Committee report link: <u>Item 8.2 - Objections to TRO 23 17 Magdala Crescent.pdf</u> (<u>edinburgh.gov.uk</u>)

Committee decision:

8.2	Objections to TRO/23/17, Magdala Crescent	1) 2)	Recommendation 1.1.1 approved. Notes the commitment provided within the report to post-implementation monitoring which should include traffic volumes, traffic composition (i.e. vehicle types) and noise monitoring for Coates Gardens which should continue at regular intervals throughout 2024, this monitoring should be reported back quarterly to the TEC Committee - this can be via Business Bulletin.	Executive Director of Place Lead Officer: Rurigdh Ahluwalia-McMeddes Rurigdh.McMeddes@edinburg h.gov.uk
-----	---	-------	--	---

Agenda Item No	Agenda title/Subject/ Source	Decision (This may not be the final minute wording)		Action Owner	For info	In Attendance
		Sub Com the contir monitored pedestria these jun with all in the monit	e commitment given at the Licensing mittee on 12th December 2023 that nuous footway junctions would be and commits to also report n, cyclist and traffic volumes at ctions to the TEC Committee along cidents on these crossings during oring phase again this can be via ess Bulletin.			
		the the roll last 10 ye Network that the TTRO and with resid monitorin mitigatior impacts of in the City comprise Crescent Gardens, Crescent	es that the changes in traffic using outes through the Crescents over the chars caused by changes in the nave impacted the Crescents and RO/23/17 responds to a previous commits to arranging a workshop ents to discuss the results of the g and consider whether further is needed to address any adverse or to meet the Council's aspirations y Mobility Plan. The Cresents S. Douglas Cresent, Magdala, Rosebery Crescent, Coates Eglinton Crescent, Lansdowne, Glencaim Crescent, Grosvenor and Grosvenor Street			