

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 1 A

We want to connect our places, parks and green spaces together as part of a city-wide, regional, and national green network. We want new development to connect to, and deliver this network. Do you agree with this? - Select support / don't support

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 1 B

We want to change our policy to require all development (including change of use) to include green and blue infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Support / Object

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 1 C

We want to identify areas that can be used for future water management to enable adaptation to climate change. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation Flooding is getting worse with climate change, but more importantly with massive housing developments happening particularly in the south of the city. It needs considerable management including upgrading sewers. The sewer on Old Dalkeith Road is already at overcapacity causing flooding to houses at Bridgend. Also why do you want to build 813 houses in the Inch Park which is already in use as a water management area.

Choice 1 D

We want to clearly set out under what circumstances the development of poor quality or underused open space will be considered acceptable. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation This is exactly why you should not build houses in the Inch Park. The open space is used by people all over the area including the schools and for sporting activities. It is one of the last suitable areas in the south of Edinburgh already suited to this purpose. It is loved and well used by the local community. There is the scope to expand this, also do not forget there is an obesity crisis in this country so do not throw away this space for housing.

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 1 E

We want to introduce a new 'extra-large green space standard' which recognises that as we grow communities will need access to green spaces more than 5 hectares. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation We need large green areas for cleaner air and to enable people to exercise, for the good of mental health, to encourage children to appreciate nature and to get involved with sporting activities. The council has already cut back much of the leisure facilities available in this city, and land which would allow this at a reasonable cost is rare, The Inch Park is perfect for this and also easily accessible for the whole of South and East Edinburgh. There is a perfect opportunity to create a world class green hub only fifteen minutes from the centre of a city. So do not waste this potential by building houses on this site.

Choice 1 F

We want to identify specific sites for new allotments and food growing, both as part of new development sites and within open space in the urban area. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation Simple - the Inch Park Nursery site. It is already used for growing, is secured with fencing for any allotment development which would help with the massive waiting list for allotments and also afford the capability of tying in with the Growing/Food/Green activities at Bridgend Farm. The Farmhouse currently does not have any land to support the healthy eating projects they want to roll out to schools etc. Allowing the Farmhouse project to use some of the land develop this. Can you imagine the local primary school having a kitchen garden within the site and being able to tie in with cooking and healthy eating classes at the farmhouse - world class education, don't throw it away for a few houses.

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 1 F

We want to identify specific sites for new allotments and food growing, both as part of new development sites and within open space in the urban area. Do you agree with this? - Upload (max size 3mb)

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 1 G

We want to identify space for additional cemetery provision, including the potential for green and woodland burials. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation There is not much land left which has not been developed for housing/commercial use.The use of land for green and woodland burials means the land has a multi purpose use to the community.

Choice 1 H

We want to revise our existing policies and green space designations to ensure that new green spaces have long term maintenance and management arrangements in place. Do you agree with this? - Yes/No

Short Response

Explanation Developers make massive profits. Just look at the £112M the CEO of Persimmon homes tried to pocket as a one year bonus. That money was supposed to be for helping people to be provided with affordable housing. If they want to use up high percentages of our green spaces then they should be contracted in as part of their planning permissions to provide funding for the council to be able to maintain and develop the few green spaces we have left. They should be forced to give something back to the community to recompense for what they have taken away for profit.

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **2 A**

We want all development (including change of use), through design and access statements, to demonstrate how their design will incorporate measures to tackle and adapt to climate change, their future adaptability and measures to address accessibility for people with varying needs, age and mobility issues as a key part of their layouts. - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **2 B**

We want to revise our policies on density to ensure that we make best use of the limited space in our city and that sites are not under-developed. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **2 C**

We want to revise our design and layout policies to achieve ensure their layouts deliver active travel and connectivity links. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation Again this is not something that can be answered with a simple yes or no. Broadly speaking the answer would be yes, but not to the extent that it precludes less active people from moving around, people who need to use motorised transport and not to simply pander to a small percentage of very vocal cycling lobbies to deliver an undemocratic result. If a mass of cycling lanes are to be implemented, then that should go hand and hand with routes where cycling is banned to allow a better flow of essential motorised/public transport in transport corridors.

