A5

We want to continue to support office development in other accessible locations elsewhere in the urban area. Do you agree? - Do you have an office site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan?

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 B

We want to identify sites and locations within Edinburgh with potential for office development. Do you agree with this? - Yes/No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 C

We want to introduce a loss of office policy to retain accessible office accommodation. This would not permit the redevelopment of office buildings other than for office use, unless existing office space is provided as part of denser development. This would apply across the city to recognise that office locations outwith the city centre and strategic office locations are important in meeting the needs of the mid-market. Or we could Introduce a 'loss of office' policy only in the city centre. - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info
Email

Choice 16 E1

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - Leith Strategic Business Centre

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E2

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - Newbridge

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E3

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - Newcraighall Industrial Estate.

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 16 E4

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - The Crosswinds Runway

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E5

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - Leith Strategic Business Centre

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E6

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - Newbridge

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info
Email

Choice 16 E7

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - Newcraighall Industrial Estate.

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E8

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - The Crosswinds Runway

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 EX

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Explain why

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info
Email

Choice 16 F

We want to ensure new business space is provided as part of the redevelopment of urban sites and considered in Place Briefs for greenfield sites. We want to set out the amount expected to be re-provided, clearer criteria on what constitutes flexible business space, and how to deliver it, including the location on-site, and considering adjacent uses, servicing and visibility. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 G

We want to continue to protect industrial estates that are designated under our current policy on Employment Sites and Premises (Emp 8). Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 H

We want to introduce a policy that provides criteria for locations that we would support city-wide and neighbourhood goods distribution hubs. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:

01148

Response Ref:

ANON-KU2U-GWM9-B

Supporting Info

Name

Mèlika Carroll

Email

melika.carroll@sonder.com

Response Type

Organisation / Public Agency

On behalf of:

Sonder Europe Limited