Choice **2 D**

We want all development, including student housing, to deliver quality open space and public realm, useable for a range of activities, including drying space, without losing densities. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation I have strong reservations on the amount of space in the city centre which could have been used for affordable housing which has already been passed for student housing. Students do not pay council tax. The people who live in Edinburgh do, our City should not be placing University profits above the local community.

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **3 A**

We want all buildings and conversions to meet the zero carbon / platinum standards as set out in the current Scottish Building Regulations. Instead we could require new development to meet the bronze, silver or gold standard. Which standard should new development in Edinburgh meet? - Which standard?

Short Response

Explanation Again I do not think there is a simple answer to this. I have chosen bronze because there is a cost implication to this and setting the standard too high may effect developments being affordable. Also something which should be looked at is the density of new housing estates which have to have electric charge points fitted for cars. Currently the developers are allowed to build on every inch of land to maximise profits. The charge points, require huge amounts of electricity and SPEN has already identified that a huge number of sub stations will have to be put in to service this. Any development should have to leave land to put the sub stations in, or you just get a creep into public land so the developers can maximise profits.

Choice **4 A**

We want to work with local communities to prepare Place Briefs for areas and sites within City Plan 2030 highlighting the key elements of design, layout, and transport, education and healthcare infrastructure development should deliver. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation Massive new housing developments require the infrastructure to support them. The Inch primary school which is being repaired at the moment is being restored to the same capacity. It is already heavily oversubscribed. Yet the Cityplan wants to build 813 new homes in the Inch Park. How can that possibly gel with the statement above about working with local communities who can see the blatant conflict it this case.

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **4 B**

We want to support Local Place Plans being prepared by our communities. City Plan 2030 will set out how Local Place Plans can help us achieve great places and support community ambitions. - How should the Council work with local communities to prepare Local Place Plans?

Short Response

Explanation There should be much more communication with local people, but what is vital is that the community ideas and concerns are actually listened to. Not just lip service, as seems to be the case at the moment. It is fine to make grand statements about community involvement, but the community is rarely listened to and the council just does what it wants.

Choice **5 A**

We want City Plan 2030 to direct development to where there is existing infrastructure capacity, including education, healthcare and sustainable transport, or where potential new infrastructure will be accommodated and deliverable within the plan period. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation Simple. A case in point. Do not build new houses in the Inch Park. The transport structure/surgeries and schools are already way overstretched. If the statement above is to have any credence abandon this proposal. Massive new housing developments require the infrastructure to support them. The Inch primary school which is being repaired at the moment is being restored to the same capacity. It is already heavily oversubscribed. Yet the Cityplan wants to build 813 new homes in the Inch Park. How can that possibly gel with the statement above about working with local communities who can see the blatant conflict in this case.

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 5 B

We want City Plan 2030 to set out where new community facilities are needed, and that these must be well connected to active travel routes and in locations with high accessibility to good sustainable public transport services. Do you agree with this? - Yes / NO

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 5 C

We want to reflect the desire to co-locate our community services close to the communities they serve, supporting a high walk-in population and reducing the need to travel. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 5 D1

We want to set out in the plan where development will be expected to contribute toward new or expanded community infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 5 D2

We want to use cumulative contribution zones to determine infrastructure actions, costs and delivery mechanisms. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation It is not clear from your statement if the equal share of costs is between different developers with the developers picking up the whole cost, or if it is an equal split between the developer and the council. If it is the latter, I think the costs should be more skewed towards the developer - lets not forget these companies make massive profits, and are also, in the case of affordable housing already in receipt of taxpayers cash. Paid for Infrastructure is what we need- not massive bonuses for CEO's.

Choice 5 E

We want to stop using supplementary guidance and set out guidance for developer contributions within the plan, Action Programme and in non-statutory guidance. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation Developers should be made aware of the infrastructure responsibilities from the outset.

Choice 6 A

We want to create a new policy that assesses development against its ability to meet our targets for public transport usage and walking and cycling. These targets will vary according to the current or planned public transport services and high-quality active travel routes. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation I would agree in principle, but think the transport policies the council wants to implement are far too biased toward cyclists - a minority which even if numbers tripled would still be a minority.

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info
Email

Choice **6 B**

We want to use Place Briefs to set the targets for trips by walking, cycling and public transport based on current and planned transit interventions. This will determine appropriate parking levels to support high use of public transport. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation Many cycle routes have been put in at considerable loss of highway space and are simply not used. It is also a massive mistake to make these decisions at the moment. Covid19 will change work and travel patterns for ever. There is already discussions going on in the commercial property sector about downsizing office space to save costs now remote working is a reality. I would also see a reluctance in people to use public transport where they are in a confined space. Don't set targets now for something which may turn out to be completely different in terms of people movement in the future. The downsizing which will take place, will free up many city centre office spaces which could easily be developed into affordable housing and massively reduce people movements. This will also have an impact of how much housing needs to be developed on our rapidly shrinking green spaces. Now is not the time to make rash decisions which could lose all that space forever.

Choice **7 A**

We want to determine parking levels in development based on targets for trips by walking, cycling and public transport. These targets could be set by area, development type, or both and will be supported by other measures to control on-street parking. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation You have already allowed developers in the city centre to develop sites with a reduced parking provision. This has only served to increase developers profits and cause more congestion by forcing people to park on the street. Provide parking within the development and build a little higher to provide the accommodation numbers the developers will want to get the profits they require. I think if you ask anyone who lives in Edinburgh they would prefer any development to be slightly higher than have streets impacted with more cars trying to park.

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **7 B**

We want to protect against the development of additional car parking in the city centre to support the delivery of the Council's city centre transformation programme. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **7 C**

We want to update our parking policies to control demand and to support parking for bikes, those with disabilities and electric vehicles via charging infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **7 D**

We want to support the city's park and ride infrastructure by safeguarding sites for new park and ride and extensions, including any other sites that are identified in the City Mobility Plan or its action plan. Do you agree with this? - We want to support the city's park and ride infrastructure by safeguarding sites for new park and ride and extensions, including any other sites that are identified in the City Mobility Plan or its action plan.

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **8 A**

We want to update our policy on the Cycle and Footpath Network to provide criteria for identifying new routes. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **8 B**

As part of the City Centre Transformation and other Council and partner projects to improve strategic walking and cycling links around the city, we want to add the following routes (along with our existing safeguards) to our network as active travel proposals to ensure that they are delivered. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **8 C**

We want City Plan 2030 to safeguard and add any other strategic active travel links within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 to include any new strategic active travel links which may be identified in the forthcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified through this consultation. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **8 C**

We want City Plan 2030 to safeguard and add any other strategic active travel links within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 to include any new strategic active travel links which may be identified in the forthcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified through this consultation. Do you agree with this? - Upload new cycle routes

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 9 A

We want to consult on designating Edinburgh, or parts of Edinburgh, as a 'Short Term Let Control Area' where planning permission will always be required for the change of use of whole properties for short-term lets. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 9 B

We want to create a new policy on the loss of homes to alternative uses. This new policy will be used when planning permission is required for a change of use of residential flats and houses to short-stay commercial visitor accommodation or other uses. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 10 A

We want to revise our policy on purpose-built student housing. We want to ensure that student housing is delivered at the right scale and in the right locations, helps create sustainable communities and looks after student's wellbeing. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 10 B

We want to create a new policy framework which sets out a requirement for housing on all sites over a certain size coming forward for development. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 10 C

We want to create a new policy promoting the better use of stand-alone out of centre retail units and commercial centres, where their redevelopment for mixed use including housing would be supported. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **11 A**

We want to amend our policy to increase the provision of affordable housing requirement from 25% to 35%. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation The "housing crisis" so often referred to by the housebuilding lobby is certainly true in the affordable housing sector. However this is not the case for other housing in Scotland. The housebuilding lobby has claimed there is a need for 400,000 new homes required to be built, mostly in highly profitable green areas. There are currently over 700,000 vacant/for sale properties in Scotland, many of which could be redeveloped for affordable housing. Outside the affordable housing sector the "housing crisis" is a myth created by developers to make profits. Give these facts, I would support the increase in the amount of affordable housing provision.

Choice **11 B**

We want City Plan 2030 to require a mix of housing types and tenures – we want the plan to be prescriptive on the required mix, including the percentage requirement for family housing and support for the Private Rented Sector. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation It is the affordable housing sector which needs help. I would suggest that as companies downsize offices space and it becomes available in the future due to Covid19, legislation should be put in place to encourage development of these properties for affordable housing. This would cut down commuting and also release pressure on green sites. A good example of this would be Castle Rock Edinvar's development of an old BT office block at South Gyle to some stunning affordable rented properties.

Customer Ref: 00457 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWK4-4
Name: Dougie Barnett
Response Type: Residents Organisation
On behalf of: Bridgend Cottages Residents Association

Supporting Info:
Email:

Choice 12 A

Which option do you support? - Option 1/2/3

Short Response:

Explanation

Any of the options suggested above really give the council carte blanche to allow building on all these sites once they are adopted into the Cityplan. Each site will have it's own unique set of issues so I could not endorse any of these options. In particular I strongly object to the inclusion of the Inch Park Nursery site. I have filled in all of this report up to this point, and in nearly every section, taking account of every statement of intent by the council this site comes up as unsuitable for housing. Yet it has been put in at the last minute. There is an enormous amount of reasons why this particular development should be removed from the plan, far too many to comment on here. I would also like to point out the Council commissioned a report on the suitability of this site for housing development and it found the site overwhelmingly unsuitable.

The Idea of creating Beautiful connected green spaces is great - so why do you want to build 913 houses on the Inch Park - one of the last central green spaces left. Seems a total contradiction.

Also why do you want to build 813 houses in the Inch Park which is already in use as a water management area.

This is exactly why you should not build houses in the Inch Park. The open space is used by people all over the area including the schools and for sporting activities. It is one of the last suitable areas in the south of Edinburgh already suited to this purpose. It is loved and well used by the local community. There is the scope to expand this, also do not forget there is an obesity crisis in this country so do not throw away this space for housing.

We need large green areas for cleaner air and to enable people to exercise, for the good of mental health, to encourage children to appreciate nature and to get involved with sporting activities. The council has already cut back much of the leisure facilities available in this city, and land which would allow this at a reasonable cost is rare, The Inch Park is perfect for this and also easily accessible for the whole of South and East Edinburgh. There is a perfect opportunity to create a world class green hub only fifteen minutes from the centre of a city. So do not waste this potential by building houses on this site.

Simple - the Inch Park Nursery site. It is already used for growing, is secured with fencing for any allotment development which would help with the massive waiting list for allotments and also afford the capability of tying in with the Growing/Food/Green activities at Bridgend Farm. The Farmhouse currently does not have any land to support the healthy eating projects they want to roll out to schools etc. Allowing the Farmhouse project to use some of the land develop this. Can you imagine the local primary school having a kitchen garden within the site and being able to tie in with cooking and healthy eating classes at the farmhouse - world class education, don't throw it away for a few houses.

Massive new housing developments require the infrastructure to support them. The Inch primary school which is being repaired at the moment is being restored to the same capacity. It is already heavily oversubscribed. Yet the Cityplan wants to build 813 new homes in the Inch Park. How can that possibly gel with the statement above about working with local communities who can see the blatant conflict in this case.

The Inch Park site affords possibly the last and best location in Edinburgh to deliver community facilities (Choice 5B). Do not build houses on this site.

Customer Ref:

00457

Response Ref:

ANON-KU2U-GWK4-4

Supporting Info

Name

Dougie Barnett

Email

Response Type

Residents Organisation

On behalf of:

Bridgend Cottages Residents Association

Choice

12 B1

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Calderwood

Short Response

Not Answered

Explanation

Choice

12 B2

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Kirkliston

Short Response

Not Answered

Explanation

Choice

12 B3

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - West Edinburgh

Short Response

Not Answered

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 12 B4

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - East of Riccarton

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 12 B5

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - South East Edinburgh

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 12 B6

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - Calderwood

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **12 B7**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - Kirkliston

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 B8**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - West Edinburgh

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 B9**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - East of Riccarton

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **12 B10**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - South East Edinburgh

Short Response

Explanation

Choice **12 BX**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Explain why

Short Response

Explanation Although the council seems to have classed the Inch Park Nursery Site as brownfield I object strongly to any housing development on this site. The site should be developed as a community asset as it was intended to be when the council was given the site by the Gilmore family to be "used in perpetuity by the local community". This site is perfect for many of the uses of green space alluded to in the various sections of this document. Perhaps more so than any other site in Edinburgh. It ticks nearly every box, and affords the chance to provide a world class use of green space accessible in 15 minutes from the city centre. Any development for housing and this unique and historic site is lost forever. The housing proposal is short sighted, based on a quick selloff for cash, and completely misses all the other desires of the council to create , healthy, high quality sustainable green sites. The potential to develop this site at very low cost into something truly ground breaking and world class is incredible. Something this council could be proud of for generations to come. It is probable that changes to people movements and potential openings of office space for affordable housing will change dramatically due to Covid19. This is not the time to risk this fantastic location for something which may turn out not to be required. WE STRONGLY PLEAD WITH THE COUNCIL NOT TO THROW THIS AWAY FOR A ONE OFF CASH PAYMENT, AND REMOVE THIS SITE FROM THE CITYPLAN.

Customer Ref:

00457

Response Ref:

ANON-KU2U-GWK4-4

Supporting Info

Name

Dougie Barnett

Email

Response Type

Residents Organisation

On behalf of:

Bridgend Cottages Residents Association

Choice

12 C

Do you have a greenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfield file upload

Short Response

No

Explanation

Choice

12 C

Do you have a greenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfield file upload

Short Response

No

Explanation

Choice

12 C

Do you have a greenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfield file upload

Short Response

No

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 12 D

Do you have a brownfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Brownfield sites upload

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 13 A

We want to create a new policy that provides support for social enterprises, start-ups, culture and tourism, innovation and learning, and the low carbon sector, where there is a contribution to good growth for Edinburgh. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 14 A

We want City Plan 2030 to support the best use of existing public transport infrastructure in West Edinburgh and accommodate the development of a mix of uses to support inclusive, sustainable growth. We will do this through 'an area of search' which allows a wide consideration of future uses within West Edinburgh without being tied to individual sites. Do you support this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 14 B

We want to remove the safeguard in the existing plan for the Royal Highland Showground site to the south of the A8 at Norton Park and allocate the site for other uses. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 14 C

We want City Plan 2030 to allocate the Airport's contingency runway, the "crosswinds runway" for the development of alternative uses next to the Edinburgh Gateway interchange. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 15 A

We want to continue to use the national 'town centre first' approach. City Plan 2030 will protect and enhance the city centre as the regional core of south east Scotland providing shopping, commercial leisure, and entertainment and tourism activities. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 15 B

New shopping and leisure development will only be allowed within our town and local centres (including any new local centres) justified by the Commercial Needs study. Outwith local centres, small scale proposals will be permitted only in areas where there is evidence of a lack of food shopping within walking distance. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 15 C

We want to review our existing town and local centres including the potential for new identified centres and boundary changes where they support walking and cycling access to local services in outer areas, consistent with the outcomes of the City Mobility Plan. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 15 D

We want to continue to prepare and update supplementary guidance for our town centres to adapt to changing retail patterns and trends, and ensure an appropriate balance of uses within our centres to maintain their vitality, viability and deliver good placemaking. Instead we could stop using supplementary guidance for town centres and set out guidance within the plan. Which approach do you support? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 15 E

We want to support new hotel provision in local, town, commercial centres and other locations with good public transport access throughout Edinburgh. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation The City centre has already been overdeveloped with hotels etc. There is already an overcapacity of hotel space in central Edinburgh. This has already been in the media with prestige hotels such as the Balmoral saying they are having to cut room prices to the point where the hotel may become unsustainable. It is high time the current administration stopped turning central Edinburgh into "Disneyworld" and start focussing on the people who live here.

Choice 15 G

We could also seek to reduce the quantity of retail floorspace within centres in favour of alternative uses such as increased leisure provision and permit commercial centres to accommodate any growing demand. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation Retailers rely on other retailers to provide footfall. Reducing this space would only help the demise of the high streets even further.

Choice 16 A1

We want to continue to support office use at strategic office locations at Edinburgh Park/South Gyle, the International Business Gateway, Leith, the city centre, and in town and local centres. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation There are already discussions going on in the commercial property sector about companies reducing office space to save costs now Covid19 has shown them how easy it is to operate with staff working remotely. This will radically change availability of office space and most likely reduce demand considerably

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice **16 A2**

We want to support office development at commercial centres as these also provide accessible locations. - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation Same reasons as 16.A1: There are already discussions going on in the commercial property sector about companies reducing office space to save costs now Covid19 has shown them how easy it is to operate with staff working remotely. This will radically change availability of office space and most likely reduce demand considerably

Choice **16 A3**

We want to strengthen the requirement within the city centre to provide significant office floorspace within major mixed-use developments. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation Same reasons as 16A1: There are already discussions going on in the commercial property sector about companies reducing office space to save costs now Covid19 has shown them how easy it is to operate with staff working remotely. This will radically change availability of office space and most likely reduce demand considerably

Choice **16 A4**

We want to amend the boundary of the Leith strategic office location to remove areas with residential development consent. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation Reduced need for office space will allow more housing development so reduce the office space to housing ratio.

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Supporting Info

Name

Email

Response Type

On behalf of:

Choice 16 A5

We want to continue to support office development in other accessible locations elsewhere in the urban area. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation Same Reasons as 16A1: There are already discussions going on in the commercial property sector about companies reducing office space to save costs now Covid19 has shown them how easy it is to operate with staff working remotely. This will radically change availability of office space and most likely reduce demand considerably

Choice 16 A5

We want to continue to support office development in other accessible locations elsewhere in the urban area. Do you agree? - Do you have an office site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan?

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 B

We want to identify sites and locations within Edinburgh with potential for office development. Do you agree with this? - Yes/No

Short Response

Explanation Same reasons as : There are already discussions going on in the commercial property sector about companies reducing office space to save costs now Covid19 has shown them how easy it is to operate with staff working remotely. This will radically change availability of office space and most likely reduce demand considerably

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 16 C

We want to introduce a loss of office policy to retain accessible office accommodation. This would not permit the redevelopment of office buildings other than for office use, unless existing office space is provided as part of denser development. This would apply across the city to recognise that office locations outwith the city centre and strategic office locations are important in meeting the needs of the mid-market. Or we could Introduce a 'loss of office' policy only in the city centre. - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E1

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - Leith Strategic Business Centre

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E2

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - Newbridge

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:

Name

Response Type

On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 16 E3

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - Newcraighall Industrial Estate.

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E4

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - The Crosswinds Runway

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 E5

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - Leith Strategic Business Centre

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref:

00457

Response Ref:

ANON-KU2U-GWK4-4

Supporting Info

Name

Dougie Barnett

Email

Response Type

Residents Organisation

On behalf of:

Bridgend Cottages Residents Association

Choice 16 E6

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - Newbridge

Short Response

Not Answered

Explanation

Choice 16 E7

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - Newcraighall Industrial Estate.

Short Response

Yes

Explanation

Choice 16 E8

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - The Crosswinds Runway

Short Response

Not Answered

Explanation

Customer Ref: Response Ref:
Name
Response Type
On behalf of:

Supporting Info

Email

Choice 16 EX

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Explain why

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 F

We want to ensure new business space is provided as part of the redevelopment of urban sites and considered in Place Briefs for greenfield sites. We want to set out the amount expected to be re-provided, clearer criteria on what constitutes flexible business space, and how to deliver it, including the location on-site, and considering adjacent uses, servicing and visibility. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Choice 16 G

We want to continue to protect industrial estates that are designated under our current policy on Employment Sites and Premises (Emp 8). Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response

Explanation

Customer Ref: 00457 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWK4-4
Name: Dougie Barnett
Response Type: Residents Organisation
On behalf of: Bridgend Cottages Residents Association

Supporting Info:

Email:

Choice 16 H

We want to introduce a policy that provides criteria for locations that we would support city-wide and neighbourhood goods distribution hubs. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response: Yes

Explanation: More online shopping will probably necessitate this.